

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

PAYMENTS MADE UNDER THE
AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

LETTER

FROM

THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

TRANSMITTING

IN RESPONSE TO SENATE RESOLUTION No. 265
CERTAIN INFORMATION RELATIVE TO PAYMENTS OF
\$10,000 OR MORE UNDER THE AGRICULTURAL
ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM



JUNE 15 (calendar day, JUNE 19), 1936.—Ordered to lie on the table
and to be printed

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON : 1936

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
Washington, June 19, 1936.

Col. EDWIN A. HALSEY,
Secretary to the Senate.

DEAR COLONEL HALSEY: On April 24, 1936, the Senate passed Resolution No. 265 directing me to furnish the Senate "as soon as practicable" information concerning the payments of \$10,000 or more under the Agricultural Adjustment programs. Immediately following the passage of this resolution, I directed the Agricultural Adjustment Administration to prepare this material as promptly as possible. I now take pleasure in transmitting that part of the material called for in the resolution which could be promptly prepared. As soon as the final 1935 payments are completed, a supplementary report will be submitted covering payments for those 1935 programs where payments are not yet substantially complete.

This report includes the names of all producers who were parties to a contract under which \$10,000 or more was paid in any one contract year, in the period for which payments have been completed. In addition, other contracts held by such \$10,000 producers are reported, and this involved a cross-check over county and State lines.

This report, however, does not show the payments to owners of a number of farms such as banks and insurance companies which, if added together, would have totaled \$10,000 or more. This would have required cross-checking the various commodity programs and would have delayed this preliminary report for many months. We would, however, be glad to prepare this more exhaustive analysis if Congress desires that it be made, and appropriates funds for that purpose. Certain data on landlords renting large numbers of farms were already available in our records, and this supplementary information is included in this report.

In some of the programs dealing with commodities such as sugar or rice, where production is largely in the hands of large corporations, payments to producers receiving more than \$10,000 constitute a material portion of the total payments made; but in the case of the major programs, including cotton, wheat, corn and hogs, and tobacco, those receiving large payments produced only an exceedingly small percentage of the total production and received a correspondingly small percentage of the total payments made. These percentages run from one-sixth of 1 percent in the case of the corn-hog payments, and one-half of 1 percent in the case of wheat, to 2.6 percent in the case of cotton. The payments made per unit of production (before deducting administrative costs) were the same in the case of large payments as in the case of small, as all payments were made under the same basic provisions of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, which paid no attention to the size of the units concerned, but directed attention only to the degree of cooperation by the producers in the agricultural programs carried out under the authority of the act.

Sincerely yours,

H. A. WALLACE, *Secretary.*

LETTER OF SUBMITTAL

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ADMINISTRATION,
Washington, D. C., June 19, 1936.

The Honorable, The SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE.

DEAR MR. SECRETARY: In accordance with your direction, we have now completed the tabulation of the payments of \$10,000 and more made by the Agricultural Adjustment Administration to individual producers, in accordance with the resolution of the Senate. We have endeavored to locate all payments under each program, received by each producer who was payee under a contract where all payees received as much as \$10,000 or more under that one contract; but we have not made a cross-check between payments under different commodity programs, for the reasons mentioned in the report. The report covers all the individual large payments throughout the country. A cross-check between programs and for all payments to each individual would be expensive to compile, and would require several months to complete.

This report covers the 1933 and 1934 programs, and those 1935 programs for which payments have been completed. The remainder of the 1935 programs will be covered later, when the data become available, in a supplementary report.

Since the Agricultural Adjustment programs were first initiated, a total of nearly 24 million individual checks have been issued under the Agricultural Adjustment Act. The number of individual contracts on file in the Rental and Benefit Audit Section was 8,013,055 on May 15, 1936. About 60 million individual tabulation cards are in the files, recording the information on contracts and payments. In the preparation of this report all payment records have been examined at least twice but it is possible that some payments may have been overlooked.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my appreciation to the workers in the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, particularly those in the Rental and Benefit Audit Section, for their loyal cooperation in the speedy preparation of this report.

Sincerely yours,

J. B. HUTSON,
Acting Administrator.

CONTENTS

SECTION I

	Page
Resolution by the Senate.....	1

SECTION II

Methods followed in making the tabulations.....	2
Definition of "\$10,000 producers".....	3
Further limitations of tables and treatment of names.....	4

SECTION III

Method of presenting the conclusions.....	5
General summaries, all programs combined:	
Number of producers holding \$10,000 contracts.....	6
Number of contracts.....	6
Amounts disbursed.....	7
Proportion of total payments and production for \$10,000 producers..	7
Multiple farms under one ownership.....	8
Wheat:	
United States Summary, 1933.....	13
United States Summary, 1934.....	13
Wheat payments by States.....	15
Wheat payments to \$10,000 producers.....	17
Cotton:	
United States Summary, 1933.....	22
United States Summary, 1934.....	23
United States Summary, 1935.....	24
Cotton payments by States.....	25
Cotton payments to \$10,000 producers.....	30
Detailed figures for large payments under the 1933 cotton program..	30
Detailed figures for large payments under the 1934 and 1935 cotton programs.....	41
Corn-hogs:	
United States Summary, 1934.....	59
Corn-hog payments by States.....	60
Corn-hog payments to \$10,000 producers.....	64
Peanuts:	
United States Summary, 1935, and payments by States.....	67
Rice:	
United States Summary, 1935.....	67
Rice payments by States.....	68
Rice payments to \$10,000 producers.....	68
Detailed figures of large payments to producers under the 1935 rice program.....	68
Tobacco:	
United States summary, 1933.....	74
United States summary, 1934.....	75
United States summary, 1935.....	93
Tobacco payments by States.....	93
Tobacco payments to \$10,000 producers.....	94
Sugar:	
United States summary, 1934.....	100
United States summary, 1935.....	103
Payments by States and areas.....	105
Sugar payments to \$10,000 producers:	
Sugar beets.....	107
Continental cane.....	116
Insular areas.....	126

REPORT TO SENATE ON PRODUCERS UNDER \$10,000 CONTRACTS

SECTION I

RESOLUTION BY THE SENATE

This study was undertaken in accordance with Senate Resolution 265 of the second session, Seventy-fourth Congress, passed on April 24, 1936, as follows:

Resolved, That the Secretary of Agriculture be, and he is hereby, directed to furnish to the Senate as soon as practicable, the name, county, and State (including Puerto Rico and Hawaii), and the amount paid to each producer, in the sum of \$10,000 or more, for each contract year, together with commodity, acreage, or number of livestock involved for which payment was made, pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended: *Provided*, That the total amount paid for each basic commodity for each State or Territory or possession be given for each contract year and the total number receiving such payments: *Provided further*, That on the basis of the data submitted, the Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry shall report to the Senate the degree to which land ownership had, by 1933, become concentrated in large corporate holdings, with such recommendations as the committee may see fit to make to Congress; be it further

Resolved, That the United States Tariff Commission shall, and is hereby directed to, report to the Senate within sixty days the names and addresses of manufacturing corporations in the United States having statutory net incomes in 1934 of \$1,000,000 or more, producing commodities protected by the tariff, the rates of duty on principal commodities produced by each, and the 1934 selling prices derived from general sources of such commodities: *Provided*, That the Commission shall, and is hereby directed to, supplement this report as soon as the information can be compiled, but with the time for compilation not limited to sixty days, similar information as to corporations, commodities, rates, and prices for the year 1935, together with the Commission's estimates of the following: (1) The effect of the tariff on selling prices of such commodities; (2) the effect of the tariff on the statutory net incomes of these corporations; (3) the effect of such rates of duty on consumer expenditures for such commodities as compared with revenue derived by the United States Treasury therefrom; and be it further

Resolved, That the United States Tariff Commission be, and it is hereby, directed to furnish the Senate forthwith the names and addresses and the gross volume of sales of the three corporations or factories (naming them separately for each article or group listed below) which did the largest gross business during the calendar year 1934 in the production and manufacturing of the following tariff-protected articles and commodities: (1) Aluminum; (2) steel and iron; (3) photo cameras and films; (4) chemicals and dyes; (5) electric appliances and equipment; (6) cellophane and rayon; (7) plate glass; (8) cast-iron pipe and fittings; (9) articles or wares manufactured of tin.

Such Commission is also requested to furnish the tariff rates or schedules then in effect as to each such listed commodities or articles.

Such Commission is also requested to furnish the total amount in dollars of benefits or protection each of such corporations received during such year, assuming that the tariff was fully effective.

Such Commission is also requested (if it would not delay the filing of such report) to give its estimate as to the percentage of effectiveness of such tariff rates for such year as to each of such articles or commodities.

SECTION II

METHODS FOLLOWED IN MAKING THE TABULATIONS

The resolution directed the Secretary to furnish "the name, county, and State, including Puerto Rico and Hawaii, and the amount paid to individual producers of \$10,000 or more for each contract year" together with supplementary information concerning the basis of payments.

As already indicated, the total number of checks issued by the A. A. A. was nearly 24 million. Usually each individual producer received two or three checks under a single contract for a single year's program, consisting of an initial check, sometimes a second check, and a final check when his compliance with that year's program had been certified. Where a farm was rented, the payments would be divided between landlord and tenant, and frequently two or more farmers or two or more landlords received payments under the same contract. The A. A. A. programs were conducted on a commodity basis with separate contracts for each of the commodity programs under way. In Ohio, for example, some farmers were cooperating in the wheat program, the corn-hog program, the tobacco program, and the sugar program, all at the same time, with separate contracts for each program. A southern farmer might be cooperating in wheat, cotton, tobacco, and corn-hog programs all at the same time. Furthermore, the same producer might be operating several different farms, sometimes widely separated, or the same landlord might own many farms, either in the same region or widely scattered regions, rented under the same or different programs.

The method followed in locating the \$10,000 producers was as follows: The records were searched to locate all individual contracts under which payments reached \$10,000.¹ After the producers under these contracts were determined for each commodity program, the contracts for that commodity (in adjoining counties, if an individual, or for the whole country, if a corporation) were then searched a second time to determine if these producers were payees, either as producers or as landlords on any other contracts for the same commodity program. Where such additional contracts were found, they were listed with the original large-payment contract.² On rented farms, if the payment to tenant and landlord combined reached \$10,000, that case was included, even though neither party alone received \$10,000.

It is realized that the method of procedure adopted would miss cases where many payments to one producer, under different contracts for the same program or several different programs, added up to \$10,000. This means that the preliminary report does not show the precise aggregate amounts paid annually to multiple landlords, such as insurance and investment companies, holding many farms and sharing in many payments under different commodity programs. A theoretical example might be an insurance company holding 20

¹ On one 1933 contract (cotton) payments totaled \$9,999.86. The producer was therefore not included among the \$10,000 producers.

² There was one exception to this rule. One large insurance company owned and operated a farm for which there was a contract with a payment in excess of \$10,000. This company also owned many small farms scattered through the country, which were covered by adjustment contracts. The holdings of this one company, and of other large insurance companies and banks, are summarized in the section of this report on "Owners of multiple farms", pages 8 to 12, inclusive. Accordingly, under the \$10,000 contracts, only the one large contract is shown for this company.

farms and receiving \$500 payment on each farm, payment for which would not appear in this report. The name of this company, however, would probably appear under the supplementary information on "multiple landlords", pages 8 to 12, inclusive.

The fullest possible information could be obtained by bringing together all of the payments made to each person under the agricultural programs in any one year, regardless of whether those payments were made under the same or different commodity programs, in the same or different counties or States, or under different contracts. This could be done by setting up a master account for each of the individual persons to whom A. A. A. has made payments, and entering upon each account all of the individual payments made to each person. With several million people involved as payees, this would be a task of great difficulty and expense, especially in view of the frequent duplication of the same names and the difficulty of determining without detailed investigation in the field whether persons of the same name and similar signatures were or were not the same person. The diversity of the ways in which individuals sign their names intensified the problem, through the difficulty of determining (without field investigation) whether the John W. Jones who received a wheat payment in one county was the J. W. Jones who was listed as the landlord of a farm in the adjoining county, for example. If, however, such a complete list were compiled, it would then be possible to select from such a list all the individuals who received \$10,000 or more, all together, and to prepare a complete record of such payments.

In view of the fact that the information requested was desired "as soon as practicable", the simpler method first described was utilized in order to provide the major facts desired by the Senate as quickly as possible and before adjournment of Congress. Conducted in the manner described, this investigation has involved a total administrative cost of \$14,726. If the Senate finds that information submitted in the preliminary and final reports is insufficient, the individual account method could be utilized. This method would involve an expense of somewhere between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000.

In addition to the facts covering payments of \$10,000 or more prepared in accordance with the Senate resolution, certain other information in the A. A. A. records throws additional light on the problem of the concentration of ownership of rented farms. Under the corn-hog program, special information was secured from each individual or concern owning several farms, in cases where some of the farms were covered by corn-hog contracts, and some were not. These reports show the number of such farms under corn-hog contracts, and also the number of other farms owned by those reporting which were covered by other A. A. A. contracts which restricted corn acreage. For 1934, this gave some information on cotton and tobacco farms owned by multiple owners. These reports probably include all of the owners of large numbers of farms in the Corn Belt, and most of the owners of large numbers of farms in the South. The data available in these records are summarized on pages 8 to 12, inclusive.

DEFINITION OF "\$10,000 PRODUCERS"

The specific methods used for determining the names of the payees who were producers under a contract for \$10,000 or more, and for

locating other contracts in the names of such producers, along with the basic information pertaining thereto, was as follows:

Due to the fact that the payments, registers, and contracts are filed in the Comptroller's office according to State, county, and serial number, it was necessary to examine by hand the records of payments under 8,013,055 contracts. This method was used in lieu of tabulating machine sorting, as the work could be done faster by hand than by making 7 sorts on 24,000,000 payment cards.

A register was made up of those individual contracts on which a total of \$10,000 or more had been paid for any one year for any one program, with the name(s) of the various interested parties. The contracts pertaining thereto were then removed from the files and checked against the register in order to reduce the possibility of error.

Where a producer was a party to a contract under which \$10,000 or more was paid to all parties, the county in which the contract was located and the surrounding counties were also searched for additional contracts under the same commodity program for the same year, regardless of the size of payment. If an additional contract was located in one of the surrounding counties, the counties bordering on this county were searched for additional contracts, thereby spreading the investigation fanwise. In addition, in cases of corporations, companies and large landholders, in which there was a possibility that there were additional contracts under the same name scattered over a wide area, the whole national program was checked to find additional contracts.

FURTHER LIMITATIONS OF TABLES AND TREATMENT OF NAMES

Where the producer under a \$10,000 contract was the owner of another farm rented to a cash tenant, and received no part of the payment under the contract for the rented farm, the contract for the rented farm was not included in the total. Where the name(s) were the same but the signature(s) appearing on the contracts were dissimilar these were also discarded. Where payees other than a principal were listed on the contract, but no one of these additional payees received \$10,000, those names were also excluded from further search. For example, where a payment of \$9,000 was made to an owner, and many share tenants under the same contract, divided say \$7,000 among them, none of these tenants was traced for additional contracts. If a partnership was indicated, the individual partners were not traced for additional contracts, as in many instances the initial(s) or full name of only one partner was obtainable.

In comparing signatures to determine whether individuals were the same, the following rules were followed:

Name on original contract	Name on additional contract	Type of handwriting	Disposition of additional contract
John Jones.....	John Jones.....	Identical.....	Included.
J. Jones.....	John Jones.....	Possibly the same.....	Not included.
Jones and Jones by B. Jones (partnership).	B. Jones.....	Identical.....	Not included (individual members of partnership not traced).

This procedure was followed throughout for all programs and all counties.

The lists of the \$10,000 producers were made as uniform as possible. There is a wide variation between commodities in the information shown on the contracts, however, so it was impossible to have absolute uniform tables by commodities. A separate table and list is given for each commodity program for each year, except in cases where there was no change for two or more years in the contract data, or in the individuals affected.

SECTION III

METHOD OF PRESENTING THE CONCLUSIONS

Following a series of United States summaries for all commodities, the results are presented for each commodity program in three series of tables: The first series is a summary for the United States, for each year the program was conducted. These tables show the total number of contracts, the basis of payment under these contracts, and the total payments made. They then compare the information for contracts with \$10,000 producers, with that for all other contracts. They also include percentage figures showing what percentage of the total payments under each program was made under contracts with \$10,000 producers. These tables summarize for the United States, for those programs in which \$10,000 payments were reported, all the information shown by this investigation.

The second group of tables for each commodity is prepared in accordance with the further request of the Senate resolution—

Provided, That the total amount paid for each basic commodity for each State or Territory or possession be given for each contract year and the total number receiving such payments.

This series of tables summarizes all the operations under each of the agricultural adjustment programs on which payments have been completed or substantially completed at this time. They show, both for the United States and for each State in which the program operated, the number of contracts which were in effect, the number of payees under those contracts, the basis of payment, and the amount paid.

The third group of tables for each commodity lists the payments to \$10,000 producers. These tables present a complete list of the persons who were producers under contracts under which \$10,000 or more was paid that year, together with payments to such producers under other (smaller) contracts under the same commodity program. A producer who was a party to a \$10,000 contract one year, but where total payments on his contract fell below \$10,000 another year, is included only in those years where the payment on one contract reached \$10,000. The amount received by such \$10,000 producers within each county, the total amount going to each producer, and the total amount paid under the same contracts to other interested parties, are also given. These tables show also the basis in production or production adjustment for the payments made to each individual, in accordance with the Senate direction to show the "commodities, acreage, or number of livestock involved for which payment was made."

The final payments for 1935 programs are still being made in the case of all commodities other than cotton, rice, Puerto Rican sugarcane, and Hawaiian sugar. These payments are still so incomplete that any attempt to list the \$10,000 producers under these contracts would be misleading, as many producers would be excluded now who would be included later after the final payments are made. Accordingly 1935 data are given only for cotton, rice, Puerto Rican sugarcane, and Hawaiian sugar. Data for 1935 for other programs will be submitted later in a supplementary report.

GENERAL SUMMARIES, ALL PROGRAMS COMBINED

Number of producers holding \$10,000 contracts.—The number of producers (individuals, partnerships, or corporations) holding \$10,000 contracts under each program was as follows:

Persons holding \$10,000 contracts

Program	1933	1934	1935
Wheat.....	18	19	(1)
Cotton.....	242	80	56
Corn-hog.....	(2)	22	(1)
Tobacco.....	1	14	(1)
Sugar.....	0	166	(1)
Rice.....	(2)	(2)	73
Peanuts.....	(2)	(2)	0
Total.....	261	301	(1)

¹ Payments for 1935 not yet complete.

² No A. A. A. program for commodity during this year involving benefit payments.

Frequently the same producers held two or more contracts, hence in many cases the number of contracts held by \$10,000 producers, as shown below, is much in excess of the number of producers holding such contracts, as just summarized.

Number of contracts.—The number of contracts in effect under A. A. A. programs, and the number of contracts with producers who held contracts involving the payment of \$10,000 or more under any one contract, are indicated by the following United States summary table.

TABLE 1.—*Number of contracts accepted, and number with \$10,000 producers* ¹

Program	1933		1934		1935	
	All contracts accepted ²	Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹	All contracts accepted ²	Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹	All contracts accepted ³	Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹
Cotton.....	1,031,549	307	1,002,009	160	1,276,153	186
Wheat.....	579,418	41	569,692	41	506,338	(4)
Corn-hog.....	(4)	(4)	1,153,492	22	980,395	(3)
Tobacco.....	17,860	1	294,519	25	315,843	(4)
Sugar.....	48,911	0	77,246	316	71,858	(4)
Peanuts.....	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	52,060	0
Rice.....	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	9,946	78
Total.....	1,677,738	349	3,096,958	564	3,212,593	(4)

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² As of Dec. 31, 1935, or later. See summary tables for dates for individual commodities.

³ As of Jan. 6, 1936, for those commodities where final payments have not been completed.

⁴ No A. A. A. program for commodity during this year, involving benefit payments.

⁵ Final payments for 1935 not yet completed.

As shown by this summary, 1,677,738 contracts were in effect in 1933, 3,096,958 in 1934, and 3,212,593 in 1935. The proportion of these contracts with \$10,000 producers³ was two one-hundredths of 1 percent in 1933, and two one-hundredths of 1 percent in 1934. When 1935 payments are completed, the percentage of large payments will probably be similar to that for 1934.

Amounts disbursed.—The magnitude of the payments made under all contracts and under the large payment contracts is as follows:

TABLE 2.—*Payments under all contracts, and to \$10,000 producers*¹

Program	1933		1934		1935	
	All contracts accepted ²	Contracts with \$10,000 producers	All contracts accepted ²	Contracts with \$10,000 producers	All contracts accepted ²	Contracts with \$10,000 producers
Cotton.....	\$179, 105, 577	\$4, 671, 949	\$115, 123, 057	\$1, 593, 486	\$118, 582, 195	\$1, 210, 657
Wheat.....	94, 946, 332	420, 629	96, 927, 629	416, 840	(3)	(3)
Corn-hog.....	(1)	(1)	302, 356, 213	500, 052	(3)	(3)
Tobacco.....	6, 541, 057	11, 071	42, 801, 483	211, 250	(3)	(3)
Sugar.....	2, 519, 080	-----	30, 608, 878	9, 951, 190	(3)	(3)
Peanuts.....	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	2, 915, 737	-----
Rice.....	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	9, 401, 976	1, 530, 112
Total.....	283, 112, 046	5, 103, 649	587, 817, 260	12, 672, 818	(3)	(3)

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² This includes some amounts approved for payment, but not yet actually paid, on the dates for each program as specified in the summary table for that commodity.

³ Final payments for 1935 not yet completed.

⁴ No A. A. A. program for commodity during this year, involving benefit payments.

The payments to \$10,000 producers⁴ thus represented 1.8 percent of all the payments made under the 1933 contracts, and 2.2 percent of all the payments made for 1934. In sugar and rice, corporation farming prevails far more than in most American agriculture. For the remaining programs, cotton, wheat, corn-hog, tobacco, and peanuts, the payments to \$10,000 producers constituted only one-half of 1 percent in 1934.

Proportion of total payments and production for \$10,000 producers.—Table 3 shows the relative importance of payments to \$10,000 producers by individual commodity programs, both with reference to the percentage of the total payments under the program made under contracts with \$10,000 producers, and the percentage of the production or production adjustment under the whole program which was made on the farms of those receiving such payments.

³ As shown in footnote 1 to table 1, the phrase "\$10,000 producers" will be used hereafter to refer to those producers who held individual contracts under which \$10,000 or more was paid in 1 contract year, including payments to landlords or other interested parties.

⁴ The phrase "payments to \$10,000 producers" will be used to refer to all payments under a given commodity program, made under all contracts with \$10,000 producers, regardless of how small the amount on any such contract.

TABLE 3.—*Proportion of total payments under each program made to \$10,000 producers,¹ and proportion of total production or production adjustment made on farms of such producers*

Program	1933		1934		1935	
	Proportion of payments	Proportion of product ²	Proportion of payments	Proportion of product ²	Proportion of payments	Proportion of product ²
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Cotton.....	2.6	2.5	1.38	1.38	1.02	1.02
Wheat.....	.44	.43	.43	.44	(4)	(4)
Corn-hog:						
Corn.....	(3)	(3)	.008	.006	(4)	(4)
Hogs.....	(3)	(3)	.252	.245	(4)	(4)
Flue-cured tobacco.....	.169	-----	.07	.07	(4)	(4)
Burley tobacco.....	(3)	(3)	.68	.63	(4)	(4)
Cigar leaf tobacco:						
Type 51.....	(3)	(3)	3.38	2.27	(4)	(4)
Type 62.....	(3)	(3)	18.61	43.49	(4)	(4)
Puerto Rican tobacco, type 46.....	(3)	(3)	1.50	2.71	(4)	(4)
Beet sugar.....	-----	-----	6.0	4.4	(3)	(3)
Cane sugar, Louisiana.....	(3)	(3)	48.3	48.0	(3)	(3)
Sugarcane, Florida.....	(3)	(3)	99.3	100.0	(4)	(4)
Hawaiian sugar.....	(3)	(3)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Puerto Rican sugar.....	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	74.6	77.0
Rice.....	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	16.3	16.0

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more for a single year.

² For those cases where production was restricted (cotton, wheat except in 1933, corn-hog, and tobacco), this figure shows the proportion of total reduction by all farmers made on the farms of \$10,000 producers. For cases where no production restriction was involved (wheat in 1933, beet sugar, etc.) this figure shows the base production on such farms in percent of the total base production under all contracts.

³ No A. A. A. program for commodity during this year involving benefit payments.

⁴ Payments for 1935 program not yet completed.

The proportions of total production or production adjustment made and of payments received by \$10,000 producers, varied from one-fourth of 1 percent for hogs, one-half of 1 percent for wheat, and 2.5 percent for cotton, to 6 percent for domestic beet sugar, 16 percent for rice, and 48 percent for Louisiana sugar, on up to a maximum of nearly 100 percent for Florida sugarcane and exactly 100 percent for Hawaiian sugar.⁵

The slight differences between the percentages of production and of payments arise from the differences in the rate of payments with respect to number of acres and yield per acre, and from differences in local administrative costs (paid by producers) as between regions of heavy production, where most of the large payments were made, and regions of light production, where administrative costs per unit tended to be heavier.

MULTIPLE FARMS UNDER ONE OWNERSHIP

Under the corn-hog program, a Multiple Farms Unit was established to deal with landlords owning a large number of farms, in those cases where some of the farms owned by them were farmed by men

⁵ Section 15 (f) of the Agricultural Administration Act, as amended, authorized the President, in his discretion to decree that all or part of the taxes collected from the processing of sugarcane in Puerto Rico, the Territory of Hawaii, and the Philippine Islands on the processing of sugars brought in from these areas, should be held as separate funds in the name of the respective areas to be used and expended for the benefit of agriculture in such areas or paid as rental or benefit payments in connection with production adjustment programs. Pursuant to this provision, separate funds were established for the above-mentioned areas by Executive decree. The total funds paid out in benefit payments to producers in these areas approximated the total of the tax collections on sugar from these areas. As a result of these payments excessive production in the insular areas was curtailed and in the case of the Philippines, production was adjusted from the high level reached in 1934 to the lower level established under the Philippine Independence Act without serious economic disturbance.

who cooperated in the corn-hog program, and some were farmed by men who chose not to cooperate in that program. The reports filed by such large landowners showed the number of farms owned by them and the number of those farms covered by corn-hog contracts to which the large landowner was a party. They also showed the number of farms owned by them, not covered by corn-hog contracts, which were covered by A. A. A. contracts for other commodities under which contracts corn acreage was limited. In 1934, this covered cotton and tobacco contracts, reported separately; in 1935, it included only rice and peanut contracts, lumped in one total.

The records available in the Multiple Farms Unit thus list substantially all the owners of large numbers of farms in the Corn Belt, and indicate many of the owners of large groups of farms in other sections.

The number of persons filing multiple land-holdings reports in 1935, the type of business in which they engaged, the number of farms owned, and the number of their farms under various types of A. A. A. contracts, were as follows:

Multiple landowners in 1935

Type of concern	Number reporting	Farms owned	Farms under corn-hog contract ¹
Insurance company.....	111	67,302	34,243
Bank.....	170	21,447	7,256
Other large landowners.....	3,491	18,830	8,378
Total.....	3,772	107,579	49,877

¹ Includes only farms under a corn-hog contract to which the large landowner was a party (this excludes farms rented for cash, where only the tenant signed the contract).

The distribution of farms according to the number of farms owned by individual large multiple owners, is shown by the following tabulation from the 1934 reports:

Multiple owners reporting 100 or more farms in 1934

Farms owned	Number of owners	Farms	Farms under corn-hog contract ¹	Estimated acreage in farms owned ² (acres)
100 to 499.....	84	17,207	5,204	3,338,158
500 to 999.....	15	10,011	4,410	1,942,134
1,000 and over.....	25	70,400	33,641	13,657,600
Total.....	124	97,618	43,255	18,937,892

¹ Includes only farms under a corn-hog contract to which the large landowner was a party.

² The farm acreage figures are arrived at by making an estimate of the average size of the farms based upon the acreage given in the multiple land holdings reports for the farms not covered by contract and upon which 10 acres or more of corn was planted in 1935. Using this method, it was found that the average farm consisted of 194 acres.

Of all the farms reported by multiple landowners, nearly three-quarters were reported by those owners with a thousand or more farms each.

The geographic distribution of the Corn Belt farms owned by large landowners is indicated by the following tabulation of the location of the farms covered by corn-hog contracts, owned by 10 insurance companies:

Farms under corn-hog contracts, for each of 10 insurance companies, by States, for 1935

State	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6	No. 7	No. 8	No. 9	No. 10	Total
Ohio.....	24	146	-----	-----	47	3	-----	-----	67	28	315
Indiana.....	44	25	40	-----	167	14	-----	36	257	29	612
Illinois.....	49	122	94	-----	205	5	-----	150	22	27	674
Iowa.....	485	55	422	66	94	32	42	1,188	105	49	2,538
Missouri.....	242	230	375	24	278	76	6	77	-----	227	1,535
Nebraska.....	40	6	21	5	86	6	3	42	8	82	299
South Dakota.....	482	285	364	153	254	94	81	263	6	267	2,249
Minnesota.....	76	109	211	11	190	85	18	151	139	138	1,128
Total.....	1,442	978	1,527	259	1,321	315	150	1,907	604	847	9,350

These reports did not give the size of farms participating in the corn-hog program, but did give the size of those not participating. From these data, and from the usual landlord's share in the average production of corn on the corn acreage reported on those farms, it has been estimated that the average corn-hog benefit payments received by these companies from corn-hog contracts on those farms owned by them which participate in the program, would be about \$72 on each farm.

At \$72 per farm, a concern which owned 150 or more farms would receive aggregate payments in excess of \$10,000. Accordingly, all those persons (individuals, partnerships, or corporations) who submitted reports showing that 150 or more farms owned by them were under production-control contracts, have been tabulated in the list which follows. The list also shows their ownership of farms participating in the cotton and tobacco programs in 1934, or in rice and peanut programs in 1935. The reports include these other types of farms, however, only in cases where the owner had some corn-hog farms, and also has other farms covered by cotton, tobacco, rice, or peanut contracts, but not by corn-hog contracts.

There were 55 individuals or concerns reporting 150 or more farms under A. A. A. contracts, with farms under production contracts, as follows:

In 1934:

Farms under corn-hog contracts.....	39,907
Farms under cotton contracts.....	10,859
Farms under tobacco contracts.....	1,045
Total farms under contracts for 1934.....	51,811

In 1935:

Farms under corn-hog contracts.....	40,710
Farms under other contracts limiting corn acreage (peanuts and rice).....	934
Total farms under contracts for 1935.....	41,644

Neither the cotton nor the tobacco contracts limited corn acreage in 1935, and therefore farms with these contracts were not reported in that year. No data were collected as to the wheat contracts on

farms owned by these concerns, as the wheat contracts did not limit corn acreage.

As has already been indicated, the landlord's share of the corn-hog payments averaged about \$72 per farm. If these concerns owned farms of the average size in the South, and received the usual landlord's share of the benefit payments, they would have received about \$60 per farm on cotton farms, from the cotton benefit payment, and \$70 per farm on tobacco farms from the tobacco payments. On this basis, the benefit payments going to these large multiple-farm owners in 1934 may be roughly estimated as follows:

Under 39,907 corn-hog contracts.....	\$2, 900, 000
Under 10,859 cotton contracts.....	650, 000
Under 1,045 tobacco contracts.....	73, 000

These rough estimates compare with the sums paid to \$10,000 producers, and to all farms in 1934, as follows:

Program	Paid to multiple land-owners	Paid to \$10,000 producers	Total payments under 1934 program
Corn-hog.....	\$2, 900, 000	\$500, 052	\$302, 356, 213
Cotton.....	650, 000	1, 593, 486	115, 123, 057
Tobacco.....	73, 000	211, 250	42, 801, 483
Total.....	3, 623, 000	2, 304, 788	460, 280, 753

This table indicates the total payments going to individuals who received \$10,000 or more under these three programs, no matter whether the payment was from a single large payment or from many small payments. Both groups combined, from this estimate, received 1.1 percent of the payments under the corn-hog program, 1.95 percent of the payments under the cotton program, and 0.74 percent of the payments under the tobacco programs.

The names of the 55 multiple landowners, each of whom reported 150 or more farms under A. A. A. contract, and the number of contracted farms reported by each, are given in table 4 which follows:

TABLE 4.—Multiple landowners who reported 150 or more farms under A. A. A. contracts in 1934 or 1935, in order of number of corn-hog farms reported

Name and address	Farms reported under contract in 1934			Farms reported under contract in 1935	
	Corn-hog farms ¹	Cotton farms ²	Tobacco farms ³	Corn-hog farms ¹	Other farms under contract ⁴
Mutual Benefit Life Insurance Co., 300 Broadway, Newark, N. J.	3, 921	0	15	4, 183	200
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., New York, N. Y.	3, 112	1, 141	332	3, 695	242
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., Boston, Mass.	2, 754	1, 580	7	2, 881	162
Prudential Insurance Co. of America, Newark, N. J.	2, 651	999	206	3, 215	140
Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Co., Milwaukee, Wis.	2, 321	94	81	2, 373	0
Travelers Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.	2, 209	636	0	3, 141	44
Equitable Life Assurance Society, New York, N. Y.	2, 158	0	0	3, 294	2
State of South Dakota Rural Credit Board, Pierre, S. Dak.	1, 667	0	0	1, 721	0
Union Central Life Insurance Co., Cincinnati, Ohio.	1, 559	509	58	899	(⁵)
Equitable Life Insurance Co. of Iowa, Des Moines, Iowa	1, 539	0	0	1, 326	0

See footnotes at end of table.

12 PAYMENTS UNDER AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 4.—Multiple landowners who reported 150 or more farms under A. A. A contracts in 1934 or 1935, in order of number of corn-hog farms reported—Con.

Name and address	Farms reported under contract in 1934			Farms reported under contract in 1935	
	Corn-hog farms ¹	Cotton farms ²	Tobacco farms ³	Corn-hog farms ¹	Other farms under contract ⁴
Aetna Life Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.....	1, 446	705	2	1, 444	10
South Minneapolis Joint Stock Land Bank of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minn.....	1, 066	0	2	712	0
Bankers Life Co. of Des Moines, Des Moines, Iowa.....	1, 052	83	0	1, 142	0
Federal Land Bank of Omaha, Omaha, Nebr.....	1, 030	0	0	1, 061	0
Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.....	1, 018	0	0	1, 084	69
Federal Land Bank of St. Paul, St. Paul, Minn.....	889	0	19	328	0
Connecticut General Life Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.....	833	179	1	872	0
Chicago Joint Stock Land Bank, Chicago, Ill.....	807	1	0	461	0
National Life Insurance Co., Montpelier, Vt.....	744	110	0	754	0
Nebraska Securities Corporation, Omaha, Nebr.....	610	0	0	(⁵)	(⁵)
Phoenix Mutual Life Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.....	501	517	54	545	0
State of Minnesota, Department of Rural Credit, Owatonna, Minn.....	438	0	0	89	0
First Trust Joint Stock Land Bank of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.....	416	198	0	404	0
Bankers Life Insurance Co. of Nebraska, Omaha, Nebr.....	380	0	1	544	0
Royal Union Fund, Des Moines, Iowa.....	375	8	0	400	0
Perpetual Mutual Life Insurance Co., Philadelphia, Pa.....	357	127	19	414	6
Central Life Assurance Society (Mutual), Des Moines, Iowa.....	353	135	0	388	0
Federal Land Bank of Louisville, Louisville, Ky.....	329	38	117	201	0
Franklin Life Insurance Co., Springfield, Ill.....	236	74	0	214	0
Lincoln Joint Stock Land Bank, Lincoln, Nebr.....	285	0	0	405	0
Federal Land Bank of St. Louis, St. Louis, Mo.....	283	323	26	332	0
First Trust Co. of Lincoln, Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebr.....	270	0	0	6	0
Independent Order of Foresters, Mason City, Iowa.....	216	0	0	242	0
The Liquidation Corporation, Davenport, Iowa.....	199	0	0	164	0
Kansas City Life Insurance Co., Kansas City, Mo.....	196	141	1	261	1
Lincoln National Life Insurance Co., Fort Wayne, Ind.....	194	207	0	175	1
Farmers & Mechanics Savings Bank of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minn.....	171	0	0	161	0
W. E. Oxley, receiver, 413 Carver Bldg., Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	169	0	0	140	0
Titus Management Co., 211-213 Iowa Ave., Muscatine, Iowa.....	167	0	0	153	0
Iowa Land & Investment Co., Sioux City, Iowa.....	164	0	0	14	0
United States National Co., Omaha, Nebr.....	161	0	0	91	0
State Life Insurance Co., Indianapolis, Ind.....	156	272	1	(⁵)	(⁵)
St. Louis Joint Stock Land Bank, St. Louis, Mo.....	126	238	0	104	2
New York Life Insurance Co., New York, N. Y.....	105	74	52	49	22
General American Life Insurance Co., St. Louis, Mo.....	57	602	0	87	0
Federal Land Bank of Wichita, Wichita, Kans.....	57	417	40	200	0
Gum Bros. Co., Perrine Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla.....	52	158	0	96	28
Continental Assurance Co., Chicago, Ill.....	29	153	0	29	0
Clement Mortgage Co., not incorporated, Norman, Okla.....	20	150	0	23	0
Iowa Joint Stock Land Bank, Sioux City, Iowa.....	16	0	0	158	0
H. D. Parsons, McAlester, Okla.....	11	381	0	17	0
National Life & Accident Insurance Co., Inc., Nashville, Tenn.....	11	198	6	(⁵)	(⁵)
W. C. Bowman, care of Deming Investment Co., Oswego, Kans.....	11	113	0	15	0
J. H. Newcomer, Checotah, Okla.....	6	150	0	11	(⁵)
Continental Casualty Co., Chicago, Ill.....	4	148	5	2	5
Total.....	39, 907	10, 859	1, 045	40, 710	934

¹ Includes only farms under a corn-hog contract to which the multiple landowner was a party. This excludes farms rented to cash tenants, as then only the tenant signed the contract.

² This includes only farms covered by a cotton contract, but not by a corn-hog contract to which the landowner was a party.

³ This includes only farms covered by a tobacco contract, but not by a 1935 corn-hog contract.

⁴ This includes only farms covered by an A. A. A. contract limiting corn acreage in 1935, and not already covered by a 1935 corn-hog contract.

⁵ Information not available.

WHEAT

United States summary, 1933.—All payments and large payments, under the 1933 wheat programs, are summarized in table 5.

TABLE 5.—*United States summary: All contracts under the 1933 wheat program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Base wheat acres ²	Wheat allotment ³	Payments made	
				Amount ⁴	Net payment per allotted bushel ⁵
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
All contracts ⁶	579, 418	51, 468, 226	350, 234, 009	94, 946, 322	27. 1
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	579, 377	51, 354, 991	348, 730, 509	94, 525, 693	27. 1
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁸	41	113, 235	1, 503, 500	420, 629	28. 0
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	13	36, 101	545, 481	154, 327	28. 3
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms.....	28	77, 134	958, 019	266, 302	27. 8
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	<i>Percent</i> 0. 071	<i>Percent</i> 0. 220	<i>Percent</i> 0. 429	<i>Percent</i> 0. 443	-----

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Base wheat acres are the average acres seeded to wheat on the land covered by the contract during the base period (usually 1930, 1931, and 1932) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Wheat allotment is that number of bushels of wheat upon which adjustment payments may be made and is determined on the basis of the average annual production in the base period. It is 54 percent of the total average annual production.

⁴ Computed by multiplying wheat allotment (bushels) by 29 cents per bushel, less local administrative expenses.

⁵ Net payment per bushel farm allotment after deducting county association administrative expenses.

⁶ Preliminary totals as of Jan. 6, 1936.

⁷ Includes contracts approved for payment as of Jan. 6, 1936.

⁸ As of May 29, 1936. This includes contracts with producers who received \$10,000 or more under this program, and all contracts with landlords who received as much as \$10,000 on an individual contract under this program.

⁹ Includes \$81,679 paid to landlords.

Wheat producers who adjusted acreage in 1934 received for 1933 a payment of 29 cents, minus administrative expenses, for each bushel of their domestic allotment. Administrative expenses of their County Wheat Production Control Association were deducted from the final payment. As shown by the table, \$10,000 producers held 0.07 percent of all 1933 wheat contracts; produced 0.43 percent of domestic-wheat production during the base year; and 0.44 percent of the net benefit payments were paid under their contracts. The payments to \$10,000 producers totaled \$420,629, of which \$154,327 went to producers owning and operating their farms, and \$266,302 went to tenants operating rented farms, and to their landlords. After deducting local administrative expenses, the average net payment per allotted bushel was 27.1 cents on all contracts, and 28.0 cents on contracts held by large producers.

United States summary, 1934.—Corresponding data for the 1934 wheat program are given in table 6.

14 PAYMENTS UNDER AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 6.—United States summary: All contracts under the 1934 wheat program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program

Item	Contracts accepted	Base wheat acres ²	Contracted acres ³	Average wheat yield per acre ⁴	Normal production on contracted acres ⁵	Benefit payments	
						Total ⁶	Per bushel reduced ⁷
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁸	569,692	50,327,160	7,549,150	12.5	94,344,956	\$96,927,629	1.03
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	569,651	50,213,860	7,532,091	12.5	93,930,934	\$96,510,789	1.03
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹⁰	41	113,300	17,059	24.4	414,022	416,840	1.00
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	13	32,053	4,874	28.5	139,113	144,099	1.04
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms.....	28	81,247	12,185	22.7	276,410	272,741	.99
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	<i>Percent</i> 0.007	<i>Percent</i> 0.225	<i>Percent</i> 0.226	<i>Percent</i> -----	<i>Percent</i> 0.441	<i>Percent</i> 0.430	<i>Percent</i> -----

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Base wheat acres are the average acres seeded to wheat on the land covered by the contract during the base period (usually 1930, 1931, and 1932), adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Contracted acres are the wheat acres held out of wheat production or the production of any basic agricultural production for sale under the contract.

⁴ Average wheat yield per acre is the average yield in previous years reported on each farm, adjusted to conform with county and State statistics.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the contracted acres by the average yield per acre.

⁶ Paid at 29 cents per allotted bushel, minus county association administrative expense.

⁷ Total payments divided by the normal production on rented acres.

⁸ Totals as of May 15, 1936. All items are preliminary estimates, except total payments.

⁹ Includes contracts approved for payment as of May 15, 1936.

¹⁰ As of May 29, 1936.

¹¹ Includes \$82,739 paid to landlords.

In 1934, wheat producers were required to hold their wheat acreage at not less than 54 percent of their base acreage, and not more than 85 percent of their base acreage. Producers complying with these requirements received payments based upon their domestic allotment (54 percent of their base production), as in 1933. The acreage thus withheld from production, with normal yields on each farm, would have produced 94,000,000 bushels. The acreage withheld from production by \$10,000 producers would have produced 415,000,000 bushels, or 0.44 percent of the total. This contrasts with 0.43 percent, the proportion of the total 1934 benefit payments to \$10,000 producers. The other features of the 1934 summary are substantially the same as for 1933.

Final payments under the 1935 wheat program were just getting under way when Agricultural Adjustment Administration production control was declared unconstitutional on January 6, 1936. Payments were not resumed until after Congress had made special appropriation for them, in the act approved February 11, 1936. As these payments are only partially complete, the 1935 wheat program will be covered in a supplementary report, which will be submitted later. As shown already in table 1, the number of wheat contracts accepted in 1935 was 506,338, or somewhat below the number in effect the 2 previous years.

II. WHEAT PAYMENTS BY STATES

The number of contracts accepted in each State, the basis of payment under those contracts, and the total payments made in each State, are shown in the tables which follow. The 1933 program is summarized in table 7, and the 1934 program in table 8. The corresponding information for 1935 will be submitted in the supplementary report, after the 1935 final payments have been completed.

TABLE 7.—*Summary, by States, of the 1933 wheat allotment program, showing the basis of payment, as of Jan. 6, 1936*

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted ¹	Base wheat, acres ²	Wheat allotment ³	Total payment ⁴	Net payment per bushel, farm allotment ⁵
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cnts</i>
United States.....	579, 418	51, 468, 226	350, 234, 009	94, 946, 322	27. 1
Middle Atlantic.....	4, 199	105, 411	1, 104, 725	277, 748	25. 1
East North Central.....	87, 061	2, 621, 472	25, 445, 175	6, 577, 500	25. 8
West North Central.....	323, 593	29, 874, 796	191, 145, 163	52, 050, 513	27. 2
South Atlantic.....	18, 136	593, 932	5, 709, 159	1, 505, 233	26. 4
East South Central.....	6, 243	209, 800	1, 407, 667	350, 819	24. 9
West South Central.....	43, 530	7, 212, 393	42, 996, 029	11, 866, 131	27. 6
Mountain.....	75, 847	7, 624, 017	48, 548, 793	12, 902, 344	26. 6
Pacific.....	20, 809	3, 226, 405	33, 877, 298	9, 416, 034	27. 8
Middle Atlantic.....	4, 199	105, 411	1, 104, 725	277, 748	25. 1
New York.....	589	12, 325	159, 143	41, 048	25. 8
New Jersey.....	192	3, 564	42, 359	9, 756	23. 0
Pennsylvania.....	3, 418	89, 522	903, 223	226, 944	25. 1
East North Central.....	87, 061	2, 621, 472	25, 445, 175	6, 577, 500	25. 8
Ohio.....	23, 854	613, 471	6, 055, 200	1, 610, 367	26. 6
Indiana.....	24, 041	747, 336	6, 894, 620	1, 751, 509	25. 4
Illinois.....	23, 982	1, 001, 837	9, 310, 632	2, 355, 556	25. 3
Michigan.....	13, 981	245, 019	3, 040, 744	824, 777	27. 1
Wisconsin.....	1, 203	13, 809	143, 979	35, 291	24. 5
West North Central.....	323, 593	29, 874, 796	191, 145, 163	52, 050, 513	27. 2
Minnesota.....	21, 729	842, 332	6, 735, 764	1, 761, 960	26. 2
Iowa.....	3, 700	143, 577	1, 742, 130	411, 053	23. 6
Missouri.....	16, 149	697, 452	5, 847, 010	1, 437, 074	24. 6
North Dakota.....	104, 326	9, 929, 315	51, 671, 521	14, 256, 887	27. 6
South Dakota.....	49, 590	3, 517, 928	18, 162, 113	4, 957, 293	27. 3
Nebraska.....	34, 038	2, 643, 449	21, 676, 503	5, 727, 267	26. 4
Kansas.....	94, 061	12, 100, 743	85, 310, 122	23, 498, 979	27. 5
South Atlantic.....	18, 136	593, 932	5, 709, 159	1, 505, 233	26. 4
Delaware.....	686	36, 731	383, 794	106, 130	27. 7
Maryland.....	7, 741	289, 238	2, 866, 305	768, 289	26. 8
Virginia.....	7, 648	210, 424	1, 966, 356	498, 908	25. 4
West Virginia.....	972	32, 068	274, 172	74, 137	27. 0
North Carolina.....	1, 058	21, 690	188, 898	50, 233	26. 6
Georgia.....	31	3, 781	29, 634	7, 536	25. 4
East South Central.....	6, 243	209, 800	1, 407, 667	350, 819	24. 9
Kentucky.....	3, 970	138, 868	934, 356	228, 564	24. 5
Tennessee.....	2, 273	70, 932	473, 311	122, 255	25. 8
West South Central.....	43, 530	7, 212, 393	42, 996, 029	11, 866, 131	27. 6
Arkansas.....	41	1, 787	9, 618	2, 605	27. 1
Oklahoma.....	29, 066	3, 536, 420	24, 049, 783	6, 623, 642	27. 5
Texas.....	14, 423	3, 674, 186	18, 936, 628	5, 239, 884	27. 7

See footnotes at end of table.

16 PAYMENTS UNDER AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 7.—*Summary, by States, of the 1933 wheat allotment program, showing the basis of payment, as of Jan. 6, 1936—Continued*

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted ¹	Base wheat, acres ²	Wheat allotment ³	Total payment ⁴	Net payment per bushel, farm allotment ⁵
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
Mountain.....	75,847	7,624,017	48,548,793	12,902,344	26.6
Montana.....	39,114	4,321,133	22,706,300	6,024,022	26.5
Idaho.....	14,779	980,849	12,187,305	3,279,014	26.9
Wyoming.....	2,363	247,503	1,590,188	390,746	24.6
Colorado.....	11,863	1,467,323	7,730,743	2,049,966	26.5
New Mexico.....	1,684	386,185	1,776,807	487,217	27.4
Arizona.....	114	6,155	76,049	20,357	26.8
Utah.....	5,627	206,335	2,369,450	622,692	26.3
Nevada.....	303	8,534	111,951	28,330	25.3
Pacific.....	20,809	3,226,405	33,877,298	9,416,034	27.8
Washington.....	11,490	1,937,936	20,128,997	5,655,396	28.1
Oregon.....	7,078	846,581	9,336,497	2,593,814	27.8
California.....	2,241	441,888	4,411,804	1,166,824	26.4

¹ Does not include canceled, rejected, or suspended contracts.

² Base wheat acres are the average acres seeded to wheat on the land covered by the contract during the base period (usually 1930, 1931, and 1932) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Wheat allotment is that number of bushels of wheat upon which adjustment payments may be made and is determined on the basis of the average annual production in the base period. It is 54 percent of the total average annual production.

⁴ Computed by multiplying wheat allotment (bushels) by net payment per bushel farm allotment, which was 29 cents, less county association administrative expenses.

⁵ Net payment per bushel farm allotment after deducting county association administrative expenses.

TABLE 8.—*Preliminary summary, by States, of the 1934 wheat program,¹ showing the basis of payment²*

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted	Base wheat acres ³	Contracted acres ⁴	Average per acre ⁵	Normal production on contracted acres ⁶	Wheat allotment ⁷	Total payment ⁸	Payment per bushel reduced ⁹
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
United States.....	569,692	50,327,160	7,549,150	12.5	94,344,956	340,711,120	96,927,629	102.7
Middle Atlantic.....	3,870	122,437	18,365	19.3	354,610	1,276,655	356,409	100.5
East North Central.....	84,687	2,590,621	388,692	17.9	6,971,761	25,088,030	7,078,424	101.5
West North Central.....	319,110	29,132,443	4,369,865	11.7	51,270,019	185,651,615	52,829,545	103.0
South Atlantic.....	17,961	580,967	87,144	17.8	1,549,990	5,580,015	1,586,615	102.4
East South Central.....	6,089	195,763	29,365	12.5	366,048	1,317,745	364,407	99.6
West South Central.....	42,558	7,088,960	1,063,344	10.8	11,519,529	41,470,280	11,938,596	103.6
Mountain.....	75,060	7,521,963	1,128,295	11.8	13,297,249	47,870,085	13,479,096	101.3
Pacific.....	20,357	3,094,006	464,080	19.4	9,015,750	32,456,695	9,294,537	103.1
Middle Atlantic.....	3,870	122,437	18,365	19.3	354,610	1,276,655	356,409	100.5
New York.....	585	12,178	1,826	23.9	43,641	157,175	42,299	96.9
New Jersey.....	188	3,414	512	22.0	11,264	40,555	11,225	99.6
Pennsylvania.....	3,097	106,845	16,027	18.7	299,705	1,078,925	302,885	101.1
East North Central.....	84,687	2,590,621	388,692	17.9	6,971,761	25,088,030	7,078,424	101.5
Ohio.....	23,787	612,632	91,894	18.1	1,663,281	5,987,870	1,689,231	101.6
Indiana.....	23,779	741,322	111,198	17.1	1,901,486	6,845,365	1,929,166	101.5
Illinois.....	22,474	982,283	147,342	17.2	2,534,282	9,121,345	2,584,173	102.0
Michigan.....	13,475	241,372	36,306	23.0	835,038	2,997,840	839,105	100.5
Wisconsin.....	1,172	13,012	1,952	19.3	37,674	135,610	36,749	97.5
West North Central.....	319,110	29,132,443	4,369,865	11.7	51,270,019	185,651,615	52,829,545	103.0
Minnesota.....	21,369	828,012	124,202	14.8	1,835,498	6,617,459	1,851,183	100.9
Iowa.....	3,598	136,901	20,535	22.5	462,038	1,663,352	467,996	101.3
Missouri.....	15,836	719,443	107,916	15.3	1,651,115	5,944,035	1,672,892	101.3
North Dakota.....	104,232	9,782,589	1,467,388	9.6	14,086,928	50,712,940	14,336,068	101.8
South Dakota.....	49,008	3,435,421	515,313	9.6	4,947,005	17,909,225	5,026,399	101.6
Nebraska.....	33,639	2,541,796	381,269	15.2	5,795,289	20,863,070	5,907,916	101.9
Kansas.....	91,428	11,688,281	1,753,242	13.0	22,492,146	82,041,534	23,567,091	104.8

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 8.—*Preliminary summary, by States, of the 1934 wheat program,¹ showing the basis of payment²—Continued*

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted	Base wheat acres ³	Contracted acres ⁴	Average per acre ⁵	Normal production on contracted acres ⁶	Wheat allotment ⁷	Total payment ⁸	Payment per bushel reduced ⁹
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
South Atlantic.....	17,961	580,967	87,144	17.8	1,549,990	5,580,015	1,586,615	102.4
Delaware.....	686	36,239	5,436	19.4	105,458	379,635	107,629	102.1
Maryland.....	7,681	284,187	42,628	18.3	780,092	2,808,340	799,684	102.5
Virginia.....	7,598	207,190	31,078	17.3	537,649	1,935,565	549,565	102.2
West Virginia.....	952	30,856	4,628	15.8	73,122	263,260	74,969	102.5
North Carolina.....	1,029	19,775	2,966	16.1	47,753	171,920	48,675	101.9
Georgia.....	15	2,720	408	14.5	5,916	21,295	6,093	103.0
East South Central.....	6,089	195,763	29,365	12.5	366,048	1,317,745	364,407	99.6
Kentucky.....	3,837	128,126	19,219	12.5	240,238	864,850	240,535	100.1
Tennessee.....	2,252	67,637	10,146	12.4	125,810	452,895	123,872	98.5
West South Central.....	42,558	7,088,960	1,063,344	10.8	11,519,529	41,470,280	11,938,596	103.6
Arkansas.....	41	1,787	268	10.0	2,680	9,618	2,630	98.1
Oklahoma.....	28,100	3,405,002	510,750	12.6	6,435,450	23,167,637	6,675,789	103.7
Texas.....	14,417	3,682,171	552,326	9.2	5,081,399	18,293,025	5,260,177	103.5
Mountain.....	75,060	7,521,963	1,128,295	11.8	13,297,249	47,870,085	13,479,096	101.3
Montana.....	38,975	4,285,247	642,787	9.7	6,235,034	22,446,125	6,302,386	101.1
Idaho.....	14,681	972,259	145,839	23.0	3,354,297	12,075,460	3,402,596	101.4
Wyoming.....	2,350	246,877	37,032	11.9	440,681	1,586,435	447,264	101.5
Colorado.....	11,636	1,417,277	212,592	9.8	2,083,402	7,500,230	2,120,487	101.8
New Mexico.....	1,540	385,022	57,753	8.5	490,900	1,767,255	505,010	102.9
Arizona.....	102	5,503	825	22.9	18,892	68,050	19,213	101.7
Utah.....	5,479	201,344	30,202	21.3	643,303	2,315,855	651,100	101.2
Nevada.....	297	8,434	1,265	24.3	30,740	110,675	31,040	101.0
Pacific.....	20,357	3,094,006	464,080	19.4	9,015,750	32,456,695	9,294,537	103.1
Washington.....	11,387	1,922,730	288,410	19.2	5,537,472	19,934,865	5,711,722	103.1
Oregon.....	6,790	799,982	119,976	20.4	2,447,939	8,812,600	2,521,133	103.0
California.....	2,180	371,294	55,694	18.5	1,030,339	3,709,230	1,061,682	103.0

¹ As of May 15, 1936.

² All items estimated except the total payment.

³ Base wheat acres are the average acres seeded to wheat on the land covered by the contract during the base period (usually 1930, 1931, and 1932) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁴ Contracted acres are the acres kept out of wheat production or the production of any basic agricultural product for sale.

⁵ Average wheat yield per acre is the average yield in previous years reported on each farm, adjusted to conform with county and State statistics.

⁶ Normal production is computed by multiplying the contracted acres by the average production per acre.

⁷ Wheat allotment is that number of bushels of wheat upon which adjustment payments may be made and is determined on the basis of the average annual production in the base period. It is 54 percent of the total average annual production.

⁸ Total payment is computed by multiplying wheat allotment (bushels) by payment per bushel farm allotment, of 29 cents, less county association administrative expenses.

⁹ Payment per bushel reduced is the total payment divided by the normal production on contracted acres.

III. WHEAT PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

Only 18 producers (individuals, partnerships, or corporations) received payments of \$10,000 or more from an individual contract under the 1933 wheat program. These persons were parties to 41 wheat contracts. In 1934, 19 persons received payments of \$10,000 or more; it happened that the number of contracts to which they were parties was again 41. The names of these persons, the tenure under which they operated the various farms covered by their contracts, the facts as to their contracts in each county, the payments to them, and the payments to other interested parties, are shown in tables 9 and 10.

TABLE 9.—1933 wheat program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State

State and county	\$10,000 producers and other payees	Number of contracts	Payments			Acres covered by contract			Base production of wheat ²	Wheat allotment ³
			To principal ¹	To other interested parties	Total	In farm	In crop land	Base acres in wheat ²		
MONTANA										
Big Horn	Campbell Farming Corporation: As owner-operator	2	Dollars 3,697.42	Dollars 3,697.42	Dollars 3,697.42	Acres 4,584	Acres 3,588	Acres 3,159	Bushels 27,736	Bushels 14,977
Do	As landlord	3	61.83			941	377	361	3,169	1,711
Do	2 tenants	10	19,876.47	350.42	412.25	45,325	28,462	19,756	173,409	93,669
	As tenant			2,746.98	22,623.45					
	7 landlords									
State total		15	23,635.72	3,097.40	26,733.12	50,850	32,427	23,276	204,314	110,357
WASHINGTON										
Walla Walla	Armour & Co.: As owner-operator	1	10,513.40		10,513.40	6,573	6,210	3,105	68,553	37,019
Adams	Ben Grote: As landlord	1	965.06							
	1 tenant			1,930.14	2,895.20	2,192	2,171	1,073	19,355	10,452
Walla Walla	As owner-operator	1	18,118.06		18,118.06	8,843	8,380	4,065	118,141	63,796
Do	As tenant	4	7,948.13		11,920.06	5,778	5,385	2,625	77,725	41,972
	4 landlords			3,971.93						
County total		5	26,066.19	3,971.93	30,038.12	14,621	13,765	6,690	195,866	105,768
Walla Walla	Total, Ben Grote	6	27,031.25	5,902.07	32,933.32	16,813	15,936	7,763	215,221	116,220
Whitman	John Hoffman: As owner-operator	1	13,635.05		13,635.05	6,553	6,290	3,060	101,950	53,053
Do	McGregor Land & Livestock Co.: As owner-operator	2	12,144.89		12,144.89	9,020	8,111	4,048	79,755	43,067
Walla Walla	Robison Land & Livestock Co.: As owner-operator	1	15,855.72		15,855.72	6,772	6,582	3,060	103,388	55,830
State total		11	81,180.31	5,902.07	87,082.38	45,731	43,089	21,036	568,867	307,189
CALIFORNIA										
Kings	Jesse S. Anderson: As tenant	1	9,172.06		13,488.33	1,978	1,959	1,929	87,337	47,162
Do	1 landlord			4,316.27	23,514.35	4,160	4,037	3,422	152,256	82,218
	Bank of American National Trust & Savings Association: As owner-operator	1	23,514.35							

Do.....	William A. Crockett: As tenant.....	1	8,008.69	2,002.17	10,010.86	2,195	2,190	1,587	64,821	35,003
Do.....	1 landlord.....				15,497.48	5,890	5,870	3,507	100,347	54,187
Yolo.....	El Rico Land Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	15,497.48			8,734	8,734	5,511	140,453	75,845
	Geer and Saunders: As joint tenants: 4 landlords.....	1	9,962.45	7,179.92	21,539.98	10,642	10,642	6,039	154,028	83,175
Fresno.....	Other joint tenants.....			4,397.61	23,289.00	21,180	21,180	6,971	182,684	98,649
Kern.....	James Irvine: As owner-operator.....	1	23,289.00			44,825	44,825	11,855	208,597	112,672
	Kern County Land Co.: As landlord.....	1	7,619.16	20,495.81		10,290	10,190	3,142	104,552	56,458
Sacramento.....	Natomas Co.: As landlord.....	1	10,140.60	21,061.26	16,146.99	3,078	2,778	2,043	78,170	42,212
Kings.....	Robert F. Schneider: As tenant.....	2	12,703.19	3,443.80	12,072.63					
Do.....	2 landlords.....	1	12,072.63							
Sutter.....	Geo. A. Smith and Mack Lovelace: As own- er-operators.....									
	Sutter Basin Corporation, Limited & Sut- ter Basin Improvement Co., Limited. As landlord.....	1	31,996.15	47,006.70	79,002.85	53,078	48,620	17,643	516,966	279,162
	49 tenants.....									
Kings.....	Arthur H. Wolfson: As owner-operator.....	1	3,988.84		3,988.84	1,187	650	593	28,828	13,947
	As tenant.....	2	21,709.01			9,090	6,421	4,681	194,934	105,264
	2 landlords.....			7,236.37	28,945.38					
	Total, Arthur H. Wolfson.....	3	25,697.85	7,236.37	32,934.22	10,286	7,071	5,274	220,762	119,211
State total.....		15	189,673.61	117,139.91	306,813.52	176,336	168,096	68,923	2,010,973	1,085,954

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² \$10,000 producers, or the party receiving the major payment under a \$10,000 contract.

³ The base acreage of wheat was based upon the average acreage in 1930, 1931, and 1932, on the area covered by the contract, with such adjustments as found necessary to bring the individual contract totals into line with county and State statistics. The base production was the base acreage multiplied by the average wheat yield on the area covered by that contract, as determined by the county committee from available records. The wheat allotment under each contract was 54 percent of the base production.

Do.	1	15,714.23	15,714.23	5,890	3,507	526	100,347	54,187	29	15,254	1.03
Yola.	1	10,172.92	7,331.61	8,734	5,511	827	140,453	75,845	25	20,675	1.06
Kings.	1	8,086.59	4,490.52	4,693	1,929	287	64,548	34,856	33	9,597	1.06
Kern.	1	9,075.48	3,225.17	23,566	(9)	2,184	82,380	44,485	38	12,464	1.04
Fresno.	1	24,120.75	24,120.75	10,642	6,039	906	164,028	83,175	25	22,650	1.06
Kern.	1	7,531.47	20,259.93	21,180	6,971	1,046	182,684	98,649	26	27,196	1.02
Sacramento.	1	10,287.03	21,365.37	44,825	11,855	1,778	208,507	112,672	18	32,004	.99
Kings.	2	12,880.86	3,491.96	10,290	3,142	471	104,552	56,458	33	15,543	1.05
Do.	1	12,241.48	16,372.82	3,078	2,778	306	78,170	42,212	38	11,628	1.05
Sutter.	1	22,612.12	33,220.28	53,078	17,643	2,646	516,966	270,162	29	76,734	.72
Kings.	1	4,044.63	4,044.63	1,187	593	89	25,828	13,947	44	3,916	1.03
	2	22,953.73	7,572.83	9,099	4,681	702	194,934	105,264	42	27,804	1.06
	3	26,998.36	7,572.83	10,236	5,274	791	220,762	119,211	42	31,720	1.09
State total.	17	201,585.56	109,386.12	204,595	73,036	11,012	2,157,901	1,165,295	30	309,040	1.01

1 "§10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

2 "§10,000 producers" or the party receiving the major payment under a \$10,000 contract.

3 The base acreage for 1934 was determined in the same manner as for 1933; see preceding table, footnote 3.

4 The contracted acres are the wheat-acres held out of wheat production or the production of any basic agricultural product for sale under the contract.

5 Average wheat yield per acre is the average yield in previous years reported on each farm, adjusted to conform with county and State statistics.

6 Computed by multiplying the contracted acres by the average yield per acre.

7 Computed by dividing the total payments under the contract by the normal production on contracted acres.

8 Information not available.

9 Final payment has not been made.

COTTON

United States summary, 1933.—All payments and large payments, under the 1933 cotton program, are summarized in table 11.

TABLE 11.—*United States summary: All contracts under the 1933 cotton program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Cotton planted	Cotton rented	Accepted yield per acre ²	Estimated production on rented acres ³
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Bales</i>
All contracts ⁴ -----	1, 031, 549	29, 895, 033	10, 479, 866	190	3, 983, 125
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers-----	1, 031, 242	29, 356, 034	10, 297, 074	189	3, 884, 052
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹⁰ -----	307	538, 999	182, 792	271	99, 073
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts-----	<i>Percent</i> 0. 003	<i>Percent</i> 1. 803	<i>Percent</i> 1. 744	-----	<i>Percent</i> 2. 487

Item	Cotton optioned to producer ⁴	Payments made			
		Cash benefit payments ⁵	From cotton options ⁶	Total	Per pound reduced ⁷
	<i>Bales</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
All contracts ⁸ -----	2, 450, 526	\$ 112, 794, 039	\$ 66, 311, 538	\$ 179, 105, 577	8. 99
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers-----	2, 358, 178	\$ 110, 644, 896	\$ 63, 788, 732	\$ 174, 433, 628	8. 98
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ¹⁰ -----	92, 348	2, 149, 143	2, 522, 806	4, 671, 949	9. 41
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts-----	<i>Percent</i> 3. 768	<i>Percent</i> 1. 905	<i>Percent</i> 3. 804	<i>Percent</i> 2. 603	-----

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a 1933 cotton contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more for a single year.

² The yield per acre was the estimated yield of the crop already partly grown, with such adjustments as necessary to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Computed by multiplying the rented acreage by the estimated yield per acre.

⁴ Bales of 500 pounds, including tare.

⁵ Includes cash benefit payments to producers accepting the all-cash plan, and to those accepting the cash-and-option plan.

⁶ The payments on option cotton include loans made under the 10- and 12-cent loans, and payments on final disposition of the cotton where sold through cotton pool. Only payments actually made to date included.

⁷ Computed by dividing total payments by estimated production on rented acres.

⁸ Totals as of Apr. 15, 1936.

⁹ Includes accounts authorized for payment on Apr. 15, 1936, and may include some amounts not yet disbursed on that date.

¹⁰ Figures as of May 26, 1936. No data available as to division of payments between landlords and tenants. All payments made direct to producers, with producers obligated under their contracts to pay tenants or landlords, as the case might be, their pro-rata share.

Cotton producers who agreed to adjust acreage in 1933 received payments based upon their estimated production upon the acreage taken from production. They were offered a choice of either of two methods of payment; namely, a straight cash payment for the acres removed from production, or a smaller cash payment, plus an option to purchase at 6 cents per pound an equivalent quantity of cotton to what they would have produced on the rented acres. The table shows separately the payments made as acreage rental, and on account of the cotton optioned to the producers. As shown by the table, \$10,000 producers held 0.003 percent of all 1933 cotton contracts; planted 1.8 percent of the cotton planted on the farms of all contract signers; removed from production 2.5 percent of all the cotton removed from production under the 1933 program; and received 2.6 percent of all payments made under 1933 contracts. They selected the cash-and-option plan of payment somewhat more generally than did all

producers, receiving only 1.9 percent of the rental payments made, but 3.8 percent of the payments made thus far on account of cotton options.

The 1933 contracts did not carry any information as to the tenure under which the producer held his land, so no information can be given as to the distribution of the large payments that year as between owners, landlords, and tenants.

United States summary, 1934.—Corresponding data for the 1934 cotton program are given in table 12.

TABLE 12.—*United States summary: All contracts under the 1934 cotton program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Cotton base acres ²	Rented acres ³	Average production per acre ⁴
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Pounds</i>
All contracts ⁵	1,002,009	37,933,750	14,449,261	176
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	1,001,849	37,582,503	14,316,917	175
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁶	160	351,247	132,344	266
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	107	292,100	110,797	265
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as managing share tenants.....	33	4,171	1,699	337
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as cash tenants.....	20	54,976	19,976	253
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts (percent).....	<i>Percent</i> 0.02	<i>Percent</i> 0.93	<i>Percent</i> 0.92	-----

Item	Normal production on rented acres ⁵	Payments made	
		Total ⁶	Per pound reduced ⁷
	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Bales ¹⁰</i>	<i>Dollars</i> <i>Cents</i>
All contracts ⁸	2,540,603,460	5,315,070	115,123,057 4.53
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	2,505,631,255	5,241,906	113,529,571 4.53
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁹	34,972,205	73,164	1,593,486 4.56
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	29,380,127	61,465	1,336,695 4.55
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as managing share tenants.....	572,953	1,199	11 25,671 4.48
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as cash tenants.....	5,019,125	10,500	231,119 4.60
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts (percent).....	<i>Percent</i> 1.38	<i>Percent</i> 1.38	<i>Percent</i> 1.38 -----

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more for a single year.

² Normal acreage of cotton on the farm during the years 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of cotton, and used only in certain specified ways, in conformity with the cotton adjustment contract.

⁴ The average yield per acre on the farm during the period 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre.

⁶ Includes rental payments, based on normal cotton production on rented acres at 3.5 cents per pound, plus parity payments of 1 cent per pound on 40 percent of the base production.

⁷ The total rental and parity payments divided by the number of pounds of normal production on rented acres.

⁸ As of Dec. 31, 1935.

⁹ As of June 1, 1936.

¹⁰ Bales of 478 pounds net weight.

¹¹ Includes \$11,437 paid to landlords.

Cotton producers who agreed to adjust acreage in 1934 received rental payments of 3.5 cents per pound on the estimated normal production of cotton on the land withheld from production, plus parity payments of 1 cent per pound on their domestic allotment, 40 percent

of their average production in the base period (adjusted to conform with control statistics). As shown by the table, \$10,000 producers in 1934 held 0.02 percent of all cotton contracts; produced 0.9 percent of domestic production during the base years; held out of production 0.9 percent of the acres rented, and 1.4 percent of the estimated production on rented acres; and received 1.4 percent of the benefit payments. The payments to \$10,000 producers totalled \$1,593,486, of which \$1,336,695 went to producers owning and operating their farms; \$231,119 went to producers renting their farms for cash; and \$25,672 went to producers renting their farms on shares.

United States summary, 1935.—Cotton is the only commodity except rice and Puerto Rican and Hawaiian sugar for which 1935 payments have been substantially completed. The summary data for the 1935 cotton program are given in table 13.

TABLE 13.—*United States summary: All contracts under the 1935 cotton program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Cotton base acres ²	Rented acres ³	Average yield per acre ⁴
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Pounds</i>
All contracts ⁵ -----	1, 276, 153	41, 737, 834	13, 649, 599	174
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers-----	1, 275, 967	41, 463, 164	13, 560, 061	173
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁶ -----	186	274, 670	89, 538	270
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operat- ing their farms-----	139	229, 376	76, 305	270
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as managing share tenants-----	32	7, 920	2, 728	297
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as cash tenants-----	15	37, 374	10, 505	266
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a per- cent of total for all contracts (percent)-----	<i>Percent</i> 0. 015	<i>Percent</i> 0. 66	<i>Percent</i> 0. 66	-----

Item	Normal production on rented acres ⁸	Payments made		
		Total ⁶	Per pound reduced ⁷	
	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Bales</i> ¹⁰	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
All contracts ⁸ -----	2, 370, 822, 162	4, 959, 879	¹¹ 118, 582, 195	5. 00
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers-----	2, 346, 642, 196	4, 909, 293	¹¹ 117, 371, 538	5. 00
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁹ -----	24, 179, 966	50, 586	1, 210, 657	5. 00
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms-----	20, 570, 848	43, 035	1, 023, 248	4. 97
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as managing share tenants-----	810, 056	1, 695	¹² 40, 110	4. 95
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms as cash tenants-----	2, 799, 062	58, 558	147, 299	5. 26
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts (percent)-----	<i>Percent</i> 1. 02	<i>Percent</i> 1. 02	<i>Percent</i> 1. 02	-----

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Normal acreage of cotton on the farm during the years 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals in line with county and State statistics.

³ Rented acres were the acres held out of the production of cotton, and used only in certain specified ways, in conformity with the cotton adjustment contract.

⁴ The average yield per acre on the farm during the period 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre.

⁶ Includes rental payments, based on normal cotton production on rented acres at 3.5 cents per pound, plus parity payments of 1.25 cents per pound on 40 percent of the base cotton production.

⁷ The total rental and parity payments divided by the number of pounds of normal production on rented acres.

⁸ As of Dec. 31, 1935.

⁹ As of June 1, 1936.

¹⁰ Bales of 478 pounds net weight.

¹¹ Includes accounts authorized for payment on Dec. 31, 1935, but with some amounts not yet disbursed on that date.

¹² Includes \$10,650 paid to landlord.

The 1935 program was conducted substantially along similar lines to that of 1934, except that a somewhat smaller reduction below the base acreage was called for. The rental payments were on the same basis, but the parity payments were increased to 1.25 cents per pound on the domestic allotment.

In 1935, \$10,000 producers held 0.015 percent of all cotton contracts; held out of production 0.7 percent of the acres rented, and 1 percent of the estimated reduction in production on rented acres; and received 1 percent of the benefit payments. The payments to \$10,000 producers total \$1,210,657, of which \$1,023,248 went to producers owning and operating their farms, \$147,299 to producers renting their farms for cash, and \$40,110 was paid under contracts covering farms rented on shares.

II. COTTON PAYMENTS BY STATES

The number of contracts accepted in each State, the basis of payments under those contracts, and the total payments made in each State, are shown in the three tables which follow. The 1933 cotton program is summarized in table 14; the 1934 program in table 15; and the 1935 program in table 16.

TABLE 14.—Summary, by States, of the 1933 cotton program

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted ¹	Contracts with option	Cotton planted Acres	Cotton rented Acres	Accepted yield per acre ²	Calculated production on rented acres ³	Cotton optioned to producer ⁴	Payments made			Per pound reduced ⁵
								Cash benefit payments ⁶	From cotton option ⁶	Total	
	Number	Number			Pounds	Bales	Bales	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Cents
United States.....	1,031,549	577,060	29,895,033	10,479,566	190	3,983,125	2,450,526	112,794,039	66,311,538	179,105,577	8.99
West North Central.....	9,000	2,095	339,625	109,982	315	69,298	27,264	1,846,436	746,206	2,592,642	7.48
South Atlantic.....	223,351	160,363	4,096,095	1,379,724	233	643,067	510,506	16,018,592	13,084,247	29,702,839	8.31
East South Central.....	296,011	157,609	6,081,474	2,007,532	235	129,983	4,837	129,983	2,760,931	5,600,811	8.69
West South Central.....	500,485	255,301	19,183,843	6,917,523	173	2,399,606	1,322,007	71,044,553	35,828,304	106,872,857	8.91
Mountain.....	2,311	1,381	148,880	52,078	322	72,787	9,520	163,405	253,514	416,919	7.79
Pacific.....	391	311	45,116	12,727	420	10,702					
West North Central.....	9,000	2,095	339,625	109,982	315	69,298	27,264	1,846,436	746,206	2,592,642	7.48
Missouri.....	8,972	2,081	338,705	109,683	315	69,186	27,199	1,843,145	744,344	2,587,489	7.48
Kansas.....	28	14	338,920	299	187	112	65	3,291	1,862	5,153	9.20
South Atlantic.....	223,351	160,363	4,096,095	1,379,724	233	643,067	510,506	16,018,592	13,084,247	29,702,839	8.31
Virginia.....	3,172	2,108	27,754	9,795	319	6,262	4,837	129,983	129,678	259,661	8.31
North Carolina.....	49,900	36,460	667,723	225,073	235	129,983	104,838	2,839,880	2,760,931	5,600,811	8.69
South Carolina.....	68,457	57,628	1,276,624	424,385	239	202,891	180,455	4,731,431	4,577,617	9,308,048	9.49
Georgia.....	97,285	62,553	2,070,045	697,687	212	296,463	216,643	8,034,240	5,814,524	13,848,764	9.34
Florida.....	4,437	1,614	53,949	22,784	189	8,003	3,853	263,068	101,497	364,565	8.47
East South Central.....	296,011	157,609	6,081,474	2,007,532	206	826,891	553,443	23,089,050	15,041,428	38,130,478	9.22
Kentucky.....	88	24	8,933	2,804	308	1,726	1,126	41,865	30,974	72,839	8.44
Tennessee.....	46,613	22,218	757,370	262,115	225	117,966	66,245	3,345,828	1,804,601	5,150,429	8.73
Alabama.....	140,777	65,660	2,390,722	811,100	206	334,529	196,145	9,615,860	5,327,349	14,943,209	8.93
Mississippi.....	108,533	69,707	2,894,449	931,513	200	372,670	289,927	10,085,497	7,878,504	17,964,001	9.64
West South Central.....	500,485	255,301	19,183,843	6,917,523	173	2,399,606	1,322,007	71,044,553	35,828,304	106,872,857	8.91
Arkansas.....	99,808	49,946	2,796,369	927,812	213	395,480	249,761	10,837,488	6,802,189	17,639,677	8.93
Louisiana.....	62,130	32,796	1,407,480	454,230	198	178,616	117,603	5,014,328	3,245,084	8,259,412	9.20
Oklahoma.....	87,802	41,375	3,013,981	1,189,469	161	332,323	203,945	5,379,871	3,379,571	8,759,442	8.96
Texas.....	250,745	131,184	11,965,983	4,346,012	166	1,442,187	751,148	43,463,474	20,401,160	63,864,634	8.85

Mountain-----	2,311	1,381	148,880	52,078	322	33,561	27,787	632,003	757,839	1,389,842	8.28
New Mexico-----	1,609	854	84,797	30,481	173	18,000	13,606	364,467	371,986	736,453	8.18
Arizona-----	702	527	64,083	21,597	378	15,561	14,181	267,536	385,853	653,389	8.40
Pacific: California-----	391	311	45,116	12,727	420	10,702	9,520	163,405	253,514	416,919	7.79

¹ Totals as of Apr. 15, 1936.

² The accepted yield per acre represents the indicated yield, based on conditions at the time the offer was made with such adjustments as were necessary to bring the contract totals into line with county and State statistics. The yield per acre as reported by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics on the acreage harvested in 1933 was considerably higher than the accepted yields shown on the contracts, the official estimate of harvested yields per acre being 208.5 pounds for 1933.

³ Computed on the basis of rented acres and accepted yields per acre. Estimates of reduction based on harvested yields show materially larger reduction.

⁴ Bales of 500 pounds, including tare.

⁵ Includes cash benefit payments to producers accepting the all-cash plan, and to those accepting the cash-and-cotton-option plan.

⁶ The payments on option cotton include loans made under the 10- and 12-cent loans, and payments on final disposition of the cotton where sold through cotton pool. Only payments made to date included.

⁷ Computed by dividing total payments by estimated production on rented acres.

TABLE 15.—*Summary, by States, of the 1934 cotton program* ¹

State and division	Con- tracts ac- cepted	Base acres of cotton ²	Rented acres of cotton ³	Aver- age cot- ton yield per acre ⁴	Normal cotton production on rented acres ⁵	Payments made	
						Total ⁶	Per pound reduced ⁷
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Bales</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
United States	1,002,009	37,933,750	14,449,261	176	5,315,070	115,123,057	4.53
West North Central.....	8,750	377,354	143,679	293	87,970	1,908,482	4.54
South Atlantic.....	257,522	6,402,743	2,448,265	206	1,056,212	22,909,977	4.54
East South Central.....	257,418	8,127,801	3,124,679	189	1,233,047	26,656,829	4.52
West South Central.....	472,476	22,593,118	8,568,768	156	2,799,094	60,706,556	4.54
Mountain.....	3,977	273,178	103,316	357	77,307	1,776,328	4.81
Pacific.....	1,866	159,556	60,554	486	61,440	1,164,885	3.97
West North Central.....	8,750	377,354	143,679	293	87,970	1,908,482	4.54
Missouri.....	8,730	376,539	143,360	293	87,875	1,906,434	4.54
Kansas.....	20	815	319	142	95	2,048	4.51
South Atlantic.....	257,522	6,402,743	2,448,265	206	1,056,212	22,909,977	4.54
Virginia.....	4,493	60,323	23,341	276	13,477	291,385	4.52
North Carolina.....	69,987	1,302,032	495,439	250	259,121	5,582,977	4.51
South Carolina.....	70,498	1,863,584	705,811	214	315,991	6,889,161	4.56
Georgia.....	106,082	3,067,981	1,180,723	184	454,504	9,865,243	4.54
Florida.....	6,462	108,823	42,951	146	13,119	281,211	4.48
East South Central.....	257,418	8,127,801	3,124,679	189	1,233,047	26,656,829	4.52
Kentucky.....	289	13,609	5,198	275	2,990	64,770	4.53
Tennessee.....	38,790	1,015,426	388,863	214	174,093	3,771,442	4.53
Alabama.....	123,052	3,253,923	1,275,401	179	477,530	10,339,033	4.53
Mississippi.....	95,287	3,844,843	1,455,217	190	578,434	12,481,584	4.51
West South Central.....	472,476	22,593,118	8,568,768	156	2,799,094	60,706,556	4.54
Arkansas.....	91,317	3,367,946	1,305,894	193	527,275	11,325,778	4.49
Louisiana.....	55,658	1,863,535	721,285	192	290,671	6,256,479	4.50
Oklahoma.....	87,207	3,229,545	1,249,960	148	387,017	8,344,542	4.51
Texas.....	238,294	14,132,092	5,291,629	144	1,594,131	34,779,757	4.56
Mountain.....	3,977	273,178	103,316	357	77,307	1,776,328	4.81
New Mexico.....	2,140	118,362	43,822	364	33,371	707,425	4.43
Arizona.....	1,837	154,816	59,494	353	43,936	1,068,903	5.09
Pacific: California.....	1,866	159,556	60,554	486	61,440	1,164,885	3.97

¹ Preliminary estimate, as of Dec. 31, 1935; does not include cancellation and terminations.² Normal acres planted to cotton in the base period 1928 to 1932, inclusive, adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.³ Rented acres are the acres held out of cotton production or the production of any basic agricultural production for sale under the contract.⁴ Average cotton yield per acre is the average yield in previous years reported on each farm, adjusted to conform with county and State statistics.⁵ 478-pound net equivalent bales; computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre and dividing the pounds lint by 478 to obtain normal cotton production on rented acres.⁶ Paid on a basis of 3.5 cents per pound normal production on rented acres plus 1 cent per pound on 40 percent of the normal base.⁷ Includes accounts authorized for payment on Dec. 31, 1936, but with some amounts not yet disbursed on that date.⁸ Total rental and parity payments divided by the total adjusted production in pounds lint of the rented acres.

TABLE 16.—Summary, by States, of the 1935 cotton program¹

State and division	Contracts accepted	Base acres of cotton ²	Rented acres of cotton ³	Average cotton yield per acre ⁴	Normal cotton production on rented acres ⁵	Payments made	
						Total ⁶	Per pound reduced ⁷
	Number	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Bales	Dollars	Cents
United States.....	1, 276, 153	41, 737, 834	13, 649, 599	174	4, 959, 879	118, 582, 195	5.00
East North Central.....	134	1, 708	487	215	219	5, 480	5.23
West North Central.....	12, 514	434, 571	143, 545	287	86, 320	1, 997, 152	4.84
South Atlantic.....	341, 685	7, 075, 071	2, 349, 122	207	1, 020, 028	24, 034, 023	4.93
East South Central.....	319, 747	8, 591, 976	2, 829, 779	187	1, 111, 898	26, 490, 750	4.98
West South Central.....	593, 090	25, 078, 819	8, 153, 312	152	2, 595, 658	62, 607, 607	5.05
Mountain.....	5, 358	315, 049	100, 947	344	72, 743	1, 740, 046	5.00
Pacific.....	3, 635	240, 640	72, 407	482	73, 013	1, 707, 137	4.89
East North Central: Illinois.....	134	1, 708	487	215	219	5, 480	5.23
West North Central.....	12, 514	434, 571	143, 545	287	86, 320	1, 997, 152	4.84
Missouri.....	12, 494	432, 794	142, 990	288	86, 153	1, 993, 088	4.84
Kansas.....	20	1, 777	555	144	167	4, 064	5.09
South Atlantic.....	341, 685	7, 075, 071	2, 349, 122	207	1, 020, 028	24, 034, 023	4.93
Virginia.....	7, 879	80, 365	27, 581	268	15, 464	366, 928	4.96
North Carolina.....	97, 295	1, 489, 315	502, 214	251	263, 715	6, 208, 618	4.93
South Carolina.....	88, 735	2, 028, 904	656, 613	213	292, 590	6, 810, 998	4.87
Georgia.....	137, 510	3, 341, 871	1, 119, 304	186	435, 545	10, 339, 810	4.97
Florida.....	10, 266	134, 616	43, 410	140	12, 714	307, 669	5.06
East South Central.....	319, 747	8, 591, 976	2, 829, 779	187	1, 111, 898	26, 490, 750	4.98
Kentucky.....	2, 052	21, 546	8, 590	251	4, 511	99, 449	4.61
Tennessee.....	50, 735	1, 113, 011	369, 258	213	164, 544	3, 800, 677	4.83
Alabama.....	156, 954	3, 481, 016	1, 157, 827	178	431, 157	10, 277, 032	4.99
Mississippi.....	110, 006	3, 976, 403	1, 294, 104	189	511, 686	12, 313, 592	5.03
West South Central.....	593, 090	25, 078, 819	8, 153, 312	152	2, 595, 658	62, 607, 607	5.05
Arkansas.....	108, 497	3, 503, 132	1, 171, 375	191	468, 060	11, 167, 495	4.99
Louisiana.....	68, 677	1, 933, 154	624, 243	191	249, 436	6, 001, 848	5.03
Oklahoma.....	121, 365	3, 916, 829	1, 280, 717	146	391, 181	9, 435, 419	5.05
Texas.....	294, 551	15, 725, 704	5, 076, 977	140	1, 486, 981	36, 002, 845	5.07
Mountain.....	5, 358	315, 049	100, 947	344	72, 743	1, 740, 046	5.00
New Mexico.....	3, 066	135, 435	45, 502	345	32, 841	766, 426	4.88
Arizona.....	2, 292	179, 614	55, 445	344	39, 902	973, 620	5.10
Pacific: California.....	3, 635	240, 640	72, 407	482	73, 013	1, 707, 137	4.89

¹ Preliminary estimate, as of Dec. 31, 1935; does not include cancellations and terminations.

² Normal acres planted to cotton in the base period 1928-32, inclusive, adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Rented acres are the acres held out of cotton production or the production of any basic agricultural production for sale under the contract.

⁴ Average cotton yield per acre is the average yield in previous years reported on each farm, adjusted to conform with county and State statistics.

⁵ 478-pound net equivalent bales; computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre and dividing the pounds lint by 478 to obtain normal cotton production on rented acres.

⁶ Paid on a basis of 3.5 cents per pound normal production on rented acres, plus 1¼ cents per pound on 40 percent of the normal base.

⁷ Includes accounts authorized for payment on Dec. 31, 1936, but with some accounts not yet disbursed on that date.

⁸ Total rental and parity payments divided by the total adjusted production in pounds lint of the rented acres.

III. COTTON PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

Under the 1933 cotton program, 242 producers (individuals, partnerships, or corporations) received payments of \$10,000 or more from an individual contract. These producers were parties to 307 cotton contracts. In 1934, 80 persons received payments of \$10,000 or more; they were parties to 160 cotton contracts. In 1935, the number of \$10,000 producers under cotton contracts was 56, and they were parties to 186 contracts. The names of these persons, the tenure under which they operated the various farms covered by their contracts (except in 1933, when no record of tenure was shown on the contracts), the facts as to their contracts in each county and State, the net payments to them and to other interested parties, are shown in tables 18 and 19 which follow. Each list is preceded by a more detailed discussion of the production program for that year, and of the meaning of the various items in the contract.

DETAILED FIGURES FOR LARGE PAYMENTS UNDER THE 1933 COTTON PROGRAM

The following table, table 17, lists the payments to \$10,000 producers under the 1933 cotton program. Where a producer received one large payment, and had additional contracts involving smaller payments in other counties within the State, they are listed following his name and the total shown for the contracts for such producer within that State. Two producers had additional contracts in other States. After the listing of the payments by States and counties, all of the contracts for these two producers are brought together in a supplementary table at the end showing all States and counties under which payments were made to them and the total of all contracts held by them.

Under the contract for the 1933 cotton program, producers could select either of two methods of payment: The first method involved a straight cash payment for the reduction in production, the rates paid varying in accordance with the estimated cotton yield per acre on that farm. Under the second method of payment the producers received a smaller direct cash payment, also varying with the yield of cotton per acre, and in addition received an option to purchase cotton held by the Government. Under the option he was entitled to purchase this cotton at 6 cents per pound and receive credit for any increase in the price of the cotton thereafter. The producers who received contracts entitling them to exercise this option on the cotton rarely took possession of the physical cotton. When the 10-cent loan and later the 12-cent loans on cotton were announced, holders of option cotton were entitled to place option cotton under those loans and to receive cash payments of 4 cents and later on 2 cents more on it. Producers holding options were privileged to direct the sale of the cotton if the price was in excess of the loans and receive the difference as a final settlement. A good many farmers transferred their cotton option contracts to other parties for a consideration of which the Government has no record. Most optionees who did not sell their cotton took out the 4-cent loan. Not all, however, took out the additional 2-cent loan, and most of them had not yet sold their cotton at the time these data were compiled.

The cash payments per acre for the reduction in cotton were as follows:

Estimated yield per acre (pounds)	Cash- only plan	Cash-and- option plan	Estimated yield per acre (pounds)	Cash- only plan	Cash-and- option plan
100-124.....	\$7	\$6	175-224.....	\$14	\$10
125-149.....	9	7	225-274.....	17	11
150-174.....	11	8	275 and over.....	20	12

In the following table, the column headed "Cash benefit payments" shows the total amount of direct cash payment received by the producer, other than payments received through option cotton. This column thus includes cash payments made both under the cash plan and cash-and-option plan. The column headed "Payments from option cotton" shows the payments actually made to these producers under the cotton option held by them either from the 10-cent or 12-cent loan, or from the sale of the cotton. The table also gives additional information as to acres of cotton planted, acres removed from production, estimated yield per acre of the cotton, number of bales of cotton for which producers received an option and the total payment received, per pound of cotton reduced and per acre reduced.

As will be observed, the total of all payments per pound reduced varies widely. This is due to the fact that some \$10,000 producers took the all-cash payment; while among those who took the option cotton in partial payment, their net returns varied with their disposition of the option. Some producers still hold their option contract with only a 10-cent loan against the cotton. No attempt has been made to estimate the probable future payment from the final disposition of option cotton; but all cash payments made to date on account of such options have been included.

TABLE 17.—1933 cotton program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 26, 1936

State and county	Name of producer (s)	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage			Cotton optioned to producer	Esti- mated yield per acre	Payment per pound reduced ²	Payment per acre rented ³
			Cash bene- fit pay- ments ²	From option cotton ³	Total	In farm	Cotton planted	Cotton rented				
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Bales	Pounds	Cents	Dollars
TEXAS	Crosby.....	1	6,520.00	6,657.42	13,177.42	1,840	1,620	652	241.240	185	10.92	20.21
	Robertson.....	1	4,950.00	5,986.44	10,936.44	2,840	1,500	450	216.900	241	10.08	24.30
	Do.....	1	5,225.00	6,555.00	11,780.00	3,150	1,900	475	237.500	250	9.92	24.80
	R. Q. Asfin, estate.....	1	4,818.00	6,044.40	10,862.40	1,636	1,175	438	219.000	250	9.92	24.80
	Milam.....	5	13,902.00	12,766.86	26,668.86	6,266	3,767	1,246	462.582	186	11.51	21.40
	Robertson.....	1	4,800.00	6,281.00	11,081.00	2,200	1,081	400	220.000	275	10.07	27.70
	Burleson.....	1	12,432.00	21,445.20	33,877.20	4,616	3,122	1,036	777.000	375	8.72	32.70
	Nueces.....	1	19,080.00	28,524.60	47,604.60	8,980	6,310	1,596	1,033.500	325	9.21	29.94
	Do.....	1	5,750.00	7,935.00	13,685.00	3,167	2,300	575	287.500	220	10.82	23.80
	Burleson.....	1	4,896.00	8,445.60	13,341.60	1,845	1,188	408	306.000	375	8.72	32.70
	Do.....	1	6,000.00	8,611.20	14,611.20	2,532	2,000	500	312.000	312	9.37	29.22
	Nueces.....	1	8,400.00	14,490.00	22,890.00	1,500	1,435	700	525.000	375	8.72	32.70
	Fannin.....	1	4,800.00	5,531.04	10,331.04	3,958	1,740	600	200.400	172	10.31	17.22
	Fort Bend.....	2	14,300.00	16,469.80	30,769.80	8,600	4,400	1,630	560.500	172	10.97	18.88
	Nueces.....	1	6,050.00	4,950.00	11,000.00	5,700	1,700	350	247.500	225	9.89	20.00
	Refugio.....	1	4,200.00	6,375.60	10,575.60	970	700	270	231.000	330	9.16	30.22
	Nueces.....	1	6,000.00	13,800.00	19,800.00	1,240	1,080	500	500.000	500	7.92	39.60
	Do.....	1	5,280.00	10,929.60	16,209.60	1,038	885	440	396.000	450	8.19	36.84
	Refugio.....	1	6,050.00	7,500.00	13,550.00	1,547	1,132	550	275.000	250	9.92	24.80
	Dickens.....	1	5,600.00	5,520.00	11,120.00	2,800	2,400	800	200.000	125	11.12	13.90
	Houston.....	1	5,124.00	7,660.38	12,784.38	1,670	900	427	277.550	325	9.21	29.94
	Do.....	1	4,800.00	8,059.20	12,859.20	2,500	1,500	400	292.000	365	8.81	32.15
	Caldwell.....	1	21,624.00	-----	21,624.00	3,277	2,545	1,272	-----	250	6.80	17.00
	Robertson.....	1	5,810.00	5,744.64	11,554.64	1,321	1,200	581	203.350	175	11.36	19.89
	El Paso.....	1	3,804.00	3,367.90	7,171.90	3,573	1,261	317	273.888	432	7.43	32.09
	Grimes.....	1	9,060.00	13,884.45	22,944.45	5,436	2,320	755	453.000	300	10.13	30.39
	Burleson.....	1	13,224.00	22,811.40	36,035.40	2,851	2,204	1,102	826.500	375	8.72	32.70
	Brazos.....	1	7,931.00	10,904.82	18,835.82	2,369	1,443	721	395.108	274	9.53	26.12
	Houston.....	1	15,504.00	26,744.40	42,248.40	(7)	4,932	1,232	969.000	375	8.72	32.70
	Karnes.....	1	6,750.00	6,520.50	13,270.50	3,410	1,370	675	236.250	175	11.23	19.66
	Wilson.....	1	825.00	-----	825.00	307	166	75	-----	150	7.33	11.00
	Total, Frank Nieschwitz & Co.	2	7,575.00	6,520.50	14,095.50	3,717	1,536	750	236.250	172	10.93	18.79

Burlison.....	1	9,204.00	14,818.44	24,022.44	2,398	1,654	767	536,900	350	8.95	31.32
Nueces.....	1	6,800.00	12,124.13	18,924.13	3,200	2,000	680	439,280	200	13.91	27.83
Do.....	1	6,000.00	8,280.00	14,580.00	1,727	1,480	600	300,000	250	9.92	24.80
Guy S. Perry.....	1	4,800.00	6,624.00	11,424.00	2,400	1,032	400	240,000	300	9.52	28.36
Burlison.....	1	4,488.00	7,741.80	12,229.80	2,020	1,062	374	280,500	375	8.72	32.70
Brazos.....	1	6,648.00	9,174.24	15,822.24	3,939	2,032	554	372,400	300	10.52	21.04
Red River.....	1	6,900.00	7,617.60	14,517.60	4,174	2,549	696	326,000	200	10.52	21.04
Karnes.....	1	5,390.00	6,085.80	11,475.80	997	980	490	230,500	225	10.41	23.42
Nueces.....	1	8,750.00	7,800.00	16,590.00	5,665	3,500	875	392,000	224	9.46	28.46
Brazos.....	1	4,642.00	6,359.13	11,001.13	1,600	850	422	230,412	273	9.55	26.07
Milam.....	2	2,255.00	2,112.00	4,367.00	920	675	205	105,600	258	8.26	21.30
Robertson.....	2	4,950.00	6,085.80	11,035.80	1,386	900	450	220,500	245	10.01	24.52
San Patricio.....	3	7,205.00	8,197.80	15,402.80	2,306	1,575	655	326,100	249	9.44	23.52
Brazos.....	1	5,112.00	11,404.47	16,516.47	1,442	882	426	413,220	249	7.99	38.77
Do.....	1	5,775.00	6,549.48	12,324.48	2,000	1,100	525	237,300	226	10.39	23.48
Terrell Bros.....	1	4,920.00	6,642.00	11,562.00	3,402	1,636	410	246,000	300	9.40	28.20
Texas prison system.....	4	16,888.00	23,676.23	40,564.23	30,174	5,784	1,449	842,200	291	9.62	27.99
Houston.....	1	7,700.00	9,660.00	17,360.00	13,000	2,800	700	350,000	250	9.92	24.80
Hudspeth.....	5	24,588.00	33,336.23	57,924.23	43,174	8,584	2,149	1,192,200	277	9.73	26.95
Brazos.....	1	16,580.00	16,580.00	16,580.00	(?)	3,110	829	300,000	300	6.67	20.00
Falls.....	1	5,400.00	8,363.03	13,823.03	(?)	910	455	303,030	333	9.12	30.38
Westbrook Satin Farms, Inc.....	2	6,061.00	6,900.00	12,961.00	5,896	1,225	551	250,000	227	10.36	23.52
State total.....	61	383,432.00	481,664.60	865,096.60	\$ 179,479	97,765	33,359	17,591,110	282	9.19	25.90
Mississippi											
Abbey & Leatherman.....	1	5,005.00	5,651.10	10,656.10	3,100	1,820	455	204,750	225	10.41	23.42
Joel R. Adams, estate.....	1	4,620.00	5,796.00	10,416.00	1,775	1,400	420	210,000	250	9.92	24.80
Washington.....	1	5,010.00	6,194.76	11,204.76	(?)	2,000	501	224,448	224	9.88	22.36
William S. Atkinson.....	1	4,800.00	6,070.00	10,872.00	2,400	850	400	220,000	275	9.88	27.18
Washington.....	1	4,068.00	6,811.46	10,879.46	1,757	1,100	339	246,792	304	8.82	32.09
Lefflore.....	1	5,000.00	6,072.00	11,072.00	6,500	2,000	500	220,000	220	10.07	22.14
Do.....	1	7,512.00	10,021.01	17,533.01	5,318	2,281	626	363,080	290	9.66	28.01
Brooks Plantations.....	2	9,550.00	11,140.54	20,690.54	3,313	1,915	955	401,360	210	10.32	21.67
Estate of David F. Bremner.....	1	4,540.00	5,925.87	10,765.87	5,927	1,760	440	214,720	244	10.03	24.47
T. C. Buford estate and Mrs. Lillian Buford.....	1	6,000.00	6,624.00	12,624.00	5,140	1,800	600	240,000	200	10.52	21.04
William Thomas Bush.....	1	6,630.00	7,617.60	14,217.60	2,050	1,213	600	276,000	230	10.30	23.70
J. F. Craig.....	1	5,500.00	7,008.75	12,508.75	(?)	500	500	225,000	225	11.12	25.02
Oran L. Cox.....	1	10,200.00	16,422.00	26,622.00	(?)	1,700	850	595,000	350	8.95	31.32
Wallace E. Cox.....	1	4,800.00	6,624.00	11,424.00	1,400	1,200	400	240,000	300	9.52	28.56
Do.....	1	2,200.00	2,484.00	4,684.00	(?)	800	200	90,000	225	10.41	23.42
Tunica.....	2	7,000.00	9,108.00	16,108.00	\$ 1,400	2,000	600	330,000	275	9.76	26.85
Total, Wallace E. Cox.....											

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 17.—1933 cotton program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 26, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage			Cotton optioned to producer	Estimated yield per acre ⁴	Payment per pound reduced ⁵	Payment per acre rented ⁶
			Cash benefit payments ²	From option cotton ³	Total	In farm	Cotton planted	Cotton rented				
MISSISSIPPI—Contd.												
Washington.....	Dean & Co.....	1	Dollars 16,320.00	Dollars 9,654.48	Dollars 16,254.48	Acres 3,760	Acres 2,200	Acres 550	Bales 348,800	Pounds 318	Cents 9.29	Dollars 29.55
Bolivar.....	Delta Farms Co.....	1	13,600.00	18,381.60	31,701.60	7,500	4,440	1,110	666,000	300	9.52	28.56
Do.....	Delta & Pine Land Co.....	1	41,400.00	57,132.00	98,532.00	37,000	13,800	3,450	2,070,000	300	9.52	28.56
Washington.....	do.....	1	7,200.00	9,105.00	16,305.00	5,000	2,399	600	330,000	275	9.88	27.18
Washington.....	Total, Delta & Pine Land Co.....	2	48,600.00	66,240.00	114,840.00	42,000	16,199	4,050	2,400,000	296	9.58	28.36
Washington.....	Pauline A. Dierks.....	1	7,200.00	9,936.00	17,136.00	2,000	1,200	600	360,000	300	9.52	28.56
Yazoo.....	Will Dockery.....	2	26,000.00	32,775.00	58,775.00	8,300	5,200	2,250	1,187,500	264	9.89	26.12
Sunflower.....	W. H. Downer, estate.....	1	15,000.00	6,900.00	21,900.00	4,766	1,600	750	250,000	275	7.27	20.00
Do.....	W. M. Duncan.....	1	5,500.00	6,900.00	12,400.00	(7)	1,500	500	250,000	250	9.92	24.80
Washington.....	Woods C. Eastland.....	1	11,683.00	14,669.40	26,352.40	4,000	2,400	1,063	531,500	250	9.92	24.80
Coahoma.....	Eugene H. Fisher.....	1	11,000.00	13,800.00	24,800.00	2,960	2,000	1,000	500,000	250	9.92	24.80
Sharkey.....	Gotcher & Ratliff.....	1	5,742.00	6,627.31	12,369.31	1,693	1,305	522	2,401,120	230	10.30	23.70
Do.....	Mrs. Floyd B. Graft and Mrs. Annie B. Carpenter.....	1	10,000.00	-----	10,000.00	1,779	1,100	500	-----	275	7.27	20.00
Holmes.....	John Edgar Gwin.....	1	5,400.00	7,452.00	12,852.00	(7)	1,800	450	270,000	300	9.52	28.56
Washington.....	John H. Halliburton.....	1	4,763.00	5,553.22	10,316.22	2,000	1,600	433	216,500	250	9.53	28.82
Do.....	Luther C. Hays.....	1	5,225.00	6,948.30	12,173.30	2,574	1,512	475	251,750	265	9.67	25.63
Left re.....	Allen M. Hobbs.....	2	11,220.00	13,082.40	24,302.40	8,228	2,040	1,020	474,000	232	10.27	23.83
Tunica.....	Edgar M. Hood.....	1	5,500.00	6,210.00	11,710.00	(7)	1,000	500	225,000	225	10.41	23.80
Coahoma.....	H. H. Hopson estate.....	1	7,700.00	9,660.00	17,360.00	3,000	2,010	700	340,000	250	9.92	24.80
Bolivar.....	Alexander Max Hyman.....	5	8,980.00	10,730.88	19,690.88	2,992	1,749	828	388,800	236	10.12	23.78
Sunflower.....	A. B. Jones and Maggie D. Jones.....	1	5,500.00	6,210.00	11,710.00	(7)	1,900	500	225,000	225	10.41	23.42
Coahoma.....	King & Anderson, Inc.....	1	20,794.00	24,335.25	45,089.25	9,738	5,632	1,884	881,712	234	10.22	23.92
Quitman.....	do.....	1	1,045.00	1,210.61	2,255.61	800	380	95	44,080	232	10.26	23.81
Sharkey.....	Total, King & Anderson, Inc.....	2	21,769.00	25,551.86	47,320.86	10,538	6,032	1,979	925,792	234	10.22	23.91
Coahoma.....	Henry Kline.....	1	7,992.00	10,109.88	18,101.88	4,000	2,400	666	366,300	275	9.88	27.18
Bolivar.....	Kline Planting Co.....	1	8,580.00	9,837.60	18,267.60	5,000	3,080	780	351,000	225	10.41	23.42
Do.....	do.....	1	1,760.00	1,987.20	3,747.20	882	600	160	72,000	225	10.41	23.42
Washington.....	Total, Kline Planting Co.....	2	9,340.00	11,674.80	22,014.80	5,882	3,680	940	423,000	225	10.41	23.42

Bolivar	Murry S. Knowlton	1	6,720.00	6,852.46	13,582.46	3,716	1,680	672	248,640	185	10.03	20.21
Coahoma	John W. Lawler	1	5,522.00	6,927.60	12,449.60	2,024	2,009	502	251,000	250	9.92	24.80
Sunflower	Reese W. Manning	1	4,895.00	6,193.44	11,089.44	(7)	1,630	408	224,400	275	9.88	27.18
Do	May Bros.	1	15,000.00	19,320.00	34,320.00	4,080	3,000	1,250	700,000	280	9.81	27.46
Coahoma	McKee Bros.	1	18,513.00	22,296.38	40,809.38	10,000	5,685	1,683	807,840	240	10.10	24.25
Sunflower	McLean & Scruggs	1	4,800.00	6,072.00	10,872.00	(7)	1,500	400	220,000	275	9.88	27.18
Do	Mississippi State Penitentiary	1	39,600.00	36,000.00	75,600.00	15,792	3,600	3,600	1,200,000	250	8.40	21.00
Leflore	Morgan Bros.	1	5,775.00	6,810.30	12,585.30	4,500	2,100	525	246,750	225	10.20	23.97
Do	Nebo Planting Co.	1	6,630.00	6,961.38	12,591.38	(7)	2,200	563	252,224	224	9.98	22.36
Bolivar	Dorothy W. Oberst	1	5,000.00	5,106.00	10,106.00	1,764	1,000	500	185,000	185	10.03	20.21
Tunica	R. W. Owen & Son	1	12,000.00	13,662.00	25,762.00	(7)	4,000	1,000	493,000	225	10.41	23.42
Do	Mrs. S. W. Owen	1	5,500.00	6,210.00	11,710.00	12,320	1,350	500	223,000	225	10.41	23.42
Sharkey	Panther Burn Co.	1	19,200.00	26,496.00	45,696.00	(7)	6,400	1,600	960,000	300	8.52	28.45
Tunica	Penn and Harbert	1	6,325.00	7,141.50	13,466.50	3,000	2,300	575	258,750	225	10.41	23.42
Do	Phillipston Plantation	1	10,700.00	10,700.00	21,400.00	3,000	1,600	575	221,800	274	10.06	27.38
Leflore	William N. Pillow	1	4,444.00	6,697.05	11,141.05	1,700	1,808	404	202,500	225	10.41	23.42
Do	J. H. Reed and Mrs. Virgie E. Reed	1	7,000.00	7,728.00	14,728.00	(7)	1,700	700	280,000	200	10.92	21.04
Do	The Robertshaw Co.	1	10,236.00	12,948.54	23,184.54	(7)	3,411	853	469,150	275	9.88	27.18
Quitman	Peyton M. B. Self	1	5,605.00	6,917.38	12,522.38	3,800	2,000	513	246,170	239	10.22	24.43
Bolivar	Shelby Planting Co.	1	3,700.00	10,608.50	20,308.50	6,375	3,800	970	388,000	200	10.47	20.94
Leflore	Shelton Plantation Co., Inc.	1	6,633.00	7,872.77	14,505.77	4,880	2,400	603	307,530	255	9.43	24.06
Coahoma	Geo. H. Shepard & Son	1	8,236.00	9,322.00	17,772.00	4,499	2,246	750	345,000	225	10.30	23.70
Bolivar	Dorothy W. Sillers	1	4,966.00	5,389.00	10,359.00	1,900	911	450	202,500	225	10.41	23.42
Tallahatchie	W. M. Simpson	1	5,406.00	6,581.00	12,251.00	(7)	1,500	450	247,500	275	9.88	27.18
Do	Ione Smith	1	4,896.00	6,233.48	11,134.48	1,227	817	408	226,032	277	9.85	27.29
Bolivar	Do	1	4,836.00	6,162.03	10,998.03	2,241	1,531	403	223,262	277	9.85	27.29
Coahoma	Total, Ione Smith	2	9,732.00	12,400.51	22,132.51	3,468	2,348	811	449,294	277	9.85	27.29
Tunica	Mrs. W. H. Stovall	1	5,500.00	6,900.00	12,400.00	4,800	2,000	500	250,000	225	9.92	24.80
Do	Luther M. Street, Jr.	1	5,500.00	6,210.00	11,710.00	(7)	2,000	500	225,000	250	10.41	23.42
Quitman	M. P. Sturdivant plantation	1	8,340.00	10,588.46	18,928.46	(7)	2,737	695	383,646	276	9.87	27.24
Tallahatchie	Do	1	4,800.00	6,094.08	10,894.08	3,785	1,593	400	220,800	276	9.87	27.24
Do	Total, M. P. Sturdivant plantation.	2	13,140.00	16,682.54	29,822.54	* 3,785	4,330	1,095	604,440	276	9.87	27.24
De Soto	Hope P. Sullivan	1	6,050.00	7,893.60	13,943.60	1,775	1,200	550	312,000	260	9.75	25.35
Sunflower	Sundowner Plantation, Inc.	1	10,080.00	12,751.20	22,831.20	4,520	2,800	840	462,000	275	9.88	27.18
Washington	Trail Lake Planting Co.	1	6,900.00	9,522.00	16,422.00	3,600	2,300	575	345,000	300	9.52	28.56
Leflore	Wildwood Plantation Co., Inc.	1	5,400.00	9,446.12	14,446.12	(7)	1,800	450	247,500	300	10.70	32.10
Washington	Torry Wood	1	4,800.00	7,728.00	12,528.00	1,700	1,400	350	280,000	350	8.95	31.32
Bolivar	A. M. Wooten & Co.	1	8,080.00	10,974.00	18,104.00	2,972	1,466	730	365,000	272	9.92	24.80
Do	Margaret B. Wynn	1	4,400.00	5,630.40	10,030.40	1,200	800	400	204,000	255	9.83	25.08
State total		84	647,820.00	758,244.19	1,406,064.19	* 255,622	174,090	56,131	30,059,290	257	9.75	25.05

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 17.—1933 cotton program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 26, 1936.—Continued

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Number of con- tracts	Payments			Acreage			Cotton optioned to pro- ducer	Esti- mated yield per acre ⁴	Payment per pound reduced ⁵	Payment per acre rented ⁶
			Cash bene- fit pay- ments ¹	From option cotton ³	Total	In farm	Cotton planted	Cotton rented				
ARIZONA												
Pinal	William J. Gaither	1	Dollars 3,840.00	Dollars 6,182.40	Dollars 10,022.40	740	Acres 740	Acres 320	Bales 224,000	Pounds 350	Cents 8.95	Dollars 31.32
Maricopa	Gilispie Land & Irrigation Co.	1	7,920.00	9,986.00	17,856.00	12,000	720	720	360,000	250	9.92	24.80
Do.	Lone Butte Farms Co.	1	4,320.00	7,776.00	12,096.00	2,006	1,440	360	288,000	400	8.40	33.60
State total		3	16,080.00	23,894.40	39,974.40	14,746	3,940	1,400	872,000	311	9.18	28.55
CALIFORNIA												
Fresno	Hotchkiss Estate Co.	1	10,356.00	15,275.10	25,631.10	11,000	3,314	863	647,250	375	7.92	29.70
KENTUCKY												
Fulton	R. R. Tipton	1	6,000.00	8,970.00	14,970.00	(7)	1,145	500	325,000	325	9.21	29.94
NEW MEXICO												
Eddy	C. W. Beeman	1	4,200.00	8,694.00	12,894.00	1,072	900	350	315,000	450	8.19	36.84
Do.	Pardue and Guitier	2	6,924.00	13,198.87	20,122.87	4,350	2,144	577	478,220	414	8.42	34.87
Dona Ana	Stahmann Farms	1	8,676.00	16,361.94	25,037.94	3,317	1,646	723	592,860	410	7.39	30.29
Eddy	Valley Land Co.	1	5,628.00	8,776.30	14,404.30	6,115	1,800	469	317,952	339	9.06	30.71
State total		5	25,428.00	47,031.11	72,458.71	14,854	6,490	2,119	1,704,062	402	8.51	34.19
NORTH CAROLINA												
Hallifax	W. A. Pierce, Jr.	1	3,900.00	7,480.98	11,380.98	3,779	653	325	271,050	417	8.40	35.02
OKLAHOMA												
Grady	Minter Bros. & Davidson	1	4,570.00	5,845.21	10,415.21	2,008	925	457	204,736	224	10.17	22.79
ARKANSAS												
Pulaski	J. R. Alexander	1	9,600.00	12,806.40	22,406.40	3,283	1,800	800	464,000	290	9.66	28.01
Do.	Charles N. Alexander	1	7,128.00	9,209.44	16,337.44	2,200	1,200	594	332,640	280	9.82	27.50
Jefferson	Leo M. Andrews	1	5,940.00	6,706.80	12,646.80	5,000	1,800	540	270,000	250	9.37	23.42
Do.	Arkansas Board of Penal Insti- tutions.	2	33,520.00		33,520.00	(7)	5,050	2,060		213	7.64	16.27
Crittenden	Banks & Danner Co.	1	80,000.00		80,000.00	16,500	8,400	4,000		275	7.27	20.00
Jefferson	Mrs. Annie M. Barrow	1	7,150.00	8,970.00	16,120.00	2,300	1,700	650	325,000	250	9.92	24.80
St. Francis	E. L. and F. B. Belshe	1	7,020.00	8,648.90	15,668.90	2,040	1,300	585	267,600	280	9.57	26.78
Mississippi	Lon P. Bowden	1	4,800.00	7,728.00	12,528.00	2,555	1,500	400	280,000	350	8.95	31.32
Do.	R. H. Bowden	1	10,200.00	15,718.20	25,918.20	2,380	2,000	850	569,500	335	9.10	30.49

Puaski	R. W. and R. L. Bredlow	1	6,000.00	7,500.00	13,500.00	1,750	1,000	500	275,000	275	9.88	27.18
Crittenden	Hugh M. Brinkley & Co.	1	9,600.00	16,500.00	26,100.00	4,600	2,300	800	600,000	375	8.72	32.70
Mississippi	W. H. Bryant	1	3,600.00	6,624.00	10,224.00	2,283	1,200	300	240,000	400	8.52	34.08
Do	Burdette Plantation, Inc.	1	7,400.00	12,449.50	19,849.50	3,200	1,700	450	270,000	300	9.37	38.11
Crittenden	L. H. Carruth	1	5,000.00	10,587.36	15,587.36	3,200	2,400	700	383,600	274	9.57	36.12
Jefferson	Lalayette W. Clement Estate	1	5,000.00	5,520.00	10,520.00	3,200	1,200	500	200,000	300	10.52	21.04
Pulaski	Will B. Cole	1	4,800.00	6,624.00	11,424.00	1,250	800	400	240,000	300	9.52	28.56
Lee	Edward D. Conner	1	6,650.00	8,690.00	14,740.00	1,705	1,100	550	275,000	225	10.72	26.80
Jefferson	Pierce W. Couch Farms	1	10,200.00	6,279.00	16,200.00	2,020	1,400	600	227,500	325	7.56	17.00
Phillips	James M. Countiss, Jr.	1	4,200.00	7,043.52	10,479.00	(C)	850	350	255,200	290	9.21	29.94
Pulaski	Alfred H. Craig	1	5,280.00	8,977.50	12,323.52	1,970	1,100	440	330,000	290	9.66	28.01
Phillips	Delta Land Co.	1	7,700.00	12,796.11	16,677.50	4,940	1,400	700	330,000	250	9.53	33.82
St. Francis	William W. Draper	1	9,405.00	12,796.11	22,195.11	3,899	2,400	855	463,416	271	9.58	25.96
Drew	Chas. T. Duke Estate	1	7,260.00	8,015.04	15,275.04	8,627	2,183	726	238,456	178	11.82	31.21
Poinsett	Farview Farms Co. and Hiram Norcross	1	10,080.00	16,136.06	26,216.06	6,500	3,360	840	584,640	348	8.97	21.04
Phillips	Theo. Fathauer	1	9,900.00	13,905.00	23,805.00	2,200	1,800	900	450,000	250	10.58	26.45
Pulaski	E. M. Faver	1	11,520.00	15,897.60	27,417.60	6,430	2,450	960	576,000	300	9.52	28.56
Jefferson	Ferda Plantation	1	7,865.00	8,970.00	16,835.00	3,440	2,450	960	357,500	250	9.92	24.80
Do	Jim G. Ford	1	8,800.00	11,280.00	20,080.00	3,800	2,400	900	400,000	250	10.04	25.10
Crittenden	Green River Lumber Co.	1	10,800.00	18,630.00	29,430.00	5,550	2,300	900	675,000	375	8.72	32.70
Ashley	E. D. Gregory	1	5,000.00	5,520.00	10,520.00	3,000	1,500	500	200,000	200	10.52	21.04
Lee	John R. Hall	1	7,430.00	5,215.00	12,645.00	3,353	2,900	745	260,750	175	9.71	17.00
Poinsett	Orange Judd Hill	1	7,000.00	7,728.00	14,728.00	5,800	2,000	700	280,000	200	10.52	21.04
Phillips	Howe Lumber Co.	4	17,042.00	20,648.18	37,690.18	3,650	3,038	1,517	780,650	257	9.67	24.85
St. Francis	John P. Kallogg	1	9,120.00	12,585.60	21,705.60	3,186	1,725	760	456,000	300	9.52	28.56
Crittenden	R. T. Kuhn	7	8,778.00	9,165.75	17,943.75	2,849	2,313	798	375,060	235	9.57	22.49
Jefferson	E. R. Lambert	1	5,632.00	7,065.60	12,097.60	3,200	2,050	512	256,000	250	9.92	24.80
Poinsett	L. D. Landers	1	6,420.00	8,741.47	15,161.47	3,000	1,400	535	316,720	296	9.57	28.34
Jefferson	Lesser, Goldman Co.	1	4,500.00	5,692.50	10,192.50	3,000	1,500	375	206,250	224	9.88	27.18
Do	C. D. Long	1	5,000.00	6,182.40	11,182.40	(C)	2,000	500	224,000	275	9.98	22.36
Miller	Jesse L. Lowe	1	4,800.00	6,744.00	11,544.00	2,207	1,300	400	240,000	300	9.62	28.86
Mississippi	Lawrence Bros. and Co., Inc.	1	5,700.00	9,273.60	15,033.60	2,627	1,850	480	336,000	350	8.95	31.32
Jefferson	W. P. McGeorge	1	5,600.00	8,866.24	15,466.24	2,607	1,850	480	336,000	350	8.95	31.32
Mississippi	Arthur E. Madlock	2	11,580.00	13,862.24	25,442.24	9,100	1,200	300	502,400	237	10.01	24.01
Chicot	Reynolds H. Meyer	1	6,600.00	8,862.00	10,452.00	1,500	1,200	600	300,000	250	9.92	24.80
Ashley	Henry H. Naft	1	8,000.00	8,832.00	16,832.00	2,963	1,675	500	320,000	200	10.52	21.04
Chicot	Harvey Parnell	1	5,500.00	6,210.00	11,710.00	3,000	1,000	700	225,000	225	10.41	23.42
Jefferson	William I. Payne	1	7,700.00	8,694.00	16,394.00	3,375	2,400	500	315,000	225	10.41	23.42
St. Francis	Peurto Jurden Inv. Co.	1	12,348.00	17,040.24	29,388.24	4,311	2,350	1,029	617,400	300	9.52	28.56
Miller	Mrs. C. W. Person	1	5,225.00	6,086.82	11,281.82	1,200	900	475	219,450	231	10.28	23.75
Desha	R. O. Piekens & Son	1	12,782.00	14,732.75	27,514.75	(C)	4,300	1,162	584,520	230	10.30	23.70
Lincoln	Pineback Planting Co., Inc.	1	13,750.00	17,250.00	31,000.00	4,132	2,500	1,250	625,000	250	9.92	24.80
Phillips	E. H. Polk	1	8,030.00	5,958.80	13,988.80	3,204	1,450	730	365,000	250	7.67	19.16
Ashley	Joel W. Pugh	1	12,500.00	13,800.00	26,300.00	(C)	3,803	1,250	500,000	200	10.52	21.04
St. Francis	Charles R. Ranson	1	6,000.00	8,280.00	14,280.00	3,049	1,500	500	300,000	300	9.52	28.56
Phillips	Rightor Shinnitt Farms	1	4,392.00	6,060.96	10,452.96	2,900	1,350	366	219,600	300	9.52	28.56
Crittenden	H. N. Rodgers & Co.	1	4,939.00	5,632.80	10,591.80	3,920	1,753	449	224,500	250	9.75	25.35
St. Francis	Fred D. Rolfe	1	14,454.00	18,858.53	33,312.53	4,982	2,629	1,314	683,280	260	9.45	25.35
Crittenden	Oliver L. Sanders Estate	1	6,000.00	8,280.00	14,280.00	2,520	1,500	500	300,000	300	9.52	28.56
Chicot	Roland L. Seale	1	6,600.00	8,280.00	14,880.00	4,095	1,500	600	300,000	250	9.92	24.80

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 17.—1933 cotton program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 26, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Number of con- tracts	Payments			Acreage			Cotton optioned to pro- ducer	Esti- mated yield per acre ⁴	Payment per pound reduced ⁵	Payment per acre rented ⁶
			Cash bene- fit pay- ments ¹	From option cotton ³	Total	In farm	Cotton planted	Cotton rented				
ARKANSAS—con.												
Mississippi	J. M. Speck & Co.	1	Dollars 6,228.00	Dollars 7,878.42	Dollars 14,106.42	Acres 2,520	Acres 1,941	Acres 519	Bales 285,450	Pounds 275	Cents 9.88	Dollars 27.18
Lonoke	Tom W. Steele	1	7,344.00	10,148.40	17,492.40	3,300	1,600	612	367,200	300	9.53	28.58
Desha	Tiller Mercantile Co.	1	10,340.00	11,934.24	22,274.24	(⁷)	2,344	940	432,400	230	10.30	23.70
Drew	do.	1	19,316.00	21,809.52	41,125.52	(⁷)	3,513	1,756	790,200	225	10.41	23.42
	Total, Tiller Mercantile Co.	2	29,656.00	33,743.76	63,399.76	(⁷)	5,857	2,696	1,222,600	227	10.36	23.52
Phillips	Allen H. Toney	1	10,625.00	-----	10,625.00	3,700	2,601	625	-----	-----	7.56	17.00
Jefferson	The C. H. Triplett Co.	10	11,866.00	14,557.36	26,423.36	(⁷)	3,194	1,170	454,438	207	10.91	22.58
Lonoke	do.	1	176.00	225.01	401.01	(⁷)	71	16	7,488	234	10.71	25.06
	Total, The C. H. Triplett Co.	11	12,042.00	14,782.37	26,824.37	(⁷)	3,265	1,186	491,926	207	10.91	22.62
Crittenden	Twist Bros.	2	8,580.00	9,687.60	18,267.60	2,801	1,950	780	351,000	225	10.41	23.42
Cross	do.	2	11,462.00	49,428.00	20,890.00	8,850	3,650	1,042	471,400	226	8.86	20.05
Jefferson	Total, Twist Bros.	4	20,042.00	19,115.60	39,157.60	11,651	5,600	1,822	822,400	226	9.52	21.49
Mississippi	Wiley Planting Co.	1	6,600.00	8,349.00	14,949.00	5,135	2,100	550	302,500	275	9.88	27.18
Mississippi	Lee Wilson & Co.	1	84,000.00	115,920.00	199,920.00	55,000	21,000	7,000	4,200,000	300	9.52	28.56
Ashley	Sam J. Wilson	1	5,500.00	6,072.00	11,572.00	4,000	1,616	550	220,000	200	10.52	21.04
Lincoln	Henry Ray Wood	1	9,075.00	10,701.90	19,776.90	(⁷)	3,300	825	387,750	235	10.20	23.97
Crittenden	Drury B. Woolard	1	8,052.00	11,667.35	19,719.35	4,280	2,240	671	422,780	315	9.33	29.39
Ashley	W. B. de Yampert	1	16,250.00	17,940.00	34,190.00	6,971	6,500	1,625	650,000	200	10.52	21.04
Chicot	do.	1	1,800.00	2,161.08	3,961.08	2,450	750	225	78,300	174	10.12	17.60
Chicot	Total, W. B. de Yampert.	2	18,050.00	20,101.08	38,151.08	9,421	7,250	1,850	728,300	197	10.48	20.62
Lee	Yellow Bayou Plantation, Inc.	1	5,000.00	6,072.00	11,072.00	9,998	1,700	500	230,000	220	10.06	22.14
Pulaski	Charles E. Yancey, Sr.	1	7,200.00	9,174.24	16,374.24	3,700	1,300	600	332,400	277	9.85	27.29
	Harold A. Young	1	4,800.00	6,072.00	10,872.00	2,580	1,000	400	220,000	275	9.88	27.18
State total	-----	100	787,234.00	834,521.79	1,621,755.79	8314,600	182,272	65,278	30,595,882	263	9.46	24.84

[illegible]

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 17.—1933 cotton program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 26, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Number of con- tracts	Payments			Total	Acreage			Cotton optioned to pro- ducer	Esti- mated yield per acre ⁴	Payment per pound reduced ⁵	Payment per acre rented ⁶
			Cash bene- fit pay- ments ¹	From option cotton ²			In farm	Cotton planted	Cotton rented				
LOUISIANA—con.													
Tensas.....	Panola Co., Ltd.....	1	Dollars 6,384.00	Dollars 8,075.76	Dollars 14,459.76	Acres (⁷)	Acres 2,072	Acres 532	Bales 292,600	Pounds 275	Cents 9.88	Dollars 27.18	
Richland.....	Robert R. Rhymes.....	1	11,900.00	-----	11,900.00	(⁷)	2,800	700	-----	225	7.56	17.00	
Caddo.....	J. M. Robinson & Sons.....	1	5,760.00	9,594.00	15,354.00	5,600	1,900	480	312,000	325	9.84	31.99	
Madison.....	Walter M. Scott.....	1	6,600.00	8,280.00	14,880.00	4,200	1,200	600	300,000	250	9.92	24.80	
Rapides.....	Weil Co., Inc.....	1	4,800.00	9,936.00	14,736.00	3,575	860	400	360,000	450	8.19	36.84	
East Carroll.....	Norris C. Williamson.....	2	5,269.00	6,610.20	11,879.20	3,235	1,149	479	239,500	250	9.92	24.80	
State total.....	-----	20	112,717.00	140,293.14	253,010.14	§ 53,213	30,918	9,423	5,025,478	283	9.49	26.85	
ALABAMA													
Elmore.....	Alabama State Board of Admin- istration.....	2	5,720.00	9,642.75	15,362.75	5,142	1,450	485	322,500	332	9.54	31.68	
Escambia.....	do.....	1	7,975.00	11,489.07	19,464.07	8,360	2,200	725	384,250	265	10.13	26.85	
Montgomery.....	do.....	2	5,220.00	8,476.65	13,696.65	5,583	1,350	450	283,500	-----	-----	-----	
Montgomery.....	Total, Alabama State Board of Administration.....	5	18,915.00	29,608.47	48,523.47	19,085	5,000	1,660	990,250	298	9.81	29.23	
Lowndes.....	Andrew J. McLemore.....	1	5,760.00	7,286.40	13,046.40	3,200	1,550	480	264,000	275	9.88	27.18	
Dallas.....	H. H. Meadows.....	3	8,200.00	9,929.10	18,129.10	1,825	1,825	775	359,750	232	10.08	23.39	
-----	J. A. Minter & Son.....	1	5,720.00	6,314.88	12,034.88	2,000	1,650	572	228,800	200	10.52	21.04	
State total.....	-----	10	38,595.00	53,138.85	91,733.85	26,110	10,025	3,487	1,842,800	264	9.96	26.31	

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those who were parties to a contract under this program, under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.² Includes cash benefit payments to producers accepting the all-cash contract, and to those accepting the cash-and-cotton option plan.³ The payments on option cotton include payments from loans made under the 10- and 12-cent loans, and payments on final disposition of the cotton where sold through the cotton pool. Only payments actually made to date are included.⁴ The yield per acre was the estimated yield of the crop already partly grown, with such adjustments as necessary to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.⁵ Computed by dividing total payments by estimated production on rented acres, as computed from rented acres multiplied by estimated yield per acre.⁶ Computed by dividing total payments by rented acres.⁷ Information not available.⁸ Incomplete.⁹ 4-cent loan.

DETAILED FIGURES FOR LARGE PAYMENTS UNDER THE 1934 AND 1935
COTTON PROGRAMS

The following tables (tables 18 and 19) list payments to \$10,000 producers under the 1934 and 1935 cotton programs. Producers having contracts in more than one State are tabulated in a supplementary statement at the end of each table, where all the data for each producer in the several States are brought together.

The contract for the 1934 cotton program offered only a single method of payment: Producers received a rental payment for the land held out of production, at the rate of $3\frac{1}{2}$ cents per pound on the production of such land under normal conditions, as determined for each producer. They also received a supplementary parity payment. This parity payment was equal to 1 cent per pound on their pro-rata share of the domestic consumption, which was assigned them at 40 percent of their average production of cotton during the base period (with such adjustment as was necessary to bring the total for the contracts in line with the State and county totals). Each producer who accepted one of the voluntary contracts was required to reduce his cotton acreage by 35 to 45 percent of the base acreage.

In the following table the column headed "Total rental and benefit payments" shows the total cash payments received by the producer on the account of 1934 cotton. The next column "Rental per acre" shows the rental rate on that farm used in computing the rental payment. The remainder of the table gives the total acres under the contract, the base acres, production, and yield, the normal production on the rented acres, and the total of all payments per pound of cotton reduced, based on the normal production on the rented acreage.

Where a producer had two or more contracts within a single county this table shows the total for those contracts combined but gives figures separately for contracts in various counties. It then adds the total for all the contracts for the given producer within the State.

The table shows in the column "Name of principal" the name of the producer who was a party to a \$10,000 contract. Where part of the payment due the producer is paid to an assignee, that is shown separately and the total due the producer is shown below that. The producer might operate a farm as landlord, tenant, managing share cash tenant, or owner-operator. Where he operated it as landlord a part of the payment went to the tenant, and where he operated it as a managing share tenant, part of the payment went to the landlord. The column headed "Tenure" shows the tenure under which the producer operated the given farm or farms. The column for "Payments" shows separately the payments made to the producer and the payments made to other interested parties, as shown in the "Tenure" column. The total for the producers and for the State, however, brings together all payments under the contracts held by \$10,000 producers, including payments made to producers and to other parties.

The payments for 1935 were similar to those for 1934, except that in 1935 the parity payments were made at the rate of $1\frac{1}{4}$ cents per pound instead of 1 cent per pound.

The payment per pound reduced varies slightly from farm to farm, due to slight differences in the ratio of the parity payment to the rental payment. The maximum rental did not exceed \$18 per acre regardless of yield, and on farms with high average yields this limitation tended to reduce slightly the net payment per pound reduced.

The entries on the table which show the basis of payment, the production, and the payment per pound reduced show the combined facts on the contract without attempting to distinguish between the landlord's share and tenant's share.

The tenants listed here are the managing share tenants who were entitled to share in the rental payments as well as the parity payments. Croppers and other tenants not classified as managing share tenants were not parties to the contract but were entitled to receive a portion of the parity payment. The producers were appointed trustees to disburse these payments and were required to file in the county offices a trusteeship form showing the actual disbursements made to croppers and other nonmanaging share tenants on their farms; and to refund to the Government any parity payments due croppers who had moved away and could not be located.

TABLE 18.—1934 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, in each State, as of June 1, 1933

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made—			Rental per acre ³	Number of acres			Cotton production		Aver- age yield per rented acre ⁶	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁷	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²		In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁸	Cotton allot- ment ⁹			
ALABAMA														
Colbert	Edward D. Fennel:	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
	As owner-operator	1	13,572.00		13,572.00	8.12	6,829	3,600	1,260	835,200	334,080	232	292,320	4.64
	As landlord	1	36.50	46.50	83.00	7.00	61	25	9	5,000	2,000	200	1,800	4.61
	As cash tenant	1	340.20		340.20	7.35	230	90	36	18,900	7,560	210	7,560	4.50
State total	Total, Edward D. Fen- nel	3	13,948.70	46.50	13,995.20	8.09	7,120	3,715	1,305	859,100	343,640	231	301,680	4.64
	J. B. Hain: As owner-operator	1	12,225.53		12,225.53	4.41	15,327	5,392	2,151	679,392	271,757	126	271,026	4.51
		4	26,174.23	46.50	26,220.73	5.81	22,447	9,107	3,456	1,538,492	615,397	169	572,706	4.58
ARIZONA														
Maricopa	Southwest Cotton Co.: As owner-operator	3	40,405.98		40,405.98	8.27	35,629	9,889	3,738	2,370,194	948,078	240	897,120	4.50
ARKANSAS														
Crittenden	Hugh M. Brinkley: As owner- operator	1	16,709.13		16,709.13	13.47	5,000	2,322	975	893,970	357,588	385	375,375	4.45
Poinsett	Fairview Farms Co.: As owner-operator	1	21,499.33		21,499.33	10.67	7,435	4,075	1,549	1,242,875	497,150	305	472,445	4.55
Pulaski	E. M. Faver: As owner-oper- ator	1	13,157.76		13,157.76	9.69	6,966	2,640	1,056	731,280	292,512	277	292,512	4.50
MISSISSIPPI														
Jackson	Graham Bros. Co.: As owner-operator	1	11,547.90		11,547.90	7.35	5,039	3,055	1,222	641,550	256,620	210	256,620	4.50
	As landlord	1	45.37	56.32	101.69	7.98	34	24	10	5,472	2,189	228	2,280	4.46
	As cash tenant	1												
	Total, Graham Bros. Co.	2	11,593.27	56.32	11,649.59	7.36	5,073	3,079	1,232	647,022	258,809	210	258,900	4.50
MISSOURI														
Clay	Jones Bros.: As landlords	2	86.84	109.58	196.42	9.43	110	42	16	11,373	4,549	271	4,336	4.53
	As cash tenants	2												

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 18.—1934 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, in each State, as of June 1, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made—			Number of acres			Cotton production		Aver- age yield per acre ⁸	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁹	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²	Rental per acre ³	In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	Cotton allot- ment ⁷		
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
ARKANSAS—CON.													
Mississippi.....	Kansas City & Memphis Farms Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	22,789.20	22,789.20	22,789.20	13.30	6,930	3,689	1,292	1,401,401	560	490,960	4.64
Poinsett.....	do.....	4	28,437.45	28,437.45	28,437.45	12.20	9,262	5,022	1,757	1,750,750	700,300	613,193	4.64
Mississippi.....	Total, Kansas City & Memphis Farms Co. Lowrance Bros. & Co.: As owner-operator.....	5	51,226.65	51,226.65	51,226.65	12.66	16,192	8,711	3,049	3,152,151	1,260,860	1,104,153	4.64
Jefferson.....	W. P. McGeorge: As owner-operator..... As cash tenant.....	1	656.96	656.96	656.96	5.60	581	239	90	38,240	15,296	14,400	4.56
		1	10,829.44	10,829.44	10,829.44	7.52	8,765	3,000	1,097	645,000	258,000	235,855	4.59
Desha.....	Total, W. P. McGeorge R. A. Pickens & Sons Co.: As cash tenant.....	2	11,486.40	11,486.40	11,486.40	7.37	9,346	3,239	1,187	683,240	273,296	250,255	4.59
Poinsett.....	Poinsett Lumber & Manu- facturing Co.: As owner- operator.....	1	14,196.00	14,196.00	14,196.00	7.35	10,259	4,160	1,456	873,600	349,440	305,760	4.64
		2	18,004.35	18,004.35	18,004.35	12.25	10,000	2,859	1,143	1,000,650	400,250	400,050	4.50
Ashley.....	Joel W. Pugh: As owner- operator.....	1	12,754.25	12,754.25	12,754.25	5.95	7,000	4,135	1,671	702,950	281,180	284,070	4.49
Poinsett.....	E. Ritter & Co.: As owner- operator.....	1	12,593.75	12,593.75	12,593.75	10.85	5,147	2,500	875	775,000	310,000	271,250	4.64
Drew.....	Tillar Mercantile Co.: As cash tenant..... As share tenant..... 1 landlord.....	1	10,480.88	10,480.88	10,480.88	6.61	5,296	3,083	1,233	582,687	233,075	233,037	4.50
		1	382.15	283.24	665.39	4.97	980	262	104	37,128	14,851	14,768	4.51
Desha.....	County total..... As owner-operator.....	2	10,863.03	283.24	11,146.27	6.48	6,276	3,345	1,337	619,815	247,926	247,805	4.51
		1	8,768.40	8,768.40	8,768.40	8.61	3,737	1,981	792	487,320	194,928	194,832	4.50
	Total, Tillar Mercantile Co. Twist Bros.: As owner- operator.....	3	19,631.43	283.24	19,914.67	7.27	10,013	5,326	2,129	1,107,135	442,854	442,637	4.50
Cross.....		1	26,958.75	26,958.75	26,958.75	7.87	12,551	7,000	2,625	1,575,000	630,000	590,625	4.56

Mississippi-----	Lee Wilson & Co.: As owner-operator----- As landlord----- 1 tenant-----	9 110,084.81 1 204.90	----- ----- 255.60	110,084.81 ----- 460.50	10.95 9.45	45,650 139	19,556 94	7,822 38	6,114,277 25,350	2,445,751 10,140	313 270	2,444,228 10,260	4.50 4.49
	Total, Lee Wilson & Co.	10 110,289.71	255.60	110,545.31	10.94	45,809	19,650	7,860	6,139,727	2,455,801	312	2,454,488	4.50
Ashley-----	W. B. DeYampert: As owner-operator----- do-----	1 21,119.98 1 2,281.50	----- -----	21,119.98 2,281.50	6.86 5.81	11,445 2,400	5,930 846	2,401 296	1,162,280 140,436	464,912 56,174	196 166	470,596 49,136	4.49 4.64
Chicot-----	Total, W. B. DeYampert.	2 23,401.48	-----	23,401.48	6.74	13,845	6,776	2,697	1,302,716	521,086	192	519,732	4.50
State total-----		36 373,621.98	704.74	374,326.72	9.58	167,255	78,253	30,129	21,455,964	8,582,385	274	8,242,783	4.54
CALIFORNIA													
Fresno-----	Fred Cantrell: As share tenant----- 1 landlord-----	1 7,684.20	-----	7,684.20	14.94	11,100	1,800	720	768,600	307,440	427	307,440	4.50
Do-----	Hotchkiss Estate Co. (A. L. Fourchy, secretary): As owner-operator----- As cash tenant-----	5 30,486.39 1 4,032.00	----- -----	30,486.39 4,032.00	17.09 18.00	9,083 800	3,808 480	1,333 168	1,927,298 252,000	770,919 100,800	506 525	674,498 88,200	4.52 4.57
Do-----	Total, Hotchkiss Estate. Mendota Farms, Inc. (W. M. Giffen, president): As cash tenant.	6 34,518.39 2 30,774.12	----- -----	34,518.39 30,774.12	17.19 15.55	10,483 18,035	4,288 4,263	1,501 1,492	2,179,298 1,893,967	871,719 757,587	508 444	762,698 662,448	4.53 4.65
Do-----	Charles G. Murray and Arthur M. Steintorf: As owner-operator.	1 11,243.02	-----	11,243.02	18.00	2,362	1,291	452	776,756	310,702	602	272,104	4.13
Merced-----	Joseph W. Woo: As owner- operator.	1 10,096.49	-----	10,096.49	13.68	2,638	1,428	575	557,622	223,049	391	224,825	4.49
State total-----		11 94,316.22	6,147.00	100,463.22	15.98	44,618	13,070	4,740	6,176,243	2,470,497	473	2,229,515	4.51
LOUISIANA													
East Carroll-----	Abston, Crump & Wynne Co. (J. B. Abston, presi- dent): As owner-operator. Beene Planting Co. (S. L. Beene, president): As owner- operator.	1 19,464.51	-----	19,464.51	13.65	9,135	3,071	1,075	1,197,690	479,076	390	419,250	4.64
Bossier-----		1 11,490.00	-----	11,490.00	10.18	3,472	2,000	900	582,000	232,800	291	261,900	4.39

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 18.—1934 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, in each State, as of June 1, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made—			Rental per acre ³	Number of acres			Cotton production		Aver- age yield per acre ⁵	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁶	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰	
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²		In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	Cotton allot- ment ⁷				
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents	
LOUISIANA—con.	T. B. Gilbert & Co., Inc. (W. E. Gilbert, president): As owner-operator As landlord 3 tenants	1	19,102.12		19,102.12	8.26	7,500	4,100	1,845	965,606	386,242	236	435,420	4.39	
		3	81.41		183.36	8.73	120	53	21	10,271	4,109	194	4,074	4.50	
				101.95											
Caddo	Total, T. B. Gilbert & Co., Inc. W. J. Hutchinson Sons (by T. S. Hutchinson): As owner- operator. Will Knight: As owner-ope- rator. J. W. Lynn: As owner-operator. Maxwell Planting Co., Inc. (Andrew J. Yenger, presi- dent): As cash tenant. Missionary Mercantile Co. (by Tom Moore): As owner- operator.	4	19,183.53	101.95	19,285.48	8.24	7,620	4,153	1,866	975,877	390,351	235	439,494	4.39	
		1	10,233.44		10,233.44	11.55	3,250	1,910	668	629,510	251,804	330	220,440	4.64	
Do	J. W. North: As owner-operator. As landlord 1 tenant	1	10,050.15		10,050.15	11.41	3,810	1,898	664	618,478	247,391	326	216,464	4.64	
		2	16,601.35		16,601.35	10.52	3,722	3,070	1,228	921,839	368,735	300	368,400	4.51	
		1	13,901.54		13,901.54	7.66	13,717	3,910	1,368	855,664	342,266	219	299,592	4.64	
Caddo		1	11,927.62		11,927.62	8.85	3,975	2,619	1,048	663,205	265,282	253	265,144	4.50	
Do	W. H. North: As owner-operator. As landlord 1 tenant	1	10,082.82		10,082.82	11.55	2,595	1,881	658	620,730	248,292	330	217,140	4.64	
		1	28.17		64.59	9.02	40	15	5	4,125	1,650	275	1,375	4.70	
				36.42											
Tensas	Total, W. H. North- Panola Co., Ltd. (B. F. Young, president): As owner- operator. Robert R. Rhymes: As owner- operator.	2	10,110.99	36.42	10,147.41	11.54	2,635	1,896	663	624,855	249,942	330	218,515	4.64	
		1	12,469.60		12,469.60	12.32	10,556	2,180	763	767,360	306,944	352	268,576	4.64	
Richland	J. M. Robinson: As owner-operator. do	1	10,938.00		10,938.00	7.00	7,300	2,770	1,246	554,000	221,600	200	249,200	4.39	
Caddo	J. M. Robinson: As owner-operator. do	1	13,751.90		13,751.90	12.88	5,783	2,300	805	845,875	338,350	368	296,240	4.64	
		1	27.24		27.24	4.20	220	13	5	1,560	624	120	600	4.54	
Caldwell	Total, J. M. Robinson	2	13,779.14		13,779.14	12.86	6,003	2,313	810	847,435	338,974	366	296,840	4.64	
State total		18	160,149.87	138.37	160,288.24	10.03	75,195	31,790	12,299	9,237,913	3,695,165	291	3,523,815	4.55	

TABLE 18.—1934 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, in each State, as of June 1, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made—			Number of acres			Cotton production		Average yield per acre ⁸	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁹	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²	Rental per acre ³	In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	allot- ment ⁷		
MISSISSIPPI—con. Sharkey Washington	Henry Kline: As owner-operator	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
	As cash tenant	1	69.36		69.36	6.02	47	92	9	3,794	1,538	1,548	4.48
	Total, Henry Kline	2	13,473.52		13,473.52	10.18	4,000	2,560	1,024	744,960	297,984	297,984	4.50
Coahoma Bolivar	Kline Planting Co.: As owner-operator	1	12,117.28		12,117.28	7.84	4,252	3,330	1,165	745,920	298,368	280,900	4.64
	As owner-operator	1	2,514.60		2,514.60	7.70	780	635	254	139,700	55,880	55,880	4.50
	Total, Kline Planting Co	2	14,631.88		14,631.88	7.81	5,032	3,965	1,419	885,620	354,248	316,840	4.62
Sunflower Do.	May Bros.: As owner-operator	1	14,044.00		14,044.00	8.43	4,092	3,240	1,296	779,680	311,872	241	4.50
	Mississippi State Peniten- tiary: As owner-operator	1	40,575.12		40,575.12	8.40	15,789	9,392	3,757	2,254,080	901,632	901,680	4.50
	Total, May Bros. & Son: As owner-operator	2	54,619.12		54,619.12								
Tunica Do.	R. W. Owen & Son: As owner-operator	1	13,717.54		13,717.54	7.17	6,554	4,120	1,442	844,600	337,840	295,610	4.64
	As cash tenant	1	599.31		599.31	7.17	255	180	63	36,900	14,760	12,915	4.64
	Total, R. W. Owen & Son	2	14,316.85		14,316.85	7.17	6,809	4,300	1,505	881,500	352,600	308,525	4.64
Sharkey Tunica	Panther Burn Co.: As cash tenant	1	27,980.70		27,980.70	9.13	12,395	6,600	2,310	1,722,600	689,040	602,910	4.64
	G. D. Perry: As owner-opera- tor	1	18,564.00		18,564.00	7.84	12,682	5,100	1,785	1,142,400	456,960	399,840	4.64
	Robertshaw Co. Plantation: As owner-operator	1	16,626.49		16,626.49	9.97	7,208	3,592	1,257	1,023,551	409,420	338,245	4.64
Sundowner Leflore	Shellmount Plantation, Inc.: As owner-operator	1	12,277.76		12,277.76	9.87	4,980	2,680	938	754,924	301,970	264,516	4.64
	Total, Shellmount Plantation, Inc.	1	12,277.76		12,277.76								
	M. P. Sturdivant plantation: As owner-operator	1	16,664.22		16,664.22	9.83	10,596	3,649	1,278	1,025,369	410,148	359,118	4.64
Quitman Tallahatchie	Do.	1	10,283.34		10,283.34	12.00	3,862	1,845	646	632,835	253,134	221,578	4.64
	Total, M. P. Sturdivant plantation	2	26,947.56		26,947.56	10.56	14,458	5,494	1,924	1,658,204	663,282	580,696	4.64
	Sunflower Plantation, Inc.: As owner-operator	1	10,463.05		10,463.05	8.64	4,844	2,610	913	643,682	257,473	225,511	4.64

Washington.....	W. B. Swain, Inc.: As cash tenant.....	1	15, 110.20	-----	15, 110.20	8.61	6, 270	3, 648	1, 338	897, 504	359, 002	246	329, 148	4.59
Do.....	Trail Lake Planting Co.: As cash tenant.....	1	11, 802.94	-----	11, 802.94	10.81	3, 781	2, 273	832	702, 280	280, 902	309	257, 098	4.59
Tunica.....	T. G. Wilsford: As cash tenant.....	2	14, 540.64	-----	14, 540.64	8.90	5, 001	3, 519	1, 232	894, 900	357, 960	254	312, 928	4.65
State total.....		40	569, 784.31	1, 293.90	571, 078.21	9.11	257, 274	130, 921	47, 933	33, 901, 048	13, 560, 411	259	12, 443, 504	4.60
MISSOURI														
New Madrid.....	Bank of Commerce Liquidat- ing Co. (T. B. Allen, agent): As owner-operator.....	1	10, 368.93	-----	10, 368.93	10.01	4, 932	2, 230	781	637, 780	255, 112	286	223, 366	4.64
Dunklin.....	Jones Bros. (by R. Jones): 11 As owner-operator.....	1	15, 429.82	-----	15, 429.82	10.64	4, 795	2, 994	1, 108	910, 176	364, 070	304	336, 832	4.58
	As landlord.....	19	2, 803.27	3, 488.67	6, 291.94	11.37	1, 522	1, 049	433	342, 696	137, 078	327	141, 591	4.44
Pemiscot.....	Total, Jones Bros.....	20	18, 233.09	3, 488.67	21, 721.76	10.84	6, 317	4, 043	1, 541	1, 252, 872	501, 148	310	478, 423	4.54
	C. D. Martin: As owner-op- erator.....	1	12, 035.52	-----	12, 035.52	10.92	2, 760	1, 955	879	609, 960	243, 984	312	274, 248	4.39
Do.....	Pemiscot Land & Coopera- ge Co. (by C. F. Blake): As owner-operator.....	7	18, 784.55	-----	18, 784.55	13.00	6, 080	3, 108	1, 090	1, 154, 505	461, 802	371	404, 390	4.65
State total.....		29	59, 425.09	3, 488.67	62, 913.76	11.23	20, 089	11, 336	4, 291	3, 655, 117	1, 462, 046	322	1, 380, 427	4.56
NEW MEXICO														
Eddy.....	Pardue and Guitlar (by C. P. Pardue): As owner-operator.....	1	12, 626.25	-----	12, 626.25	12.95	4, 720	2, 100	735	777, 000	310, 800	370	271, 950	4.64
	As landlord.....	1	670.83	778.93	1, 449.76	15.64	480	181	72	80, 920	32, 368	447	32, 134	4.50
	Total, Pardue and Guitlar.....	2	13, 297.08	778.93	14, 076.01	13.19	5, 200	2, 281	807	857, 920	343, 168	376	304, 134	4.63
Dona Ana.....	Stahmann Farms (D. F. Stahmann): 12 As owner-op- erator.....	1	11, 204.43	-----	11, 204.43	13.58	3, 317	1, 776	622	689, 418	275, 767	388	241, 336	4.64
State total.....		3	24, 501.51	778.93	25, 280.44	13.36	8, 517	4, 057	1, 429	1, 547, 338	618, 935	381	545, 470	4.63
TEXAS														
Wharton.....	A. P. Borden estate (Marie Borden, executrix): As owner-operator.....	1	10, 345.10	-----	10, 345.10	9.62	5, 000	2, 206	823	606, 959	242, 784	275	226, 325	4.57
Burleson.....	George G. Chance: As owner- operator.....	1	15, 086.47	-----	15, 086.47	8.57	4, 912	3, 359	1, 377	821, 394	328, 553	245	337, 365	4.47
Nueces.....	Chapman Ranch, Inc. (J. O. Chapman, agent): As owner- operator.....	1	73, 028.59	-----	73, 028.59	8.82	21, 150	16, 099	6, 440	4, 056, 948	1, 622, 779	252	1, 622, 880	4.50

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 18.—1934 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, in each State, as of June 1, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made—		Rental per acre ³	Number of acres			Cotton production		Average yield per acre ⁸	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁹	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties		In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	Allo- tment ⁷			
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
TEXAS—continued													
Fannin.....	Joseph R. Goss: As owner-operator.	1	14,985.60	-----	14,985.60	6,700	4,800	2,000	806,400	322,560	168	336,000	4.46
Do.....	G. W. Morgan: As owner-operator.	2	17,858.29	-----	17,858.29	9,879	6,080	2,432	992,813	397,125	163	396,416	4.50
Nueces.....	Rand Morgan: As owner-operator.	1	17,382.20	-----	17,382.20	5,414	3,988	1,515	1,004,976	401,990	252	381,780	4.55
Houston.....	G. L. Murray & Sons: As owner-operator.	1	12,359.54	-----	12,359.54	11,460	6,620	2,317	761,300	304,520	115	266,455	4.64
Nueces.....	Palo Alto farms (by J. B. Knight): As owner-operator.	1	10,670.03	-----	10,670.03	4,156	3,331	1,332	592,918	237,167	178	237,096	4.50
Do.....	Simmonds & Perry (by M. G. Perry): As owner-operator.	1	12,756.50	-----	12,756.50	5,665	3,875	1,550	709,125	283,650	183	283,650	4.50
El Paso.....	Stahmann farms (D. F. Stahmann): As owner-operator.	2	4,150.95	-----	4,150.95	910	597	209	255,328	102,131	428	89,452	4.64
Fort Bend.....	The Sugarland Industries (G. D. Ulrich, manager): As cash tenant.	2	23,987.12	-----	23,987.12	17,651	7,913	2,770	1,476,105	590,442	187	517,990	4.63
	As owner-operator.	1	1,457.87	-----	1,457.87	18,475	8,335	2,940	87,792	35,117	186	31,620	4.61
	Total, the Sugarland Industries.	3	25,444.99	-----	25,444.99	18,475	8,335	2,940	1,563,897	625,559	187	549,610	4.63
Hudspeth.....	W. A. Thomasson and Thomas Locke: As cash tenant.	1	18,440.16	-----	18,440.16	6,980	3,484	1,364	1,023,974	409,590	294	409,836	4.50
State total.....		16	232,508.42	-----	232,508.42	100,701	62,824	24,329	13,196,032	5,278,413	210	5,136,865	4.53

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Includes rental payments, based on normal cotton production on rented acres at 3.5 cents per pound, plus parity payments of 1.25 cents per pound on the cotton allotment.

³ Actual rental payment for each rented acre.

⁴ Normal acreage of cotton on the farm during the years 1928-32 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁵ Rented acres were the acres held out of the production of cotton, and used only in certain specified ways, in conformity with the cotton adjustment contract.

⁶ The average production on the farm during the period 1928-32 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁷ The cotton allotment was 40 percent of the base production.

⁸ Base production of cotton divided by the base acreage.

⁹ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre.

¹⁰ Total payment divided by normal production on rented acres.

¹¹ See supplemental table for Jones Bros.

¹² See supplemental table for Stahmann farms.

TABLE 18a.—*Supplemental table: contracts of the Jones Bros., by States, for the 1934 cotton-reduction program, as of June 1, 1936*

State and county	Tenure	Contracts	Payments made			Rental per acre ²	Number of acres			Cotton production		Average yield per acre ⁷	Normal production on rented acres ⁸	Payment per pound reduced ⁹
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ¹ rental and benefit		In farm	Cotton base ³	Rented ⁴	Base ⁵	Cotton allotment ⁶			
ARKANSAS														
Clay	As landlord	Number 2	Dollars 86.84	Dollars 109.58	Dollars 196.42	Dollars 9.43	Acres 110	Acres 42	Acres 16	Pounds 11,373	Pounds 4,549	Pounds 271	Pounds 4,336	Cents 4.53
MISSOURI														
Dunklin	As owner-operator	1	15,429.82		15,429.82	10.64	4,795	2,994	1,108	910,176	304,070	304	336,832	4.58
	As landlord	19	2,803.27			11.37	1,522	1,049	433	342,696	137,078	327	141,591	4.44
	19 tenants			3,488.67	6,291.94									
Missouri total		20	18,233.09	3,488.67	21,721.76	10.84	6,317	4,043	1,541	1,252,872	501,148	310	478,423	4.54
Grand total			18,319.93	3,598.25	21,918.18	10.83	6,427	4,085	1,557	1,264,245	505,697	309	482,759	4.54

 TABLE 18b.—*Supplemental table: contracts of the Stahmann Farms, by States, for the 1934 cotton program, as of June 1, 1936*

State and county	Tenure	Contracts	Payments made			Rental per acre ²	Number of acres			Cotton production		Average yield per acre ⁷	Normal production on rented acres ⁸	Payment per pound reduced ⁹
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ¹ rental and benefit		In farm	Cotton base ³	Rented ⁴	Base ⁵	Cotton allotment ⁶			
NEW MEXICO														
Dona Ana	As owner-operator	Number 1	Dollars 11,204.43		Dollars 11,204.43	Dollars 13.58	Acres 3,317	Acres 1,776	Acres 622	Pounds 689,418	Pounds 275,767	Pounds 388	Pounds 241,336	Cents 4.64
TEXAS														
El Paso	do	2	4,150.95		4,150.95	14.97	910	597	209	255,328	102,131	428	89,452	4.64
Grand total		3	15,355.38		15,355.38	13.93	4,227	2,373	831	944,746	377,898	398	330,788	4.64

¹ Includes rental payments, based on normal cotton production on rented acres at 3.5 cents per pound, plus parity payments of 1.25 cents per pound on the cotton allotment.

² Actual rental payment for each rented acre.

³ Normal acreage of cotton on the farm during the years 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of the production of cotton, and used only in certain specified ways, in conformity with the cotton-adjustment contract.

⁵ The average production on the farm during the period 1928 to 1932 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁶ The cotton allotment was 40 percent of the base acreage.

⁷ Base production of cotton divided by the base acreage.

⁸ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre.

⁹ Total payment divided by normal production on rented acres.

TABLE 19.—1935 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of June 1, 1936

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payments made			Number of acres			Cotton production		Aver- age yield per acre ⁸	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁹	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²	Rental per acre ³	In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	Cotton allot- ment ⁷		
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
ALABAMA													
Dallas.....	J. B. Hain: As owner-operator.	2	11,924.23	-----	11,924.23	4.43	15,426	5,467	1,913	691,392	276,557	241,038	4.95
ARIZONA													
Pima.....	Cortaro Farms Co.: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 4 tenants.....	1 4	17,090.32 289.71	----- -----	17,090.32 743.37	12.25 9.66	7,301 180	3,551 118	888 30	1,242,464 94,649	406,986 21,860	310,800 13,890	5.50 5.35
Maricopa.....	Total, Cortaro Farms Co. Southwestern Cotton Co.: As owner-operator.	5 3	17,380.03 37,634.63	453.66 -----	17,833.69 37,634.63	12.36 8.52	7,481 35,629	3,669 9,889	918 3,027	1,297,113 2,370,194	518,846 948,078	324,600 726,480	5.49 5.18
State total.....		8	55,014.66	453.66	55,468.32	9.41	43,110	13,558	3,945	3,667,307	1,466,924	1,051,170	5.28
ARKANSAS													
Crittenden.....	J. O. E. Beck: As owner-operator..... do.....	3 1	13,032.85 4,152.32	----- -----	13,032.85 4,152.32	12.34 10.32	4,954 2,020	2,648 1,024	674 256	942,610 302,080	377,044 120,832	239,944 75,520	5.43 5.50
Crittenden.....	Total, J. O. E. Beck Hugh M. Brinkley: As owner- operator.	4 1	17,185.17 15,420.96	----- -----	17,185.17 15,420.96	11.79 13.47	6,974 5,000	3,672 2,322	930 813	1,244,690 893,970	497,876 357,588	315,464 313,005	5.45 4.93
Mississippi.....	Chapman & Dewey Farms Co. (by W. B. Chapman, president): As owner-operator..... do.....	2 6	20,446.34 24,748.90	----- -----	20,446.34 24,748.90	13.31 12.15	6,582 9,259	3,515 5,069	1,034 1,504	1,336,645 1,755,106	534,658 702,042	392,920 521,888	5.20 4.74
Poinsett.....	Total, Chapman & Dewey Farms Co. Fairview Farms Co.: As owner- operator.	8 1	45,195.24 20,992.32	----- -----	45,195.24 20,992.32	12.62 10.67	15,841 7,435	8,574 4,075	2,538 1,385	3,091,751 1,242,875	1,236,700 497,150	914,808 422,425	4.94 4.97
Pulaski.....	E. M. Faver: As owner-oper- ator.	1	12,609.96	-----	12,609.96	9.69	6,966	2,640	924	731,280	292,512	255,948	4.93

Jackson	Graham Bros. Co. (by S. J. Graham, president):	4	11,338.07	44.46	11,338.07	7.33	5,256	3,139	1,098	657,530	263,012	209	229,482	4.94
	As owner-operator:	1	30.78	-----	-----	7.98	34	24	6	5,472	2,189	228	1,368	5.50
	As landlord:	-----	-----	44.46	75.24	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Clay	Total, Graham Bros. Co.	5	11,368.85	44.46	11,413.31	7.34	5,290	3,163	1,104	663,002	265,201	210	230,850	4.94
	Jones Bros.:	2	71.85	-----	172.12	9.60	110	42	12	11,373	4,549	271	3,252	5.29
	As landlord:	-----	-----	100.27	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Mississippi	Total, Jones Bros.:	2	71.85	100.27	172.12	9.60	110	42	12	11,373	4,549	271	3,252	5.29
	Lowrance Bros. & Co., Inc. (by C. J. Lowrance, president):	1	10,650.15	-----	10,650.15	12.42	2,509	1,739	609	617,275	246,910	355	216,195	4.93
	As owner-operator:	1	2,756.85	-----	11.55	11.55	1,150	846	296	279,319	111,728	330	97,680	4.93
Cleveland Lincoln	As share tenant:	-----	2,058.55	4,815.40	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	1 landlord:	2	13,407.00	2,058.55	15,465.55	12.11	3,659	2,585	905	896,594	353,638	347	313,875	4.93
	Total, Lowrance Bros. & Co., Inc.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Desha	Leon Moore, executor estate of I. E. Moore, deceased:	5	15,922.19	-----	15,922.19	4.99	16,149	6,473	2,266	923,585	369,434	143	324,038	4.91
	As owner-operator:	10	1,552.96	-----	1,552.96	4.77	2,050	641	231	90,256	36,100	141	32,571	4.77
	do.	15	17,475.15	-----	17,475.15	4.97	18,199	7,114	2,497	1,013,841	405,534	143	356,609	4.90
Cross	Total, Leon Moore, executor.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	R. A. Pickens & Sons Co.:	1	87.45	-----	87.45	6.12	120	30	10	5,250	2,100	175	1,750	5.00
	As owner-operator:	1	15,069.60	-----	15,069.60	7.35	10,259	4,160	1,456	873,600	349,440	210	303,700	4.83
Poinsett	As share tenant:	1	16.36	-----	38.49	3.39	80	15	5	2,310	924	154	770	5.00
	As landlord:	3	15,173.41	22.13	15,195.54	7.33	10,459	4,205	1,471	881,160	352,464	210	308,250	4.83
	Total, R. A. Pickens & Sons Co.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Poinsett	Poinsett Lumber & Manufacturing Co.:	7	1,890.46	-----	1,890.46	7.85	1,319	482	168	108,205	43,282	224	37,632	4.94
	As owner-operator:	1	14.02	-----	32.98	5.77	37	12	4	1,980	792	165	660	5.00
	As landlord:	8	1,874.48	18.96	1,893.44	7.81	1,356	494	172	110,185	44,074	223	38,292	4.94
Poinsett	County total:	19	19,352.33	-----	19,352.33	12.22	11,437	3,252	1,118	1,135,913	454,361	349	390,182	4.96
	Poinsett Lumber & Manufacturing Co.: As owner-operator.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total, Poinsett Lumber & Manufacturing Co.	27	21,226.81	18.96	21,245.77	11.64	12,793	3,746	1,290	1,246,098	498,435	332	428,474	4.96

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 19.—1935 cotton program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of June 1, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of principal(s)	Con- tracts	Payment made			Rental per acre ³	Number of acres			Cotton production		Aver- age yield per acre ⁵	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁶	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total rental and benefit ²		In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁴	Base ⁶	Cotton allot- ment ⁷			
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
MISSISSIPPI—con.	Tunica	1	14,562.14	636.21	15,198.35	7.17	6,554	4,120	1,442	844,600	337,840	205	285,610	4.93
	R. W. Owen & Son (C. P. Owen, partner): As owner-operator..... As cash tenant.....	1	636.21	-----	636.21	7.17	255	180	63	36,900	14,760	205	12,915	4.93
	Total, R. W. Owen & Son.....	2	15,198.35	-----	15,198.35	7.17	6,806	4,300	1,505	881,500	352,600	205	308,525	4.93
Sharkey	Panther Burn Co. (Don Baker, member of firm): As cash tenant..... G. D. Perry: As owner-operator.....	1	23,677.50	-----	23,677.50	9.13	12,391	6,000	1,650	1,722,600	689,040	261	430,650	5.50
Tunica	Robertshaw Co.: As owner-operator.....	2	20,244.60	-----	20,244.60	7.87	12,921	5,220	1,827	1,173,600	469,440	225	411,075	4.92
Sunflower	Shell Mound Plantation Co., Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	17,650.04	-----	17,650.04	9.97	7,205	3,592	1,257	1,023,550	409,420	285	358,245	4.93
Leflore	Mrs. W. H. Stovall: As cash tenant.....	1	10,387.52	-----	10,387.52	9.87	4,986	2,680	670	754,924	301,970	282	188,940	5.50
Coahoma	Mrs. W. H. Stovall: As cash tenant.....	1	10,006.50	-----	10,006.50	8.29	4,607	2,449	857	580,413	232,165	237	203,109	4.93
Quitman	M. P. Sturdivant Plantation (by M. P. Sturdivant): As owner-operator.....	2	28,595.93	-----	28,595.93	10.56	14,458	5,494	1,923	1,658,204	663,282	302	580,746	4.92
Washington	W. B. Swain, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	12,339.84	-----	12,339.84	8.61	6,276	3,648	912	897,504	359,002	246	224,352	5.50
Do	Trail Lake Planting Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	12,116.03	-----	12,116.03	10.81	3,781	2,273	796	702,255	280,902	309	245,964	4.93
Leflore	C. S. Whittington: As owner-operator.....	1	12,067.47	-----	12,067.47	10.78	4,892	2,271	795	699,475	279,790	308	244,860	4.93
Coahoma	T. G. Wilford: As owner-operator..... As cash tenant.....	3	6,987.47	-----	6,987.47	8.72	2,952	1,684	561	418,783	167,513	249	139,689	5.00
		1	3,638.00	-----	3,638.00	7.38	1,932	1,000	350	211,000	84,406	211	73,850	4.93
Tunica	County total..... As cash tenant.....	4	10,625.47	-----	10,625.47	8.21	4,884	2,684	911	629,783	251,913	235	213,539	4.98
		1	10,853.18	-----	10,853.18	9.62	3,707	2,548	764	700,700	280,280	275	210,100	5.17
	Total, T. G. Wilford.....	5	21,478.65	-----	21,478.65	8.85	8,591	5,232	1,675	1,330,483	532,193	255	423,639	5.07
State total		44	506,454.00	-----	506,454.00	9.25	230,236	117,031	38,140	30,716,652	12,236,663	262	10,082,118	5.02

MISSOURI		1	10,996.70	-----	10,996.70	10.01	4,932	2,230	780	637,780	255,112	286	223,080	4.93
New Madrid	Bank of Commerce Liquidat- ing Co.: As owner-operator.	1	10,996.70	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Dunklin	Jones Bros.: ¹¹	1	13,131.28	-----	-----	13,131.28	10.64	4,395	836	847,248	338,899	304	254,144	5.17
	As owner-operator	19	2,411.54	-----	-----	-----	11.36	1,547	348	348,558	139,423	326	113,448	5.02
Pemiscot	As landlord	-----	-----	-----	3,282.91	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	20 tenants	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Do.	Total, Jones Bros.	20	15,542.82	-----	3,282.91	18,825.73	10.85	5,942	1,184	1,195,806	478,322	310	367,592	5.12
	C. D. Martin: As owner-op- erator.	1	10,519.08	-----	-----	10,519.08	10.92	2,760	684	609,960	243,954	312	213,408	4.93
State total	Pemiscot Land & Cooperage Co.: As owner-operator.	9	20,030.17	-----	-----	20,030.17	12.96	6,159	1,099	1,165,950	466,386	372	408,828	4.90
	-----	31	57,088.77	-----	3,282.91	60,371.68	11.31	19,793	3,747	3,609,496	1,443,804	323	1,212,908	4.98
TEXAS		1	69,985.44	-----	-----	69,985.44	8.82	21,150	5,635	4,056,948	1,622,779	252	1,420,020	4.93
Nueces	Chapman Ranch, Inc.: As owner-operator.	1	69,985.44	-----	-----	-----	-----	16,099	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
El Paso	Lee Moor: As owner-operator	1	12,609.70	-----	-----	12,609.70	18.00	3,446	490	757,941	303,176	541	265,090	4.76
Houston	G. L. Murray & Sons: As owner-operator.	1	10,459.60	-----	-----	10,459.60	4.02	11,460	1,655	761,300	304,520	115	190,325	5.50
Nueces	Palo Alto farms: As owner-op- erator	1	10,222.54	-----	-----	10,222.54	6.23	4,156	1,165	592,918	237,167	178	207,370	4.93
	The Sugarland Industries: As owner-operator	1	1,207.14	-----	-----	1,207.14	6.51	824	118	87,792	35,117	186	21,948	5.50
Fort Bend	As cash tenant	3	20,370.62	-----	-----	20,370.62	6.53	17,686	1,986	1,480,368	592,147	487	371,382	5.49
Hudspeth	Total, the Sugarland In- dustries	4	21,577.76	-----	-----	21,577.76	6.53	18,510	2,104	1,568,160	627,264	187	393,330	5.49
	W. A. Thomason and Thos. Locke: As cash tenant.	1	17,663.38	-----	-----	17,663.38	10.29	6,980	1,219	1,023,974	409,590	294	358,386	4.93
State total	-----	9	142,518.42	-----	-----	142,518.42	8.76	65,702	12,268	8,761,241	3,504,496	223	2,834,521	5.03

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Includes rental payments, based on normal cotton production on rented acres at 3.5 cents per pound, plus parity payments of 1.25 cents per pound on the cotton allotment.

³ Actual rental payment for each rented acre.

⁴ Normal acreage of cotton on the farm during the years 1928-32 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁵ Rented acres were the acres held out of the production of cotton, and used only in certain specified ways, in conformity with the cotton adjustment contract.

⁶ The average production on the farm during the period 1928-32 (except in special cases) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

⁷ The cotton allotment was 40 percent of the base acreage.

⁸ Base production of cotton divided by the base acreage.

⁹ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre.

¹⁰ Total payment divided by normal production on rented acres.

¹¹ See supplemental table for Jones Bros.

TABLE 19a.—*Supplemental table: contracts of the Jones Bros., by States, for the 1935 cotton reduction program, as of June 1, 1936*

State and county	Tenure	Con- tracts	Payments made—			Number of acres			Cotton production		Average yield per acre ⁷	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁸	Pay- ment per pound re- duced ¹⁰
			To prin- cipal	To other interested parties	Total ⁹ rent- al and benefit	Rental per acre ³	In farm	Cotton base ⁴	Rented ⁵	Base ⁶	Cotton al- lotment ⁷		
		Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres	Pounds	Pounds	Pounds	Cents
ARKANSAS													
Clay	As landlord	2	71.85	100.27	172.12	9.60	110	42	12	11,373	4,549	3,252	5.29
MISSOURI													
Dunklin	As owner-operator	1	13,131.28		13,131.28	10.64	4,395	2,787	836	847,248	338,890	254,144	5.17
	As landlord	19	2,411.54	3,282.91	5,694.45	11.36	1,547	1,069	348	348,558	139,423	113,448	5.02
	20 tenants												
Missouri total		20	15,542.82	3,282.91	18,825.73	10.85	5,942	3,856	1,184	1,195,806	478,322	367,592	5.12
Grand total		22	15,614.67	3,383.18	18,997.85	10.84	6,052	3,898	1,196	1,207,179	482,871	370,844	5.12

See previous page of this table for footnotes.

I. Corn-Hogs

All payments and large payments under the 1934 corn-hog program are summarized in table 20.

TABLE 20.—United States summary: All contracts under the 1934 corn-hog program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers under that program

Item	Contracts accepted	Base acres in corn ¹	Rented acres in corn ²	Average corn yield per acre ³	Normal corn production on rented acres ⁴	Base hog production ⁵	Hogs reduced ⁶	Hog production in 1934 ⁷	Payments made			Per hog bushel reduced ¹⁰
									Corn ⁸	Hogs ⁹	Total	
	Number	Acres	Acres	Bushels	Bushels	Number	Number	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Cents
All contracts ¹²	1, 153, 492	55, 199, 012	13, 000, 190	28. 6	371, 306, 752	54, 051, 228	13, 512, 709	40, 538, 519	13 107, 063, 350	13 195, 292, 863	13 302, 356, 213	28. 8
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	1, 153, 470	55, 195, 706	12, 999, 383	28. 6	371, 277, 140	53, 918, 683	13, 479, 572	40, 439, 111	13 107, 054, 790	13 194, 801, 371	13 301, 856, 161	28. 8
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	22	3, 306	807	36. 7	29, 612	132, 545	33, 137	99, 408	8, 560	491, 492	500, 052	14. 83
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms for cash.....	20	2, 181	470	33. 8	15, 896	123, 553	30, 889	92, 664	4, 667	458, 587	463, 254	20. 4
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms on shares.....	2	1, 125	337	40. 7	13, 716	8, 992	2, 248	6, 744	3, 893	32, 905	36, 798	28. 4
Totals for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts.....	0. 002	0. 006	0. 006	0. 008	0. 008	0. 245	0. 245	0. 245	0. 008	0. 252	0. 165	-----

- ¹ Average corn acreage on the land covered by the contract in 1932 and 1933, with such adjustments as needed to bring contract totals into line with county and State quotas.
- ² Acres of land held out of corn production in 1934 under the contract.
- ³ Appraised yield on the area covered by the contract with adjustments to yield county and minor civil division check yields.
- ⁴ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average production per acre.
- ⁵ Average number of hogs raised by producer in 2 hog-years from Dec. 1, 1931, to Nov. 30, 1933, with adjustments as under footnote 1.
- ⁶ Reduction in hogs raised by producer in hog-year, Dec. 1, 1933, to Nov. 30, 1934, under the adjustment contract.
- ⁷ Permitted number of hogs to be raised by producer, in hog-year Dec. 1, 1933, to Nov. 30, 1934, under the adjustment contract.
- ⁸ Paid on a basis of 30 cents per bushel on normal production on rented acres, minus the administrative expense.
- ⁹ Paid on a basis of \$5 per hog on the 1934 maximum production for market, minus the administrative expense.
- ¹⁰ Computed by dividing the total corn payment by the normal production on contracted acres.
- ¹¹ Preliminary report, as of Jan. 6, 1936, does not include contracts paid after that date.
- ¹² "Included payments authorized for payment on Jan. 6, 1936, and may include some amounts not yet disbursed on that date.
- ¹³ "Included payments" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or landlord, tenant, and other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

As shown by the table, \$10,000 producers held 0.002 percent of all 1934 corn-hog contracts, held out of production 0.006 percent of the rented corn-acres, and made 0.245 percent of the reduction in hog numbers. They received 0.008 percent of the payments made on account of corn production and 0.252 percent of the net payments for reduction in number of hogs. Of the \$500,052 paid to corn-hog producers under corn-hog contracts, \$463,254 went to producers owning and operating their farms and \$36,798 to producers renting for cash the farm they operated.

II. CORN-HOG PAYMENTS BY STATES

The number of corn-hog contracts accepted in each State, the basis of payment under these contracts, and the total payments made in each State are shown in the table which follows (table 21):

TABLE 21.—Summary of the 1934 corn-hog program 1

Geographic division and State	Con- tracts accepted	Base acres in corn 2	Rented acres in corn 3	Aver- age corn yield per acre 4	Normal produc- tion on rented acres 5	Base hog produc- tion 6	Hogs re- duced 7	Per- mitted hog pro- duction in 1934 8	Payments made			Per bushel reduced	Per hog reduced
									Corn 9	Hogs 10	Total		
	Number	Acres	Acres	Bushels	Bushels	Number	Number	Number	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Cents	Dollars
United States.....	1,153,492	55,199,012	13,000,190	28.6	371,306,752	34,051,228	13,512,709	40,538,519	107,063,330	195,292,863	302,356,213	28.8	14.45
New England.....	1,068	3,197	109	35.9	3,913	173,875	43,468	130,407	1,157	644,027	645,184	29.6	14.82
Middle Atlantic.....	4,802	79,182	14,904	38.4	571,766	293,219	73,330	219,914	160,575	1,055,441	1,216,016	28.1	14.43
East North Central.....	335,353	13,558,596	3,016,891	36.2	109,268,230	16,215,338	4,053,835	12,161,503	31,429,879	58,519,177	89,949,056	28.8	14.44
West North Central.....	606,535	35,579,324	8,493,110	27.4	232,877,101	30,625,880	7,656,470	22,969,410	67,354,503	111,009,094	178,363,597	28.9	14.50
South Atlantic.....	23,934	698,391	163,089	25.2	4,116,144	784,023	196,008	588,017	1,173,912	2,814,601	3,988,513	28.5	14.36
East South Central.....	49,728	1,620,985	402,948	24.8	10,002,860	1,454,370	371,063	1,113,277	2,863,846	8,209,134	11,072,980	28.6	14.40
West South Central.....	80,295	2,014,043	482,600	18.0	8,685,282	2,315,396	578,849	1,736,547	2,453,530	8,199,374	10,652,904	28.2	14.16
Mountain.....	35,892	1,607,753	423,148	13.4	5,661,456	1,157,472	280,208	868,264	1,592,022	4,099,327	5,691,349	28.1	14.17
Pacific.....	15,885	28,541	3,391	35.4	1,001,653	1,001,653	250,413	751,240	33,926	3,606,534	3,640,460	28.3	14.40
New England.....	1,068	3,197	109	35.9	3,913	173,875	43,468	130,407	1,157	644,027	645,184	29.6	14.82
Maine.....	7	545	6	60.0	330	1,337	334	1,003	5,015	42,771	47,786	27.0	15.00
New Hampshire.....	184	545	6	60.0	330	11,597	2,899	8,698	89	74,415	75,314	27.0	14.75
Vermont.....	543	500	4	36.0	144	20,781	5,195	15,586	42	462,620	462,662	29.2	14.32
Massachusetts.....	232	500	4	36.0	144	124,109	31,027	93,082	42	5,276	5,318	29.2	14.91
Rhode Island.....	10	2,152	95	34.7	3,439	1,427	357	1,070	1,026	53,930	54,956	29.8	14.78
Connecticut.....	92	2,152	95	34.7	3,439	14,624	3,656	10,968	1,026	53,930	54,956	29.8	14.75
Middle Atlantic.....	4,802	79,182	14,904	38.4	571,766	293,219	73,330	219,914	160,575	1,055,441	1,216,016	28.1	14.39
New York.....	1,865	13,698	589	33.2	19,566	83,797	20,949	62,848	5,497	297,281	302,778	28.1	14.19
New Jersey.....	307	4,761	1,138	40.6	46,216	106,363	26,501	79,772	13,379	394,358	407,737	28.9	14.83
Pennsylvania.....	2,630	60,723	13,177	38.4	505,984	103,059	25,765	77,294	141,699	363,802	505,501	28.0	14.12
East North Central.....	335,353	13,558,596	3,016,891	36.2	109,268,230	16,215,338	4,053,835	12,161,503	31,429,879	58,519,177	89,949,056	28.8	14.44
Ohio.....	64,330	1,978,906	447,253	37.3	16,682,528	3,285,504	821,451	2,464,053	4,796,555	11,828,275	16,624,828	28.8	14.40
Indiana.....	83,289	3,067,603	702,481	35.8	23,148,814	4,642,696	1,160,674	3,482,024	7,212,255	16,781,203	23,993,469	28.7	14.46
Illinois.....	120,542	7,193,166	1,627,083	36.3	59,063,117	5,921,487	1,480,372	4,441,115	17,022,101	21,437,407	38,469,608	29.2	14.48
Michigan.....	23,289	423,172	70,082	32.6	2,578,001	711,675	177,919	533,754	2,531,624	3,263,754	5,795,378	28.4	14.23
Wisconsin.....	42,914	981,659	160,992	36.0	5,793,710	1,653,676	413,419	1,240,257	1,666,728	5,940,669	7,607,397	28.8	14.37

See last page of table for footnotes.

Mountain-----	35,892	1,607,753	423,148	13.4	5,661,456	1,157,472	289,268	888,204	1,592,022	4,099,327	5,691,349	28.1	14.17
Montana-----	4,300	55,195	14,185	16.3	231,219	151,577	37,894	113,683	62,903	621,502	584,405	28.4	13.76
Idaho-----	9,537	19,952	2,514	34.7	87,251	354,549	88,637	265,912	24,775	1,264,129	1,288,904	28.4	14.26
Wyoming-----	3,062	187,587	47,647	14.3	681,354	74,135	18,534	55,601	190,155	1,259,585	449,740	27.9	14.01
Colorado-----	13,082	1,209,382	322,905	12.2	3,930,445	415,313	103,828	311,485	1,107,594	1,476,322	2,583,916	28.1	14.22
New Mexico-----	2,550	129,456	34,953	19.9	695,566	64,692	16,173	48,519	198,991	231,418	430,409	28.6	14.31
Arizona-----	325	2,173	34,478	23.7	11,388	19,084	4,771	14,313	3,330	69,476	72,806	29.4	14.56
Utah-----	2,753	3,556	352	25.0	8,791	61,471	15,368	46,103	2,446	216,076	218,513	27.8	14.06
Nevada-----	283	452	114	56.8	6,492	16,651	4,063	12,588	1,828	60,828	62,656	28.2	14.61
Pacific-----	15,885	28,541	3,391	35.4	120,000	1,001,653	250,413	751,240	33,926	3,606,534	3,640,460	28.3	14.40
Washington-----	5,018	3,258	645	36.3	23,422	210,845	52,711	158,134	6,583	752,031	758,614	28.1	14.27
Oregon-----	6,101	19,673	1,574	35.9	56,405	223,200	55,800	167,400	13,975	794,147	810,122	28.2	14.23
California-----	4,766	5,608	1,172	34.2	40,083	567,608	141,902	425,706	11,868	2,060,356	2,071,724	28.4	14.52

1 Preliminary estimate as of Jan. 6, 1936: Does not include canceled, rejected, or suspended contracts.

2 Average acres in 1932 and 1933, on contract farms, adjusted to meet quotas.

3 A signer could rent not less than 20 percent nor more than 30 percent of his base acres, if his base was more than 10 acres of corn for grain.

4 The yield per acre was the average yield per acre on the farm, adjusted to meet quotas.

5 Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the yield per acre.

6 Average hogs produced for market in 1932 and 1933, on each farm, adjusted to meet quotas.

7 Reduction of 25 percent from base was required.

8 Permitted hog production in 1934 is 75 percent of the established base.

9 Paid on a basis of 30 cents per bushel on normal production on rented acres, minus the administrative expense.

10 Paid on a basis of \$5 per hog on the 1934 permitted production, minus the administrative expense.

11 Includes amounts authorized for payment on Jan. 6, 1936, but not all amounts disbursed.

III. CORN-HOG PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

DETAILED FIGURES FOR LARGE PAYMENTS UNDER THE 1934 CORN-HOG PROGRAM

The following table (table 22) lists the payments to \$10,000 producers under the 1934 corn-hog program. In those cases where the hogs are actually fed on food produced on the farm, very few hog farms involve exceedingly large payments, so that only one Corn Belt farm shows in this table. A large number of hogs are produced near large cities, particularly in the East and in California, on garbage from the cities. In such cases large farms are developed specializing as hog feeding plants, and practically all the individual hog contracts under which payments exceeded \$10,000 are farms of this type.

Under the corn-hog program the arrangements with farmers involved production adjustment in hogs and also adjustment in the acreage of corn, or in either one, depending on the type of farm the farmer was operating. Most of the garbage-feeding farms produced no corn and had hogs alone. Consequently these contracts covered only reduction in hog numbers. In one California case, however, and on one Iowa farm, production of corn was also involved.

Under the program producers were assigned corn bases depending on their acreage of corn in 1932 and 1933, and hog bases depending on the hogs produced for market from litters farrowed on the farm, in the 2 hog years from December 21, 1931, to November 30, 1933 (even though these hogs were marketed subsequently to that time). The contracts called for reduction in corn acreage of not less than 20 percent or more than 30 percent in case the base acreage of corn for grain was 10 acres or more, and a 25 percent reduction both in the number of litters of hogs farrowed in the hog year beginning December 21, 1933, and a reduction of 25 percent in the number of hogs produced for market during that period (even though they would be marketed later).

Twenty-two persons (individuals, partnerships, and corporations) were parties to corn-hog contracts under which the payments exceeded \$10,000 in the 1934 program. None of these individuals had additional corn-hog contracts. The table which follows shows all the details as to the payments made to these individuals under that program and as to the bases for payments on the individual contracts.

On the 1934 corn-hog reduction program there were 22 contracts out of 1,153,492, on which payments were \$10,000 or more. Payment was made on a basis of 30 cents per bushel on the appraised yield on contracted acres of corn and \$5 per hog on 75 percent of the established base, minus the pro-rated local administrative expense. This expense was incurred by the local committee while working on the program; therefore it was taken out of the benefit payments, prorated equitably for all cooperators in each association. The variation from contract to contract in payments per bushel or per hog reflects variations in expenses from association to association.

TABLE 22.—1934 corn-hog program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by size of payment in each State, as of May 23, 1936

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Ten- ure :	Payments		Corn		Hogs			Normal corn produc- tion on acres ⁷	Payment			
			For corn	For hogs	Total	Acres		Average yield per acre ⁸	Litters		Number		Per bus- hel ⁹ re- duced	Per hog ¹⁰ re- duced
						Base ¹	Rented		Base ²	Re- duced ⁶	Base ³	Re- duced ⁴		
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Bushels	Num- ber	Num- ber	Num- ber	Cents	Dollars		
CALIFORNIA	Fontana Farms Co., Inc.	1	155,575.42	155,575.42	311,150.84	11,121.5	1,000.0	230.0	41,872.5	10,468.5	14.86			
	San Francisco Hog Co. (Henry Sunling, George Cilla, Gio- vanni Migliore, Alex Dal- besio, Giovanni Canepa), a partnership.	2	22,371.60	22,371.60	44,743.20	1,000.0	230.0		6,035.5	1,508.5	14.83			
	Susie Yamamoto.	1	17,390.10	17,390.10	34,780.20			339.0	4,732.0	1,183.0		14.70		
	Pacific Hog Co., Inc.	1	17,150.00	17,150.00	34,300.00	1,201.0	491.0	300.0	4,666.5	1,166.5	14.70			
	E. W. & C. S. Cerf Co. (a Cali- fornia corporation).	2	3,893.29	10,533.59	14,426.88	1,125.5	337.0	123.0	2,959.0	740.0	28.4	14.23		
	Balfour-Guthrie Investment Co. Parrott Investment Co. (a Cali- fornia corporation).	1	13,698.23	13,698.23	27,396.46	1,057.5	747.5	186.5	3,721.5	930.5		14.72		
	Sacramento Hog Co. (by Paci- fico Grech).	1	12,020.91	12,020.91	24,041.82	747.5			3,327.0	832.0		14.45		
	P. Bandoni & Son (P. Bandoni, A. Bandoni).	1	11,136.00	11,136.00	22,272.00	905.0		228.0	3,093.5	773.5		14.40		
		1	10,361.47	10,361.47	20,722.94	925.0		231.0	2,816.5	704.5		14.71		
	Total		3,893.29	270,237.32	274,130.61	1,125.5	337.0	40.7	18,803.5	4,700.5	73,222.0	28.4	14.76	
MASSACHUSETTS														
	Anthony Bushman	1	20,203.27	20,203.27	40,406.54	1,100.0	275.0	5,402.5	1,350.5		14.96			
	Stanley Stelansky	1	19,046.52	19,046.52	38,093.04	975.0	244.0	5,093.0	1,273.0		14.96			
	Manuel Silva	1	16,777.89	16,777.89	33,555.78	890.0	223.0	4,487.0	1,122.0		14.95			
	Fred R. Viles	1	16,443.83	16,443.83	32,887.66	1,500.0	375.0	4,397.5	1,099.5		14.96			
	Walter Lux	1	16,443.83	16,443.83	32,887.66	1,500.0	375.0	4,397.5	1,099.5		14.96			
	John N. Connors	1	13,003.49	13,003.49	26,006.98	815.0	204.0	3,477.0	869.0		14.96			
	William G. Potter	1	12,583.96	12,583.96	25,167.92	700.0	175.0	3,432.0	858.0		14.96			
	Ryder's Stock Farm, Inc.	1	12,779.12	12,779.12	25,558.24	1,120.0	280.0	3,413.0	855.0		14.96			
		1	11,188.58	11,188.58	22,377.16	1,100.0	275.0	5,402.5	1,350.5		14.97			
Total			136,530.79	136,530.79	8,990.0	2,240.0	36,591.0	9,148.0		14.96				

See last page of footnotes.

TABLE 22.—1934 corn-hog program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by size of payment in each State, as of May 23, 1936—Continued

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Ten- ure ²	Payments			Corn			Hogs				Normal corn produc- tion on rented acres ³	Payment	
			For corn	For hogs	Total	Acres		Average yield per acre ⁴	Litters		Number			Per bush- el ⁵ re- duced	Per bush- el ⁵ re- duced
						Base ⁵	Rented		Base ⁶	Re- duced ⁶	Base ⁶	Re- duced ⁶			
NEW JERSEY			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Bushels	Num- ber	Num- ber	Num- ber	Bushels	Cents	Dollars	
Hudson	Jersey Feed Farms (part- ship), Thomas B. Pheby, George M. Wright, Riddle Contracting Co., Inc., George Heflich	1	48,752.24	48,752.24	48,752.24				2,688.5	667.5	13,118.5	3,279.5		14.87	
Gloucester		1	14,720.08	14,720.08	14,720.08				875.0	219.0	3,946.5	986.5		14.92	
Hudson		1	11,138.84	11,138.84	11,138.84				842.5	210.5	2,997.0	749.0		14.87	
Total			74,611.16	74,611.16	74,611.16				4,386.0	1,097.0	20,062.0	5,015.0		14.88	
IOWA															
Iowa	Amana Society (an Iowa corpo- tion).	1	14,666.59	9,812.70	14,479.29	2,181.0	470.3	33.8	493.0	123.0	2,670.5	667.5	15,896	29.4	14.70
United States total.			8,559.88	491,491.97	500,051.85	3,306.5	807.3	36.7	32,672.5	8,169.5	132,545.5	33,137.5	29,612	28.9	14.83

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² These figures indicate the tenure under which the \$10,000 producer operated the farm, as follows: (1) Owner-operator, (2) cash tenant.

³ The base acreage of corn was based upon the average acreage in 1932 and 1933 on the area covered by the contract, with such adjustments as found necessary to bring the individual contract totals into line with county and State quotas.

⁴ Appraised corn yield on the rented acres covered by the contract, adjusted as indicated in footnote 3.

⁵ The base for the litters farrowed and hogs marketed was based upon hogs produced for market Dec. 1, 1934, and Nov. 30, 1933, on the farm operated by the producer at that time, with adjustments similar to those mentioned under footnote 3. The hog base went with the farmer, while the corn base went with the farm.

⁶ The reduction required, 25 percent, in hog litters was for litters farrowed in the hog year, Dec. 1, 1933, to Nov. 30, 1934, and for hogs produced for market in that period, no matter when marketed.

⁷ Computed by multiplying the rented corn acres by the average yield per acre.

⁸ Computed by dividing the total corn payment by the normal production on contracted acres. Paid on a basis of 30 cents per bushel, minus local administrative expense.

⁹ Computed by dividing the total hog payment by the number of hogs reduced. Paid on a basis of \$9 per hog on the maximum production for market, minus the administrative expense.

PEANUTS

United States summary, 1935, and payments by States.—The adjustment program for peanuts was designed to bring the commercial supply of peanuts in line with the consumption requirements. It provided for diverting part of the 1934 crop into the manufacture of peanut oil or into feed for livestock and for limiting acreage in 1935 through production adjustment contracts with growers. Under the 1934 diversion plan approximately 150,000,000 pounds of peanuts were diverted from normal trade channels resulting in a substantial increase in average farm price for peanuts.

Growers were offered production contracts for the 1935 crop year, which provided for benefit payments and establishment of acreage allotments. Three options were provided for the determination of the 1935 acreage allotment, as follows:

(a) The average acreage planted to peanuts on the farm in 1933 and 1934;

(b) Ninety percent of the acreage in 1934; or

(c) Ninety percent of the acreage in 1933.

The benefit payment made to 1935 peanut adjustment contract signers was at the rate of \$8 per ton for peanuts produced on the farm for sale in 1934, with the proviso that the aggregate benefit payment should not be less than \$2 per acre for each allotted acre in 1935.

Approximately 78 percent of the 88,000 commercial peanut growers in the United States participated in the 1935 peanut-production adjustment program.

The number of contracts accepted in each State, the basis of payment under those contracts, and the total payments made under peanut contracts in each State, are shown in table 23. There were no \$10,000 payments under the peanut program.

TABLE 23.—Summary, by States, of the 1935 peanut program, as of Jan. 6, 1936

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted	Production (1934)	Acreage allotment (1935) ¹	Payment ²
	Number	Pounds	Acres	Dollars
United States.....	52,060	635,007,531	964,800	2,915,792
South Atlantic.....	35,679	517,925,544	647,773	2,203,926
Virginia.....	7,338	126,968,955	130,375	518,547
North Carolina.....	13,501	215,576,681	190,497	872,845
South Carolina.....	433	3,179,651	5,169	14,336
Georgia.....	13,433	166,843,352	309,982	770,323
Florida.....	974	5,356,905	11,750	27,875
East South Central.....	6,401	68,279,009	116,497	307,134
Kentucky.....	1	2,000	9	18
Tennessee.....	476	4,353,143	4,793	18,095
Alabama.....	5,924	63,923,866	111,695	289,021
West South Central.....	9,955	48,607,677	200,159	403,791
Oklahoma.....	1,796	6,392,612	22,791	45,306
Texas.....	8,159	42,215,065	177,368	358,485
Mountain: New Mexico.....	25	195,301	371	941

¹ Acreage allotment based on: (a) The average acreage planted to peanuts on the farm in 1933 and 1934; (b) 90 percent of the acreage in 1934; or (c) 90 percent of the acreage in 1933.

² Payment based on \$8 per ton of the 1934 peanut production or \$2 per allotted acre, whichever was the larger, and divided between the landlord and tenants or share croppers.

RICE

United States summary, 1935.—All payments and large payments under the 1935 rice programs are summarized in table 24.

TABLE 24.—United States summary, all contracts under the 1935 rice program and contracts with \$10,000 producers¹ under that program

Item	Contracts accepted	Allotted acreage ²	Producers' share of acreage ³	Production allotment for 1935 payment ⁴	Total 1935 benefit payments ⁵
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Barrels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁶	9,946	860,707	712,298	7,778,862	9,401,976
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	9,868	751,546	608,675	6,519,514	7,871,864
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁷	78	109,161	103,623	1,259,348	1,530,112
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers, as a percent of total for all contracts.....	Percent 0.78	Percent 12.68	Percent 14.55	Percent 16.19	Percent 16.27

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Producer's allotment.

³ Producer's share of acreage measured.

⁴ Actual number of barrels produced for payment.

⁵ Paid on a basis of \$1.215 per barrel produced (California rice converted from bags to barrels; 1 barrel equals 162 pounds).

⁶ Totals as of Jan. 6, 1936.

⁷ As of May 25, 1936. All tenures listed as producers on the contract.

Ten-thousand-dollar producers held 0.78 percent of all rice contracts, held out of production 16 percent of the normal production on all rice acres withheld from production, and produced 16.2 percent of the 1935 production. They received 16.3 percent of the benefit payments under the rice program.

II. RICE PAYMENTS, BY STATES

The number of rice contracts accepted, by States, the basis of payment under these contracts, and the total payments made in each State, are shown in table 25.

TABLE 25.—United States summary, by States, of the 1935 rice program, as of Jan. 6, 1936

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted	Allotted acreage ¹	Producers' share of acreage ²	Production allotment for 1935 payment ³	Total 1935 benefit payments ⁴
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Barrels</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
United States.....	9,946	860,707	712,298	7,778,862	9,401,976
West South Central.....	9,252	765,382	623,322	6,360,369	7,680,591
Arkansas.....	1,674	131,326	133,160	1,527,440	1,854,176
Louisiana.....	6,860	360,126	357,538	3,280,798	3,981,262
Texas.....	718	273,930	132,624	1,552,131	1,845,153
West North Central: Missouri.....	1	139	119	1,591	1,933
Pacific: California ⁵	693	95,186	88,857	1,416,902	1,719,452

¹ Producer's allotment.

² Producer's share of acreage measured.

³ Actual number of barrels produced for payment.

⁴ Paid on a basis of \$1.215 per barrel produced.

⁵ California rice converted from bags to barrels; 1 barrel equals 162 pounds.

III. RICE PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

DETAILED FIGURES OF LARGE PAYMENTS TO PRODUCERS UNDER THE 1935 RICE PROGRAM

The following tables (tables 26 and 27) list payments to \$10,000 producers under the 1935 rice programs. The payments shown are with respect to all the farms in a State on which the producer partic-

ipated in the production of rice in 1935; and in practically all instances the payments listed cover the producer's operations on several rice farms, with all operations summarized in one or, at the most, two contracts.

As many rice farms are leased on a crop-share basis, rice payments were made to tenants as well as to landlords, and the table includes payments made to persons working rented farms as well as to landlords. The payments shown represent only those made to the producer named. They do not represent the total payments to all producers on a farm, as the landlord and the tenant each had a separate rice contract, covering their own interest in the operations. Since the usual lease share terms give to the tenant from two-thirds to three-quarters of the crop, the total amount of payments to tenants was much larger than that to share landlords. For example, if a producer, listed in the table, is a landlord and received a payment of \$10,000 under his rice contract and, for the purposes of the program, his share of the 1935 acreage was one-third, the payments to all of his tenants would amount to approximately \$20,000.

The payment due each producer was calculated separately, rather than first computing the total payment for a farm and then dividing the payment between the landlord and the tenant. If, on all the farms on which a producer was participating in the production of rice in 1935, his total share of the rice acreage was equal to between 85 and 100 percent of his acreage allotment, full payment was made. Full payment was equal to three-quarters of 1 cent per pound (equivalent to 75 cents per 100-pound bag, or to \$1.21½ per 162-pound barrel) for each pound included in a figure equal to 85 percent of the producer's production allotment. Each producer's production allotment was based upon his share of the crops actually produced by him during the base years. However, if the producer's share of the measured acreage on all of his farms was equal to less than 85 percent of his acreage allotment, then the number of pounds on which the producer's payment was computed was reduced. Similarly, a reduction was made in the number of pounds in the producer's production allotment, if his share of the 1935 rice acreage exceeded his acreage allotment. The payment per unit of production allotment with respect to all producers was at a uniform rate of three-quarters of a cent per pound and was for the adjustment of rice acreage to the requirements of existing domestic, territorial, and foreign markets which was actually made by each producer.

Acreage allotments were assigned to each State, and the total acreage allotments assigned to producers did not exceed the State figures. The acreage allotment for all States was determined at such a figure as would, at average yield, produce a total supply of rice for the 1935-36 season fully adequate to fill the requirements of domestic and territorial markets and of such foreign markets as purchase the type of rice grown on American farms. The total acreage allotments assigned to the four rice-producing States was 760,640 acres. The Division of Crop Estimates, of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, on December 1, 1935, estimated the 1935 rice acreage as 784,000 acres, or but 3 percent in excess of the total acreage allotments. It is estimated that more than 90 percent of all rice producers in the United States joined in the cooperative effort to adjust production to the 1935-36 requirements of domestic and foreign markets.

TABLE 26.—1935 southern rice program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by States, by size of payment, as of May 20, 1936

State and county	Name of producer(s)	Total pay- ments ²	Rice acreage		Rice production		Production allotment for pay- ment ⁷
			Allotment ³	Producers' actual acreage, 1935 ⁴	Allotment ⁵	Percent of production allotment for pay- ment ⁶	
ARKANSAS							
Arkansas.....	L. A. Black.....	Dollars 28,290.90	Acres 2,205	Acres 2,202	Barrels 27,365	Percent 85.0	Barrels 23,260
Poinsett.....	Bott Bros.....	10,372.45	591	562	10,044	85.0	8,537
Lonoke.....	Southern Rice Products Co., Inc.....	12,512.07	992	997	12,290	84.0	10,298
Do.....	Winooski Savings Bank.....	18,337.99	1,210	1,156	17,757	85.0	15,093
State total.....		69,483.41	4,998	4,917	67,426	-----	57,188
LOUISIANA							
Acadia.....	Acadia Vermillion Rice Irrigation Co., Inc.....	10,345.72	900	875	10,018	85.0	8,515
Vermillion.....	do.....	54,453.87	5,528	5,102	52,727	85.0	44,818
	Total, Acadia Vermillion Rice Irrigation Co., Inc.....	64,799.59	6,428	5,977	62,745	-----	53,333
Acadia.....	Calcasieu National Bank.....	103.27	10	10	100	85.0	85
Calcasieu.....	do.....	24,704.59	2,999	2,678	23,921	85.0	20,333
	Total, Calcasieu National Bank.....	24,807.86	3,009	2,688	24,021	-----	20,418
Iberia.....	J. P. Dufie.....	11,941.02	912	872	11,562	85.0	9,828
Calcasieu.....	Farmer's Land & Canal Co., Inc.....	31,140.45	3,015	3,026	30,512	84.0	25,630
Vermillion.....	Hunter Canal Co.....	41,595.52	3,372	3,195	40,276	85.0	34,235
St. James.....	Hymel Bros. Co.....	12,374.77	660	627	11,982	85.0	10,185
Allen.....	Kindel Canal Co., Inc.....	10,935.00	1,100	1,027	10,588	85.0	9,000
Carmen.....	Lacassane Co., Inc.....	23,123.88	2,380	2,369	22,391	85.0	19,032
Vermillion.....	Liberty Farms, Inc.....	17,345.34	2,127	1,930	16,795	85.0	14,276
Calcasieu.....	Louisiana Canal Co., Inc.....	13,200.97	1,249	1,124	12,782	85.0	10,865
	Louisiana Irrigation & Mill Co.....	59,284.71	4,670	4,450	57,405	85.0	48,794
Acadia.....	do.....	14,374.66	1,133	1,068	13,919	85.0	11,831
Jefferson Davis.....						-----	
	Total, Louisiana Irrigation & Mill Co.....	73,659.37	5,803	5,518	71,324	-----	60,625
Acadia.....	John Marsh Farm & Canal Co., Inc.....	11,297.91	914	871	10,911	85.0	9,274
Jefferson Davis.....	Miblett Farms, Inc.....	27,819.85	3,331	3,170	26,938	85.0	22,897
Do.....	J. M. Pettitjean.....	17,395.15	1,421	1,452	17,250	83.0	14,317

Vermillion.....	do.....	826.20	80	80	800	85.0	680
	Total, J. M. Pettigean.....	18,221.35	1,504		1,532	18,050	14,997
Acadia.....	M. Pettigean.....	15,545.92	1,128		1,128	15,053	12,795
Calcasieu.....	Prairie Land & Canal Co., Inc.....	13,656.60	1,446		1,446	13,223	11,240
Do.....	Sabine Canal Co.....	30,498.55	3,379		3,485	30,213	25,077
Jefferson Davis.....	Joe Sturdivant.....	11,554.65	961		939	11,198	9,510
Calcasieu.....	Sweet Lake Land & Oil Co., Inc.....	14,330.92	1,551		1,408	13,877	11,795
Cameron.....	do.....	6,073.78	638		637	5,881	4,999
	Total, Sweet Lake Land & Oil Co., Inc.....	20,404.70	2,189		2,045	19,758	16,794
Jefferson Davis.....	Tip Top Canal Co.....	10,287.40	921		898	9,961	8,467
	State total.....	484,150.70	45,971		43,867	470,283	398,478
TEXAS							
Jefferson.....	J. R. Blanch.....	10,808.64	638		636	10,466	8,896
Liberty.....	E. W. Boyd.....	37,379.47	2,451		2,451	36,194	30,765
Wharton.....	El Campo Irrigation Co.....	11,823.16	779		779	11,448	9,731
Liberty.....	J. W. Elkins.....	18,711.00	1,046		1,046	18,118	15,400
Matagorda.....	Insall & Underwood.....	10,244.88	729		724	9,920	8,432
Colorado.....	William K. Lehrer.....	51,014.20	3,584		3,389	49,397	41,987
Matagorda.....	Sam V. Letulle.....	13,276.30	788		745	12,855	10,927
Chambers.....	Lone Star Rice Farms.....	17,177.67	1,102		1,102	16,633	14,138
Jackson.....	Mauritz Bros.....	14,400.18	982		862	13,944	11,852
Liberty.....	E. S. Nolte.....	10,142.82	619		603	9,821	8,348
Wharton.....	Pierce Estates, Inc.....	26,896.45	1,910		1,910	26,044	22,137
Liberty.....	J. M. Rich.....	10,134.31	585		585	9,813	8,341
Fort Bend.....	Richmond Rice Co.....	45,561.28	3,368		3,304	44,116	37,499
Harris.....	Sugarland Industries.....	38,471.76	3,147		2,819	37,252	31,664
Jefferson.....	Texas Public Service Co.....	30,185.46	2,301		2,266	29,228	24,844
Colorado.....	Thomas Bros.....	11,849.89	646		627	11,474	9,753
Do.....	Oser J. Wintermann.....	40,189.77	2,586		2,627	39,379	33,078
Do.....	Wintermann, Lee & Hoyer.....	13,306.68	967		955	12,885	10,952
	State total.....	411,573.92	28,228		27,334	398,987	338,744

¹ \$10,000 producers are those who were parties to a contract under this program, under which total of payments amounted to \$10,000 or more. The rice contract included payments with respect to all farms in the State on which the producer participated in 1935 in the production of rice.

² Paid, at the rate of \$12.15 per barrel (102 pounds), on 85 percent of the producer's production allotment, with deduction made in the number of barrels for payment if the 1935 rice acreage exceeded 100 percent of, or fell below, 85 percent of, the producer's allotment.

³ Acreage allotment represents the maximum 1935 acreage which the producer could plant to rice and qualify for full payment. The acreage allotment represents each producer's proportionate share of 80 percent of the average acreage for the State during the period 1929-33, inclusive.

⁴ Producer's share of the 1935 rice acreage as disclosed by field measurements.

⁵ Production allotment represents the producer's proportionate share of 80 percent of the average production for the State during the period 1929-33, inclusive.

⁶ If the 1935 acreage was between 85 and 100 percent of the producer's acreage allotment, payment was made on 85 percent of the producer's production allotment. If the 1935 acreage was in excess of 100 percent or fell below 85 percent, the producers acreage allotment, the number of bags for payment was reduced.

⁷ Production allotment times percent for payment.

TABLE 27.—1935 California rice program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by size of payment, as of May 20, 1938

State and county	Name of producer (s)	Total pay- ments ²	Rice acreage		Rice production		Production allotment for pay- ment ⁷
			Producers' actual acreage, 1935 ⁴	Allotment ³	Allotment ⁶	Percent of production allotment for pay- ment ⁵	
CALIFORNIA		<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Bags</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Bags</i>
Sutter.....	Sutter Basin Corporation, Ltd., and Sutter Improvement Co.....	54,203.25	2,579	2,578		85.0	72,271
Butte.....	E. L. Adams.....	42,803.25	1,971	1,969		85.0	57,071
Yuba.....	California Lands, Inc.....	32,301.75	1,954	1,801		85.0	43,069
Yolo.....	Rivers Farms Co. of California.....	28,566.00	1,739	1,491		85.0	38,088
Do.....	F. L. Fisher and H. M. Rich.....	26,061.50	1,403	1,241		85.0	36,682
Sacramento.....	Natomas Co.....	26,467.50	1,245	1,080		85.0	35,290
Colusa.....	I. G. Zumwalt.....	22,307.25	1,449	1,366		85.0	29,743
Fresno.....	State Farming Co., Inc.....	21,665.25	1,416	1,415		85.0	28,887
Fresno.....	Butte Farm Land Co.....	19,218.75	1,024	967		85.0	25,625
Butte.....	F. E. Redfern.....	18,430.50	854	836		85.0	24,574
Do.....	Leon Brink, Emil A. Johnson, and H. S. Brink, Jr.....	17,895.00	889	778		85.0	23,860
Do.....	H. W. Barnann.....	17,524.50	767	657		85.0	23,360
Do.....	Ralph C. Gorrill.....	16,063.50	761	651		85.0	21,418
Colusa.....	O. W. Baker & Sons.....	15,443.50	805	803		85.0	20,590
Butte.....	Ralph Taylor.....	15,442.50	788	784		85.0	20,590
Glenn.....	Dodge Land Co.....	15,068.25	775	755		85.0	20,091
Butte.....	E. E. Willard.....	14,764.50	1,239	980		79.1	19,686
Colusa.....	Sommerstrom Investment Co.....	13,614.00	767	625		85.0	18,132
Yolo.....	Rathbun Bros.....	12,381.00	663	604		85.0	16,508
Merced.....	Conaway ranch.....	11,995.50	715	614		85.0	15,994
Glenn.....	Croker Huffman Land & Water Co.....	11,965.50	845	726		85.0	15,954
Glenn.....	L. M. Benoit & A. E. Moutrey.....	11,749.50	687	638		85.0	15,666
Sutter.....	P. J. Hiett.....	11,667.75	475	460		85.0	15,557
Glenn.....	Glenn Colusa irrigating district.....	11,449.50	810	783		85.0	15,266
Butte.....	Grell Bros.....	11,413.50	591	565		85.0	15,218
Sacramento.....	Hans L. Krueger, administrator.....	11,205.00	414	360		85.0	14,940
Do.....	Ethel F. Adams and Carl Vanderford.....	11,115.75	465	404		85.0	14,821
Yolo.....	J. L. Harlan.....	10,797.00	513	505		85.0	14,396
Sutter.....	E. H. Christenson & Son.....	10,226.25	484	484		85.0	13,635
Butte.....	W. C. Eldridge.....	10,077.75	409	396		85.0	13,437
Do.....	Parrott Investment Co.....	10,020.75	468	468		85.0	13,361
State total.....		564,904.50	29,964	27,505		-----	753,200

- ¹ \$10,000 producers are those who were parties to a contract under this program, under which total of payments amounted to \$10,000 or more. The rice contract included payments with respect to all farms in the State on which the producer participated in 1935 in the production of rice.
- ² Paid, at the rate of 75 cents per bag (100 pounds), on 85 percent of the producer's production allotment, with deduction made in the number of bags for payment if the 1935 rice acreage exceeded 100 percent of, or fell below, 85 percent of the producer's acreage allotment.
- ³ Acreage allotment represents the maximum 1935 acreage which the producer could plant to rice and qualify for full payment. The acreage represents each producer's proportionate share of 80 percent of the average acreage for the State during the period 1927-34, inclusive.
- ⁴ Producer's share of the 1935 rice acreage as disclosed by field measurements.
- ⁵ Production allotment represents the producer's proportionate share of 80 percent of the average production for the State during the period 1927-34, inclusive.
- ⁶ If 1935 acreage was between 85 and 100 percent of the producer's acreage allotment, payment was made on 85 percent of the producer's production allotment. If 1935 acreage was in excess of 100 percent or fell below 85 percent, the number of bags for payment was reduced.
- ⁷ Production allotment times percent for payment.

TOBACCO

United States summary, 1933.—Production adjustment programs were in effect in 1933 for cigar-leaf tobacco only. However, in the case of flue-cured tobacco, provisions were made for payment to growers who sold tobacco from the 1933 crop on auction warehouse markets prior to specified dates. After the marketing season for the 1933 crop was under way, markets were closed, pending developments leading toward higher prices. When markets reopened, prices were at a higher level than previously and continued to increase for a period of approximately 1 month. Growers who sold tobacco prior to these price increases and who signed production adjustment contracts, received equalizing payments sufficient to compensate in part for the losses incurred from the reason of not having waited until prices were higher to sell their tobacco. All 1933 payments and large payments are summarized in table 28.

TABLE 28.—*Total number of 1933 tobacco production adjustment contracts, base acreage, base production, rented acres, retired production and benefit payments, and \$10,000 producers*¹

Kind of tobacco and State	Contracts in effect	Equalization payments ²	Base acreage	Rented acres ³	Normal production on rented acres
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>1,000 pounds</i>
Flue-cured, all cases:					
Virginia.....					
North Carolina.....					
South Carolina.....					
Georgia.....					
Florida.....					
Total.....		55,584			
Cigar-leaf, all contracts: ⁴					
Pennsylvania.....	3,693		25,503	12,751	16,156
New York.....	319		1,281	641	728
Massachusetts.....	452		2,914	1,415	1,939
Connecticut.....	858		6,392	3,172	4,250
New Hampshire.....	21		63	32	44
Vermont.....	18		72	36	49
Minnesota.....	638		1,608	796	915
Wisconsin.....	6,944		28,420	14,181	16,946
Illinois.....	5		20	10	12
Ohio.....	4,732		26,077	13,038	10,848
Indiana.....	32		125	62	50
Georgia.....	36		207		
Florida.....	112		2,357		
Total.....	17,860		95,039	46,134	51,937
Flue-cured, \$10,000 producers.....		1			
Percent of all cases.....		Percent 0.002			

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 28.—Total number of 1933 tobacco production adjustment contracts, base acreage, base production, rented acres, retired production and benefit payments, and \$10,000 producers ¹—Continued

Kind of tobacco and State	Payments made ⁴			
	Equalizing	First	Second	Total
Flue-cured, all cases:	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
Virginia.....	492			492
North Carolina.....	2, 015, 705			2, 015, 705
South Carolina.....	1, 360, 421			1, 360, 421
Georgia.....	1, 011, 453			1, 011, 453
Florida.....	92, 542			92, 542
Total.....	4, 480, 613			4, 480, 613
Cigar-leaf, all contracts: ⁵				
Pennsylvania.....		306, 024	244, 356	550, 380
New York.....		15, 384	12, 136	27, 520
Massachusetts.....		66, 486	55, 572	122, 058
Connecticut.....		146, 892	155, 078	301, 970
New Hampshire.....		1, 490	1, 163	2, 653
Vermont.....		1, 683	1, 185	2, 868
Minnesota.....		15, 593	12, 294	27, 887
Wisconsin.....		280, 018	247, 572	527, 590
Illinois.....		200	143	343
Ohio.....		220, 215	189, 675	409, 890
Indiana.....		895	801	1, 696
Georgia.....		10, 695	11, 340	22, 035
Florida.....		31, 473	32, 081	63, 554
Total.....		1, 097, 048	963, 396	2, 060, 444
Flue-cured, \$10,000 producers.....	11, 071			11, 071
Percent of all cases.....	<i>Percent</i> 0. 248			<i>Percent</i> 0. 248

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those who were parties to a contract under this program, under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.

² Data by States not available.

³ Under the 1933 cigar programs no acreage was rented or retired in Georgia and Florida.

⁴ Based upon provisions of tobacco production adjustment contracts as shown in supplements (a), (b), (c), and (d) to table 30.

⁵ There were no \$10,000 producers under 1933 cigar-leaf tobacco programs.

Based on contracts approved for payment to May 31, 1936.

United States summary, 1934.—In 1934, 294,519 tobacco-production contracts were in effect in the six tobacco programs in continental United States and the one program in effect in Puerto Rico. In 1934, tobacco producers were permitted to make reductions from their base tobacco acreage varying from 25 to 100 percent, depending upon the tobacco program under which they came and the provision of the respective contracts and administrative rulings, and to receive rental and benefit payments for such reductions. It is estimated that the acreage withheld from production by all producers with normal yields would have produced approximately 496 million pounds, or about one-half as much as the 1934 crop. The acreage withheld from production by \$10,000 producers would have produced approximately 2,087,000 pounds, with normal yields, or approximately 0.4 percent of the total. These figures are shown in tables 29 and 30.

76 PAYMENTS UNDER AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 29.—United States summary, all contracts under the 1934 tobacco program and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program

	Con- tracts in effect	Base acreage ²	Rented acres ³	Normal yield per acre ⁴	Normal production on rented acres ⁵	Payments made	
						Total ⁶	Per pound re- duced ⁷
Flue-cured:	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Pounds</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Cents</i>
All contracts.....	112,501	974,215	273,666	745	203,993,000	14,951,995	7.33
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	112,497	973,674	273,504	745	203,856,758	14,941,075	7.33
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	4	540	162	841	136,242	10,920	8.02
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	0.004	0.06	0.06	-----	0.07	0.07	-----
Burley:							
All contracts.....	111,169	433,296	172,368	745	128,284,000	14,299,622	11.15
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	111,156	431,326	171,601	744	127,469,398	14,203,089	11.14
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	13	1,970	767	1,062	814,602	96,533	11.85
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	0.01	0.45	0.44	-----	0.63	0.68	-----
Cigar-leaf, type 51:							
All contracts.....	3,255	26,308	17,848	1,349	24,079,000	1,612,303	6.70
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	3,252	25,556	17,533	1,342	23,533,169	1,557,754	6.62
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	3	752	315	1,735	545,831	54,549	9.99
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	0.09	2.86	1.76	-----	2.27	3.38	-----
Cigar-leaf, type 62:							
All contracts.....	164	2,739	739	890	658,000	120,489	18.31
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	163	1,778	419	887	371,850	98,061	26.37
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	1	961	320	894	286,150	22,428	7.84
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	0.61	35.09	43.30	-----	43.49	18.61	-----
Puerto Rico, type 46:							
All contracts.....	10,427	53,622	17,159	654	11,222,000	1,789,520	16.22
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	10,423	52,456	16,694	654	10,917,876	1,762,700	16.42
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	4	1,166	465	10 654	304,124	26,820	8.82
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	0.04	2.17	2.71	-----	2.71	1.50	-----
Total, all programs: ¹¹							
All contracts.....	294,519	1,818,086	613,167	-----	496,347,000	42,801,483	7.72
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	294,494	1,812,697	611,138	-----	494,260,051	42,590,233	7.71
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	25	5,389	2,029	-----	2,086,949	211,250	10.12
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as percent of all contracts.....	<i>Percent</i> 0.01	<i>Percent</i> 0.30	<i>Percent</i> 0.33	-----	<i>Percent</i> 0.42	<i>Percent</i> 0.50	-----

¹ \$10,000 producers are those who were parties to a contract under this program, under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.

² Aggregate base acreage determined for individual producers under optional methods provided in contracts and administrative rulings.

³ Rented acres were the acres held out of the production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco-production adjustment contract.

⁴ Base production (established by same optional method as base acreage) divided by base acreage.

⁵ Aggregate of figures computed by multiplying the rented acres for each State by the average yield per acre for the State.

⁶ Payments determined in accordance with the provisions of tobacco-production adjustment contracts and administrative rulings which are reproduced on the 4 following pages, table 30, supplements (a), (b), (c), and (d).

⁷ Total payment divided by normal production on rented acres.

⁸ Includes 1 contract under which producer included a farm of an estate of which he was administrator.

⁹ Includes 1 contract under which the producer included, along with the farms which he owns, a farm which he rented.

¹⁰ Average yield per acre for total of type. Figures for individual farms in this group are not available.

¹¹ Includes fire-cured, Maryland, dark air-cured, and cigar-leaf, types 42 and 54, programs under which there were no \$10,000 producers.

TABLE 30.—Total number of 1934 production-adjustment contracts, base acreage, base production, base yield, rented acres, retired production, and benefit payments for principal kinds of tobacco, by States

Kind of tobacco and State	Contracts in effect	Base acreage ¹	Base production ¹	Base yield ²	Rented acres ³	Normal production on rented acres ⁴	Payments made ⁵			
							Rental	Equalizing	Adjustment	Total
	Number	Acres	1,000 pounds	Pounds	Acres	1,000 pounds	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
Flue-cured:										
Virginia.....	11,693	93,239	58,631	629	24,708	15,541	432,390	492	870,203	1,303,085
North Carolina.....	74,107	697,408	523,991	751	195,274	146,651	3,417,295	2,015,705	7,275,547	12,708,547
South Carolina.....	13,222	100,775	78,596	780	29,426	22,952	514,955	1,360,421	1,118,890	2,994,266
Georgia.....	12,465	76,809	59,921	789	22,505	17,554	393,837	1,011,453	1,830,605	2,235,895
Florida.....	1,014	5,984	4,425	739	1,753	1,295	30,678	92,542	67,595	190,815
Total.....	112,501	974,215	725,564	745	273,666	203,993	4,789,155	4,480,613	10,162,840	19,432,608
Fire-cured:										
Kentucky.....	12,092	73,217	55,122	753	18,744	14,114	224,928	-----	488,015	712,943
Tennessee.....	7,970	71,016	58,401	822	17,967	14,769	215,604	-----	495,246	710,850
Virginia.....	6,279	30,156	21,549	715	7,780	5,563	93,300	-----	255,635	348,995
Total.....	26,341	174,389	135,072	775	44,491	34,446	533,892	-----	1,238,896	1,772,788
Burley:										
Kentucky.....	60,769	308,991	224,564	727	123,596	89,854	2,471,920	-----	7,928,051	10,399,971
Tennessee.....	29,052	66,615	52,606	790	25,647	20,261	512,940	-----	1,589,780	2,102,720
Ohio.....	5,403	16,290	11,979	735	6,890	5,094	137,800	-----	377,634	515,434
Indiana.....	2,963	9,778	7,436	760	4,155	3,158	83,100	-----	228,878	311,978
Virginia.....	5,743	10,341	10,028	970	3,671	3,561	73,420	-----	286,064	359,484
North Carolina.....	4,170	8,078	6,072	752	2,860	2,151	67,200	-----	167,573	224,773
Missouri.....	1,080	7,650	6,691	875	3,998	2,781	51,960	-----	153,950	215,910
West Virginia.....	1,881	4,753	2,706	569	2,124	1,209	42,480	-----	104,284	146,764
Kansas.....	87	600	465	775	245	190	4,900	-----	11,171	16,071
Arkansas.....	5	18	8	444	9	4	180	-----	4,452	605
Alabama.....	16	182	128	703	73	51	1,400	-----	4,425	5,912
Total.....	111,169	433,296	322,683	745	172,368	128,284	3,447,360	-----	10,852,262	14,299,622
Maryland.....	703	7,142	4,585	642	1,785	1,115	35,700	-----	41,650	77,350
Dark air-cured:										
Kentucky.....	9,255	43,043	36,337	844	12,999	10,971	155,988	-----	417,100	573,088
Tennessee.....	1,019	2,974	2,114	711	907	645	10,884	-----	25,887	36,771
Indiana.....	79	191	179	937	58	58	606	-----	1,538	1,838
Virginia.....	384	1,110	821	740	337	249	4,044	-----	14,247	18,291
Total.....	10,737	47,318	39,451	834	14,301	11,919	171,612	-----	458,072	629,684

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 30.—Total number of 1934 production-adjustment contracts, base acreage, base production, base yield, rented acres, retired production, and benefit payments for principal kinds of tobacco, by States—Continued

Kind of tobacco and State	Contracts in effect	Base acreage ¹	Base pro- duction ¹	Base yield ²	Rented acres ³	Normal produc- tion on rented acres ⁴	Payments made ⁵			
							Rental	Equalizing	Adjust- ment	Total
Cigar-leaf ⁶ :	Number	Acres	1,000 pounds	Pounds	Acres	1,000 pounds	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars
Pennsylvania.....	4,743	31,191	-----	-----	20,988	26,592	504,000	-----	556,000	1,060,000
New York.....	376	1,454	-----	-----	1,215	1,380	36,000	-----	20,900	56,900
Massachusetts.....	1,049	7,362	-----	-----	5,436	7,447	241,486	-----	215,368	457,054
Connecticut.....	2,154	18,693	-----	-----	12,174	16,313	536,129	-----	603,367	1,139,496
New Hampshire.....	27	124	-----	-----	114	153	4,794	-----	2,486	7,280
Vermont.....	25	127	-----	-----	124	166	5,734	-----	2,738	8,472
Minnesota.....	685	1,925	-----	-----	1,608	1,822	34,000	-----	22,500	56,500
Wisconsin.....	8,544	37,324	-----	-----	30,959	36,996	650,000	-----	471,000	1,121,000
Illinois.....	14	46	-----	-----	38	45	800	-----	340	1,140
Ohio.....	4,824	26,978	-----	-----	17,686	14,715	360,000	-----	407,000	767,000
Indiana.....	36	141	-----	-----	101	81	2,700	-----	1,880	4,580
Georgia.....	46	265	-----	-----	71	63	6,567	-----	6,567	13,134
Florida.....	118	2,474	-----	-----	668	595	53,670	-----	53,685	107,355
Total.....	22,641	128,104	-----	-----	91,182	106,368	2,435,880	-----	2,364,031	4,799,911
Puerto Rican.....	10,427	53,622	-----	-----	17,159	11,222	514,770	-----	1,274,750	1,789,520
Total, all programs.....	294,519	1,818,086	1,227,355	-----	614,952	496,347	11,928,369	4,480,613	26,392,501	42,801,483

¹ Aggregate base determined for individual producer under optional methods provided in contracts as shown on following pages, supplements (a), (b), (c), and (d).² Base production divided by base acreage.³ Rented acres were acres held out of production and used only in specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contracts and administrative rulings.⁴ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the average yield per acre. In the case of cigar-leaf tobacco, by multiplying the rented acres by 10-year average yields.⁵ Based upon provisions of tobacco production adjustment contracts and administrative rulings shown on the following pages. In the case of cigar-leaf tobacco, payments were known as first payment and second payment. Equalizing payments were made to growers who sold tobacco from their 1933 crops prior to increases in prices resulting from marketing agreements.⁶ No base production established for cigar-leaf tobacco contracts.

Based on contracts approved for payment to May 31, 1936.

Supplement A

Form T-30

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ADMINISTRATION

FLUE-CURED TYPES 11, 12, 13, AND 14

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY THE SECRETARY

18. There shall be made a rental payment of seventeen dollars and fifty cents (\$17.50) per acre of the rented acres and proportionately for any fractional acre (computed to the nearest tenth), and shall be made between December 15, 1933, and February 15, 1934, or not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the acceptance of this contract by the Secretary or his authorized agent.

19. There shall be an adjustment payment as follows:

(a) If the base tobacco acreage is four (4) acres or more, this payment shall equal twelve and one half percent ($12\frac{1}{2}\%$) of the net market value of the tobacco grown on this farm in 1934 for market, up to an amount equal to the initial production allotment for this farm.

(b) If the base tobacco acreage is less than four (4) acres, the rate of this payment shall be increased one half of one percent ($\frac{1}{2}\%$) for each one tenth ($\frac{1}{10}$) of an acre difference between four acres and the base tobacco acreage, except that in no event shall the rate exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the net market value of the tobacco specified in clause (a) above.

In no event shall the adjustment payment be based on a net average market price exceeding twenty-one cents (21¢) per pound.

If the amount of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm which is grown for market is for any reason less than the initial production allotment, then there shall be paid, as a part of the adjustment payment, two cents (2¢) for each pound that said amount falls short of the initial production allotment.

The adjustment payment shall be made after October 15, 1934, and within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of such proof as the Secretary may require: (1) That the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in respect of the 1934 tobacco crop, and (2) of the amount and net market value of the tobacco grown for market on this farm in 1934; provided that such proof of performance and of amount and net market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to April 30, 1935. If the whole or any part of the tobacco crop of 1934 grown for market on this farm, has not been sold prior to March 31, 1935, the Secretary or his authorized agent shall arrange for its appraisal to determine its market value, provided a written request therefor is received prior to March 31, 1935.

20. If the producer sold all or any part of his 1933 tobacco crop from this farm through an auction warehouse market prior to and including October 28, 1933, there shall be paid for the benefit of the producer and such share tenants and/or share croppers as had an interest in such tobacco, a price-equalizing payment on the net proceeds from the producer's original sales of such tobacco, provided application is duly made in accordance with a form to be provided by the Secretary. Said price-equalizing payment shall be twenty percent (20%) of such net proceeds from such tobacco so sold from August 1, 1933, to September 1, 1933, inclusive, and ten percent (10%) of such net proceeds (1) from such tobacco (other than that sold on the South Carolina and border markets) so sold from September 25, 1933, to October 7, 1933, inclusive, and (2) from such tobacco so sold on the South Carolina and border markets from September 25, 1933, to October 28, 1933, inclusive. This payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after the application for same has been approved by the Secretary.

T-77
 Supplement no. 1
 May 11, 1934

ADMINISTRATIVE RULING No. 23

Conditions Under Which (A) Acreage of Tobacco Planted May Exceed Tobacco Acreage Allotment, and (B) Quantity of Tobacco Marketed May Exceed Initial Production Allotment Under Flue-Cured Tobacco Production Adjustment Contracts

(A) ACREAGE OF TOBACCO PLANTED

The acreage of tobacco planted in 1934 on a farm or farms covered by a flue-cured tobacco contract may exceed the maximum of 70% of the base tobacco acreage prescribed in paragraph 2 (a) of such contract and referred to therein as the "tobacco acreage allotment" provided that the total acreage planted to tobacco shall not exceed 80% of such base tobacco acreage for such farm or farms, and provided that either:

(1) A deduction shall be made from the producer's share of the adjustment payment provided for in paragraph 19 of the contract as modified in accordance with provisions of section (B) of this ruling, which deduction shall equal \$17.50 per acre upon a number of acres equal to 10% of the base tobacco acreage; no part of such deduction shall be made from the share of the adjustment payment to be distributed to share-tenants or share-croppers; or

(2) The number of acres of tobacco planted in excess of said 70% shall be destroyed so as to make the remaining number of acres conform to the "tobacco acreage allotment."

There shall be included in the certificate of performance with respect to acreage of tobacco planted, a statement setting forth the acreage of tobacco planted together with an agreement by the producer that any deduction from the adjustment payment in accordance with this section (A) of this ruling shall be made from his share of the adjustment payment.

The marketing card shall contain the designation of a trustee as provided in paragraph 23 in the contract, together with an acceptance and undertaking by the trustee to distribute to each share-tenant and/or share-cropper that amount to which such share-tenant and/or share-cropper is entitled in accordance with this ruling and paragraph 23 of the contract, and to the producer that amount which the producer is entitled to receive after the deduction of any amount required by this section (A) of this ruling.

(B) QUANTITY OF TOBACCO MARKETED

The quantity of tobacco marketed in 1934 from a farm or farms covered by a flue-cured tobacco contract may exceed the maximum of 70% of the base tobacco production prescribed in paragraph 2 (b) of said contract and referred to therein as the initial production allotment provided that the quantity of tobacco so marketed shall not exceed 80% of said base tobacco production and provided further that the producer shall accept in lieu of the adjustment payment provided for in paragraph 19 of the contract an adjustment payment which shall be calculated as follows:

(1) If the base tobacco acreage is four (4) acres or more, the adjustment payment shall be:

(a) 12% of the net market value of the tobacco marketed from this farm in 1934, provided the quantity of tobacco marketed is more than 70% and not more than 72% of the base tobacco production.

(b) 11% of the net market value of the tobacco marketed from this farm in 1934, provided the quantity of tobacco marketed is more than 72% and not more than 74% of the base tobacco production.

(c) 10% of the net market value of the tobacco marketed from this farm in 1934, provided the quantity of tobacco marketed is more than 74% and not more than 76% of the base tobacco production.

(d) 9% of the net market value of the tobacco marketed from this farm in 1934, provided the quantity of tobacco marketed is more than 76% and not more than 78% of the base tobacco production.

(e) 8% of the net market value of the tobacco marketed from this farm in 1934, provided the quantity of tobacco marketed is more than 78% and not more than 80% of the base tobacco production.

(2) If the base tobacco acreage is less than four acres, the rate of the adjustment payment shall be increased above that provided in the applicable subdivision of (1) above by one-half of one percent ($\frac{1}{2}\%$) for each tenth ($\frac{1}{10}$) of an acre difference between four acres and the base tobacco acreage for the farm or farms except that in no event shall the rate be more than twice the rate provided in the applicable subdivision of (1) above.

In no event shall the adjustment payment be based on a net average market price exceeding 21¢ per pound.

The initial production allotment prescribed in paragraph 2 (b) of the contract shall remain at 70% of the base tobacco production and the deficiency payment, if any, shall be 2¢ for each pound of tobacco that the quantity of tobacco marketed falls short of the initial production allotment for the farm or farms as provided in paragraph 19 in the contract.

Approved:

W. R. GREGG, *Acting Secretary.*

Form T-77
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section
Supplement No. 7
June 11, 1934

ADMINISTRATIVE RULING No. 27

RATE OF THE PRICE-EQUALIZING PAYMENT UPON FLUE-CURED TOBACCO SOLD PRIOR TO AUGUST 1, 1933

The rate of the price-equalizing payment on flue-cured tobacco of the 1933 crop sold through auction warehouse markets prior to August 1, 1933, shall be twenty percent (20%) of the net proceeds from such tobacco so sold.

Supplement (b)
Form T-43
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Production Division
December 12, 1933

FIRE-CURED TYPES 21, 22, 23, AND 24

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

19. There shall be made to the producer a rental payment of twelve dollars (\$12.00) per acre of the rented acres and proportionately for any fractional acre (computed to the nearest tenth), which shall be made before March 1, 1934, or not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt and acceptance of this contract by the Secretary or his authorized agent in Washington.

20. There shall be made other payments as follows:

(a) The pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association for the above-named county will be paid to the association. All other payments will be made as provided in part V.

(b) A first adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than seven and one-half percent ($7\frac{1}{2}\%$) of the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm. In no event shall this payment be less than ten dollars (\$10.00) per rented acre or more than thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) per rented acre. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) the reduction in acreage of the producer's 1934 crop provided for in this contract and (2) the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than September 15, 1934, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to August 15, 1934.

(c) A second adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than seven and one-half percent ($7\frac{1}{2}\%$) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop

of this farm, up to an amount equal to the initial production allotment, or the adjusted production allotment, whichever is smaller, less the pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent (1) that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions of this contract to be performed on his part in respect to the 1934 tobacco crop and (2) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than July 1, 1935, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to June 1, 1935.

(d) If the amount of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm which is grown for market is for any reason less than the initial production allotment, then there shall be made, at the time of the second adjustment payment, a deficiency payment of one and one-fourth cents (1¼¢) for each pound that said amount falls short of the initial production allotment.

T-77 Supplement 18
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section
November 1934

ADMINISTRATIVE RULING No. 36

DISPOSITION OF TOBACCO PRODUCED IN EXCESS OF PRODUCTION ALLOTMENT UNDER BURLEY, FIRE-CURED AND DARK AIR-CURED CONTRACTS

In the event that there has been produced in 1934 on a farm or farms covered by a Burley, Fire-Cured or Dark Air-Cured tobacco production adjustment contract, on an acreage not in excess of the tobacco acreage allotment, a quantity of tobacco exceeding the tobacco production allotment prescribed in said contract together with the Administrative Rulings thereunder (hereinafter referred to as the "production allotment"), the Secretary directs that the excess over the production allotment shall be rendered unmerchantable except to the extent that the producer may elect to market and have covered by tax-payment warrants the excess production, within the maximum limits and subject to the terms set forth herein. The option to market such excess tobacco may not be exercised by the producer unless all persons having an interest in the second adjustment payment under the contract consent to the proposed exercise and intend the marketing of such excess to be brought within the terms of this administrative ruling.

In the event that the producer elects to exercise the above privilege there shall be a reduction in the second adjustment payment. The rate of this payment shall be reduced progressively below the rate fixed in the contract as the quantity marketed exceeds the production allotment by increasing amounts. The rate thus reduced shall be applied to the net sale value of all tobacco marketed, including the excess.

The total quantity of tobacco marketed from the 1934 crop of any farm or farms covered by a Burley tobacco production adjustment contract may not exceed the production allotment by more than 10% of the allotment; the total quantity of tobacco marketed from the 1934 crop of any farm or farms covered by a fire-cured or a dark air-cured tobacco production adjustment contract may not exceed the production allotment by more than 15% of the allotment.

In consideration for the permission of the secretary to market rather than render unmerchantable tobacco produced in excess of the production allotment, the producer, by marketing tobacco in excess of the production allotment, within the limits set forth herein, shall agree:

(1) To accept a second adjustment payment at such reduced rate as is provided herein;

(2) That the second adjustment payment, so reduced, shall be distributed in the manner provided for distribution of the second adjustment payment in paragraph 23 of the contract;

(3) That failure by the producer to comply with the agreements, limitations, and conditions set forth in this ruling may result in forfeiture of the second adjustment payment and/or rescission of the contract, at the election of the Secretary;

(4) In the event of a rescission by the Secretary under this ruling: (a) To return to the Secretary any payments under the contract theretofore paid to the producer by the Secretary; (b) to pay to the Secretary a sum equal to the value of any tax-payment warrants theretofore issued to the producer pursuant to the

provisions of the Kerr-Smith Tobacco Act; and (c) to pay to the Secretary all costs incident to the collection of the sums referred to in the preceding subdivisions (a) and (b).

Table of rates for reduced second adjustment payment

BURLEY TOBACCO

Percentage by which weight marketed exceeds allotment	Percentage rate of second adjustment payment	
	Where allotment equals 50 percent of base	Where allotment equals 66⅔ percent of base
	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Percent</i>
Under 2.1 percent.....	34	14
2.1 to 4 percent.....	33	13
4.1 to 6 percent.....	32	12
6.1 to 7 percent.....	31	11
7.1 to 8 percent.....	30	10
8.1 to 9 percent.....	29	9
9.1 to 10 percent.....	28	8

Fire-cured tobacco		Dark air-cured tobacco	
Percentage by which weight marketed exceeds allotment	Percentage rate of second adjustment payment	Percentage by which weight marketed exceeds allotment	Percentage rate of second adjustment payment
	<i>Percent</i>		<i>Percent</i>
Under 2.1 percent.....	7	Under 3.1 percent.....	9
2.1 to 5 percent.....	6	3.1 to 6 percent.....	8
5.1 to 8 percent.....	5	6.1 to 9 percent.....	7
8.1 to 11 percent.....	4	9.1 to 11 percent.....	6
11.1 to 13 percent.....	3	11.1 to 13 percent.....	5
13.1 to 15 percent.....	2	13.1 to 15 percent.....	4

Approved:
DECEMBER 5, 1934.

H. A. WALLACE, *Secretary.*

Supplement (c)
Form T-39a
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Production Division
December 11, 1933

BURLEY TYPE 31

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

19. There shall be made to the producer a rental payment of twenty dollars (\$20.00) per acre of the rented acres and proportionately for any fractional acre (computed to the nearest tenth), which shall be made before March 1, 1934, or not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt and acceptance of this contract by the Secretary or his authorized agent in Washington.

20. There shall be made other payments as follows:

(a) The pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association for the above-named county will be paid to the association. All other payments will be made as provided in part V.

(b) A first adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than (1) ten percent (10%) of the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm if the 1934 tobacco acreage and production are reduced thirty-three and one third percent (33⅓%) on this farm, or (2) fifteen percent (15%) of the net sale value of such crop if such acreage and production are reduced fifty percent (50%). In no event shall this payment be less than fifteen dollars (\$15.00) per rented acre or more than forty-five dollars (\$45.00) per rented acre. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) the reduction in acreage of the producer's 1934 crop provided for in this contract and (2) the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than September 15, 1934, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to August 15, 1934.

(c) A second adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than (1) fifteen percent (15%) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm, up to an amount equal to the initial production allotment, or the adjusted production allotment, whichever is smaller, if the 1934 tobacco acreage and production are reduced thirty-three and one third percent (33⅓%) on this farm or (2) thirty-five percent (35%) of the net sale value of such crop, up to said amount, if such acreage and production are reduced fifty percent (50%), in either event less the pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent (1) that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions of this contract to be performed on his part in respect to the 1934 tobacco crop, and (2) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than June 1, 1935, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to May 1, 1935.

(d) If the amount of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm which is grown for market is for any reason less than the initial production allotment, then there shall be made, at the time of the second adjustment payment, a deficiency payment of two cents (2¢) for each pound that said amount falls short of the initial production allotment.

Form T-45
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Production Division
December 13, 1933

DARK AIR-CURED TYPES 35, 36, AND 37

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

19. There shall be made to the producer a rental payment of twelve dollars (\$12.00) per acre of the rented acres and proportionately for any fractional acre (computed to the nearest tenth), which shall be made before March 1, 1934, or not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt and acceptance of this contract by the Secretary or his authorized agent in Washington.

20. There shall be made other payments as follows:

(a) The pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association for the above-named county will be paid to the association. All other payments will be made as provided in part V.

(b) A first adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than ten percent (10%) of the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm. In no event shall this payment be less than ten dollars (\$10.00) per rented acre or more than thirty-five dollars (\$35.00) per rented acre. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) the reduction in acreage of the producer's 1934 crop provided for in this contract and (2) the net sale value of the 1933 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than September 15, 1934, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to August 15, 1934.

(c) A second adjustment payment which shall be an amount not less than ten percent (10%) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm, up to an amount equal to the initial production allotment, or the adjusted production allotment, whichever is smaller, less the pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Production Control Association. This payment shall be made after proof satisfactory to the Secretary has been submitted to the Secretary or his authorized agent (1) that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions of this contract to be performed on his part in respect to the 1934 tobacco crop, and (2) of the net sale value of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm. Such payment shall be made not later than July 1, 1935, provided such proof shall have been submitted prior to June 1, 1935.

(d) If the amount of the 1934 tobacco crop of this farm which is grown for market is for any reason less than the initial production allotment, then the producer shall receive, at the time of the second adjustment payment, a deficiency payment of one and one-fourth cents (1¼¢) for each pound that said amount falls short of the initial production allotment.

Form No. T-49a
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Production Division
January 1934

[Stamp code and serial number here]

MARYLAND TYPE 32

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY THE SECRETARY

16. There shall be made a rental payment of twenty dollars (\$20) per acre of the rented acreage and proportionately for any fractional acre (computed to the nearest tenth), which shall be made before March 15, 1934, or not later than thirty (30) days from the date of the receipt and acceptance of this contract by the Secretary or his authorized agent.

17. There shall be made other payments as follows:

(a) The pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Control Association for the above-named county will be paid to the Association. All other payments will be made as provided in part IV.

(b) An adjustment payment equal to at least twenty-five percent (25%) of an amount to be computed as follows: The base yield shall be multiplied by the number of acres and the fractional acres contained in the rented acreage and such product multiplied by the average market price, up to fifteen cents (15¢) per pound, received for the 1932 tobacco crop sold from this farm.

There shall be deducted from one or more of the payments the pro rata share of the administrative expenses of the Tobacco Control Association for the above-named county, but in no event shall the adjustment payment with the expenses of the Association deducted be less than fifteen dollars (\$15) per acre of the rented acreage.

The adjustment payment shall be made after December 1, 1935, and within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of such proof as the Secretary may require: (1) That the Producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in respect of the 1934 tobacco crop, and (2) of the amount and net sale value of the tobacco grown for market on this farm in 1934; provided that such proof of performance and of amount and net market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to December 31, 1935. If the whole or any part of the tobacco crop of 1934 grown for market on this farm, has not been sold prior to November 15, 1935, the Secretary or his authorized agent shall arrange for its appraisal to determine its market value, provided a written request therefor is received prior to December 1, 1935.

Form T2
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration

PENNSYLVANIA TYPE 41 AND NEW YORK-PENNSYLVANIA TYPE 53

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. AGREEMENT BY SECRETARY

The Secretary agrees:

16. There shall be made to the producer two payments in respect of the 1933 tobacco crop: The first payment shall be for the amount of twenty-four dollars (\$24.00) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1933 and shall be made between September 1, 1933, and November 1, 1933, after proof of compliance by the producer with the terms of this contract. The second payment shall be for such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933, except that in no event shall the second payment be for an amount of less than fifteen dollars (\$15.00) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933. The second payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) proof that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in 1933, and (2) proof of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1933, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1934.

Form T-90-b
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section

RIDER A TO BE ATTACHED TO

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Pennsylvania type 41 and New York-Pennsylvania type 53)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

5. There shall be made to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a first payment for 1934 in the amount of twenty-four dollars (\$24) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1934. Said first payment shall be made before October 1, 1934, provided that there has been presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the first payment for 1934, and the provisions of paragraph 4 of the notice of the Secretary.)

6. There shall be paid to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a supplemental first payment for 1934, in the amount of four dollars (\$4) per acre of the contracted acres of 1934, provided that the producer entered into a contract on form T-2 covering this farm during the 1933 growing season and that proof satisfactory to the Secretary is presented—

(a) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the contract on his part to be performed with respect to the 1933 crop;

(b) That the producer made a division acceptable to the Secretary of the 1933 payments with any tenant(s) and/or share-cropper(s) on this farm who had an interest in or participated in the production of the 1933 crop and who joined in the reduction thereof; and

(c) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the original contract, the notice of the Secretary, and this rider, with respect to the 1934 crop.

(The payments provided for in this paragraph shall be in addition to and supplementary to the payments provided for in paragraph 5 hereof.)

7. There shall be made a second payment for 1934 in the manner provided in paragraph 9 hereof.

(a) If option (a) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to thirty-five percent (35%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than fourteen dollars (\$14) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(b) If option (b) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934 to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than seventeen dollars (\$17) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(c) If option (c) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in the amount of eight dollars and fifty cents (\$8.50) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

The second payment provided for herein shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of proof satisfactory to the Secretary—

(1) that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder, and

(2) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1934, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1935.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the second payment for 1934 and the provisions of numbered paragraph 5 of the notice of the Secretary.)

Form T3
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration

MIAMI VALLEY TYPES 42, 43, AND 44

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. AGREEMENT BY SECRETARY

The Secretary agrees:

16. There shall be made to the producer two payments in respect of the 1933 tobacco crop: The first payment shall be for the amount of fifteen dollars (\$15.00) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1933 and shall be made between September 1, 1933, and November 1, 1933, after proof of compliance by the producer with the terms of this contract. The second payment shall be for such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933, except that in no event shall the second payment be for an amount of less than nine dollars (\$9.00) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933. The second payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) proof that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in 1933, and (2) proof of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1933, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1934.

Supplement (d)
Form T-91
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section

RIDER A TO BE ATTACHED TO
TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Miami Valley types 42, 43, and 44)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

5. There shall be made to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a first payment for 1934 in the amount of fifteen dollars (\$15) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1934. Said first payment shall be made before October 1, 1934, provided that there has been presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the first payment for 1934, and the provisions of paragraph 4 of the notice of the Secretary.)

6. There shall be paid to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a supplemental first payment for 1934, in the amount of three dollars (\$3) per acre of the contracted acres of 1934, provided that the producer entered into a contract on form T-3 covering this farm during the 1933 growing season and that proof satisfactory to the Secretary is presented—

(a) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the contract on his part to be performed with respect to the 1933 crop.

(b) That the producer made a division acceptable to the Secretary of the 1933 payments with any tenant(s) and/or share cropper(s) on this farm who had an interest in or participated in the production of the 1933 crop and who joined in the reduction thereof, and

(c) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the original contract, the notice of the Secretary and this rider, with respect to the 1934 crop.

(The payments provided for in this paragraph shall be in addition to and supplementary to the payments provided for in paragraph 5 hereof.)

7. There shall be made a second payment for 1934 in the manner provided in paragraph 9 hereof.

(a) If option (a) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to thirty-five percent (35%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than nine dollars (\$9) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(b) If option (b) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than eleven dollars (\$11) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(c) If option (c) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in the amount of five dollars and fifty cents (\$5.50) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

The second payment provided for herein shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of proof satisfactory to the Secretary: (1) that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder, and (2) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1934, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1935.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the second payment for 1934, and the provisions of numbered paragraph 5 of the notice of the Secretary.)

Form T1
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration

NEW ENGLAND TYPES 51 AND 52

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, Approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. AGREEMENT BY SECRETARY

The Secretary agrees:

16. There shall be made to the producer two payments in respect of the 1933 tobacco crop: The first payment shall be for the amount of forty-seven dollars (\$47.00) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1933 and shall be made between September 1, 1933, and November 1, 1933, after proof of compliance by the producer with the terms of this contract. The second payment shall be for such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933, except that in no event shall the second payment be for an amount of less than twenty-eight dollars (\$28.00) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933. The second payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) proof that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in 1933, and (2) proof of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1933, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1934.

Form T-92
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section

RIDER A TO BE ATTACHED TO

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(New England types 51 and 52)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

5. There shall be made to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a first payment for 1934 in the amount of forty-seven dollars (\$47) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1934. Said first payment shall be made before October 1, 1934, provided that there has been presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary, this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the first payment for 1934, and the provisions of paragraph 4 of the notice of the Secretary.)

6. There shall be paid to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a supplemental first payment for 1934, in the amount of seven dollars (\$7) per acre of the contracted acres of 1934, provided that the producer entered into a contract on form T-1 covering this farm during the 1933 growing season and that proof satisfactory to the Secretary is presented.

(a) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the contract on his part to be performed with respect to the 1933 crop;

(b) That the producer made a division acceptable to the Secretary of the 1933 payments with any tenant(s) and/or share cropper(s) on this farm who had an interest in or participated in the production of the 1933 crop and who joined in the reduction thereof; and

(c) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the original contract, the notice of the Secretary and this rider, with respect to the 1934 crop.

(The payments provided for in this paragraph shall be in addition to and supplementary to the payments provided for in paragraph 5 hereof.)

7. There shall be made a second payment for 1934 in the manner provided in paragraph 9 hereof.

(a) If option (a) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to thirty-five percent (35%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than twenty-eight dollars (\$28) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(b) If option (b) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than thirty-two dollars (\$32) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(c) If option (c) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in the amount of sixteen dollars (\$16) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

The second payment provided for herein shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of proof satisfactory to the Secretary—

(1) that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder, and

(2) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1934,

provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1935.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary, this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the second payment for 1934 and the provisions of numbered paragraph 5 of the notice of the Secretary.)

Form T4
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration

WISCONSIN TYPES 54 AND 55

TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act, approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. AGREEMENT BY SECRETARY

The Secretary agrees:

16. There shall be made to the producer two payments in respect of the 1933 tobacco crop: The first payment shall be for the amount of twenty dollars (\$20.00) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1933 and shall be made between September 1, 1933, and November 1, 1933, after proof of compliance by the producer with the terms of this contract. The second payment shall be for such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933, to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933, except that in no event shall the second payment be for an amount of less than twelve dollars (\$12.00) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1933. The second payments shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of (1) proof that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in 1933, and (2) proof of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1933, provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1934.

Form T-93
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section

RIDER A TO BE ATTACHED TO
TOBACCO ACREAGE REDUCTION CONTRACT

(Wisconsin types 54 and 55)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

5. There shall be made to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a first payment for 1934 in the amount of twenty dollars (\$20) per acre of the contracted acreage of 1934. Said first payment shall be made before October 1, 1934, provided that there has been presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of paragraph 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the first payment for 1934, and the provisions of paragraph 4 of the notice of the Secretary.)

6. There shall be paid to the person designated in paragraph 8 hereof a supplemental first payment for 1934, in the amount of three dollars (\$3) per acre of the contracted acres of 1934, provided that the producer entered into a contract on form T-4 covering this farm during the 1933 growing season and that proof satisfactory to the Secretary is presented—

(a) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the contract on his part to be performed with respect to the 1933 crop;

(b) That the producer made a division acceptable to the Secretary of the 1933 payments with any tenant(s) and/or share cropper(s) on this farm who had an interest in or participated in the production of the 1933 crop and who joined in the reduction thereof; and

(c) That the producer has fully complied with all the terms and conditions of the original contract, the notice of the Secretary and this rider, with respect to the 1934 crop.

(The payments provided for in this paragraph shall be in addition to and supplementary to the payments provided for in paragraph 5 hereof.)

7. There shall be made a second payment for 1934 in the manner provided in paragraph 9 hereof.

(a) If option (a) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934, to thirty-five percent (35%) of the average market value per acre of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than twelve dollars (\$12) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(b) If option (b) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in such amount as shall be equal, for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934 to forty percent (40%) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934, except that in no event shall said payment be in an amount of less than fourteen dollars (\$14) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

(c) If option (c) of paragraph 1 hereof be chosen, said second payment shall be in the amount of seven dollars (\$7) for each acre of the contracted acreage of 1934.

The second payment provided for herein shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation to the Secretary or his authorized agent of proof satisfactory to the Secretary—

(1) that the producer has fully performed all of the terms and conditions on his part to be performed hereunder, and

(2) of the average market value per acre of tobacco harvested, if any, on this farm in 1934,

provided that such proof of performance and market value shall be presented to the Secretary or his authorized agent prior to May 1, 1935.

(Upon acceptance of this rider by the Secretary this paragraph shall be substituted for and shall supersede those provisions of par. 21 of the original contract relating to the amount of the second payment for 1934 and the provisions of numbered par. 5 of the notice of the Secretary.)

Form T78
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section

GEORGIA-FLORIDA TYPE 62

TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act approved May 12, 1933, as amended)

II. PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

20. There shall be made to the producer two payments in respect of the 1934 crop. The first payment shall be in the amount of Thirty Dollars (\$30.00) per acre of the tobacco acreage harvested on this farm in 1934 and shall be made between July 1, 1934, and September 1, 1934, after proof of compliance by the producer with the terms and conditions of this contract other than those contained in paragraph 8. The second payment shall be in the amount of Thirty Dollars (\$30.00) per acre of the tobacco acreage harvested on this farm in 1934 and shall be made within sixty (60) days after the presentation of proof to the Secretary or his authorized agent that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions of this contract.

Form T. P. 1 C
United States Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Adjustment Administration
Tobacco Section
March 8, 1934

[Stamp code and serial number here]

PUERTO RICO, Municipio—Municipality-----

TIPO 46, PUERTO RICO—PUERTO RICAN TYPE 46

CONTRATO DE AJUSTE DE PRODUCCIÓN DE TABACO—TOBACCO PRODUCTION ADJUSTMENT CONTRACT

(De acuerdo con la Ley de Ajuste Agrícola, aprobada Mayo 12, 1933, y sus enmiendas, a la que nos referimos en adelante como la Ley.)

(Pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act approved May 12, 1933, as amended, hereinafter referred to as the act.)

II. CUMPLIMIENTO POR EL SECRETARIO—PERFORMANCE BY SECRETARY

19. Se hará al productor, o si el tabaco producido en esta finca en 1933-34 lo hubiese sido con la ayuda de medianeros y aparceros, entonces a la persona designada como fideicomisario de acuerdo con el párrafo 24 de este contrato, un primer pago en la suma de diez dólares (\$10) por cuerda de tabaco cosechado en esta finca en 1933-34, deshojado (*primed*), y un pago de quince dólares (\$15) por cuerda de tabaco cosechado en esta finca en 1933-34 cortado de mata (*stalk cut*). Tal pago se hará después que este contrato haya sido aceptado por el Secretario, y después que el productor haya suministrado prueba satisfactoria al Secretario de que la segunda y tercera cosecha de tabaco de 1933-34 de esta finca no ha sido cosechada.

There shall be made to the producer, or if the tobacco produced on this farm in 1933-34 shall have been produced with the aid of share tenants and/or share croppers, then to the person designated as trustee pursuant to paragraph 24 of this contract, a "first payment" in the amount of ten (\$10) dollars per *cuerda* of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933-34 by "priming", and/or fifteen (\$15) dollars per *cuerda* of tobacco harvested on this farm in 1933-34 by "stalk cutting." Said first payment shall be made after this contract has been accepted by the Secretary and after the producer has presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the second and third crops of 1933-34 tobacco on this farm have not been harvested.

20. Se hará a la persona designada en el párrafo 25 un "pago de arriendo" en la suma de treinta (\$30) dólares por cada cuerda del área arrendada en 1934-35. Tal pago de arriendo se hará después que este contrato haya sido aceptado por el Secretario y después que el productor haya presentado prueba satisfactoria al Secretario, de que la segunda y tercera cosecha de tabaco de 1933-34 de esta finca no ha sido cosechada.

There shall be made to the person designated in paragraph 25 a "rental payment" in the amount of thirty (\$30) dollars per *cuerda* of the rented acreage of 1934-35. Said rental payment shall be made after this contract has been accepted by the Secretary and after the producer has presented proof satisfactory to the Secretary, that the second and third crops of 1933-34 tobacco on this farm have not been harvested.

21. Se hará un "pago de ajuste" en la cantidad de treinta por ciento (30%) del valor de mercado del tabaco cosechado en esta finca en 1934-35, entendiéndose que en ningún caso el pago de ajuste será menor de veinte dólares (\$20) por cada *cuerda* del área arrendada en 1934-35. Este pago de ajuste se hará dentro de los sesenta (60) días siguientes a la presentación al Secretario o a su agente autorizado, de:

There shall be made an "adjustment payment" in the amount of thirty per cent (30%) of the market value of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934-35, provided that in no event shall this adjustment payment be in an amount less than twenty (\$20) dollars for each *cuerda* of the rented acreage of 1934-35. Said adjustment payment shall be made within sixty (60) days after presentation to the Secretary, or his authorized agent, of:

(a) Prueba satisfactoria al Secretario de que el productor ha cumplido en su totalidad con los términos y condiciones de este contrato en la parte que le incumbe y en relación con la cosecha del tabaco cosechado en esta finca en 1934-35.

Proof satisfactory to the Secretary that the producer has fully performed all the terms and conditions hereof on his part to be performed in respect to the 1934-35 tobacco crop, and

(b) Prueba satisfactoria al Secretario del valor neto en el mercado del tabaco cosechado en esta finca en 1934-35.

Proof satisfactory to the Secretary of the net market value of the tobacco harvested on this farm in 1934-35.

Disponiéndose, que tal prueba de cumplimiento y de valor neto en el mercado se suministrará antes de septiembre 1 de 1935.

Provided, That such proof of performance and net market value shall be presented prior to September 1, 1935.

United States Summary, 1935.—Final payments under the 1935 tobacco programs were just getting under way when the production adjustment programs were invalidated by the Supreme Court decision on the *Butler case* on January 6, 1936. Payment was not resumed until after Congress had made special appropriation for them in the act approved March 1936. As these payments are only partially completed, the 1935 tobacco programs will be covered in a supplementary report to be submitted later.

II. TOBACCO PAYMENTS BY STATES

The number of tobacco production adjustment contracts in effect in each State, the bases of payment under these contracts, and the total payments made in each State, for 1933, are shown in table 28, and for 1934, in table 30. Corresponding information for 1935 will be submitted in the supplementary report after the 1935 final payments have been completed.

III. TOBACCO PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

Only one producer (individuals, partnerships, or corporations) received payment of \$10,000 or more from an individual contract under the 1933 tobacco program. This was in the case of one producer in South Carolina who received an equalizing payment of \$11,070.81 from 3 contracts covering 101 farms. In 1934, 14 producers received payments of \$10,000 or more; the total number of contracts to which they were parties was 25. The names of these persons, the tenure under which they operated, the various farms covered by their contracts, the facts as to their contracts in each county, the payments to them, and the payments to other interested parties, are shown in the lists which follow.

The detailed data are given for the 1933 payments on flue-cured tobacco in table 31; the 1934 flue-cured tobacco program in table 32; Burley tobacco in table 33; type 51 cigar tobacco (Connecticut Valley) in table 34; type 62 cigar tobacco (Florida) in table 35; and Puerto Rican tobacco (type 46) in table 36.

TABLE 31.—1933 *Flue-cured tobacco (type 11) production-adjustment program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 27, 1936*

State and county	Number of contracts	Number of tenants	Payee	Total price equalizing payment	Factors determining price equalizing payment ²			
					Date sold	Percent of value paid	Pounds sold	Net proceeds
Georgia: Berrien.....	3	97	Alvah W. Gaskins, trustee..	\$11,070.81	(Aug. 1-Sept. 1, 1933, inclusive.....	20	518, 719	\$55, 354. 03
					Sept. 25-Oct. 7, 1933, inclusive.....	10	-----	-----
					Sept. 25-Oct. 28, 1933, inclusive.....	10	-----	-----
					Total.....	-----	518, 719	55, 354. 03

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amount to \$10,000 or more for a single year.
² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplements (a) to (d) to table 30.

TABLE 32.—1934 *Flue-cured tobacco (type 11) production-adjustment program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 27, 1936*

State and county	Num-ber of con-tracts	Num-ber of ten-ants	Producer payee	Payments made ²			Tobacco base acreage ³ in farm	Tobacco base acreage ³ acreage ⁴	To-bacco base pro-duction ⁵	Al-lotted pro-duction ⁶	To-bacco mar-keted	Esti-mated pro-duction of 1934 on rented acres ⁷	Net sales value of 1934 crop	Pay-ment per pound re-duced ⁷
				Rental	Adjust-ment	Total								
North Carolina: Robeson..	4	117	K. M. Biggs..	Dollars 2, 833.25	Dollars 8, 080.79	Dollars 10, 920.04	70, 999.7	539.8	161.9	Pounds 453, 845	Pounds 337, 692	Pounds 296, 513	Dollars 68, 951.28	Cents 6.94

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties, and payments under these contracts.
² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplements (a) to (d) to table 30.
³ Base determined under optional methods provided in contract and administrative rulings.
⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contract.
⁵ Allotted production is the maximum number of pounds which could be marketed under provisions of 1934 contract.
⁶ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the 1934 yield per acre.
⁷ Computed by dividing the total payment by the estimated production on rented acres.

TABLE 33.—1934 Burley (type 31) tobacco program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State

State and county	Tenure	Payments made (rental) ²				Number of con- tracts	Number of farms	Number of ten- ants
		Producer payee	Amount		Number			
			Dollars	Number				
KENTUCKY								
Fayette.....	Owning.....	Charles H. Gentry.....	1,570	1	4	15		
Do.....	do.....	Hal Price Headley.....	1,496	2	5	1		
Bourbon.....	do.....	Clarence Le Bus estate, by Frazer D. Le Bus and Clarence Le Bus, Jr., administrators.....	820	1	2	4		
Harrison.....	do.....	do.....	4,652	4	27	58		
Do.....	Renting.....	do.....	166	1	1	1		
Harrison County total.....			4,818	5	28	59		
Total.....		Clarence Le Bus estate, by administrators.....	5,638	6	30	63		
Woodford.....	Owning.....	H. L. Martin, Jr.....	1,468	1	1	12		
Fayette.....	do.....	Mason Foundation Co.....	1,526	1	(9)	6		
Woodford.....	do.....	Estate of Mrs. Lucy Alexander Simms (by A. B. Gay, agent).....	1,772	1	1	5		
Bourbon.....	do.....	Catesby W. Spears.....	1,870	1	8	4		
United States total.....			15,340	13	-----	106		
State and county	Tenure	Payments made ²		Net sale value		Acreage in farm		
		Payee	Adjustment	1933 crop	1934 crop			
							Total rental and benefit	
KENTUCKY								
Fayette.....	Owning.....	Charles H. Gentry, trustee.....	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Dollars		1,900.0
Do.....	do.....	Hal Price Headley.....	3,532.50	10,619.14	27,212.04	15,411.00		2,114.5
			3,336.79	12,832.11	32,153.09	23,210.74		
Bourbon.....	do.....	Frazer D. Le Bus and Clarence Le Bus, Jr., administrators.....	1,309.38	1,975.32	13,093.81	13,535.59		1,008.0
Harrison.....	do.....	do.....	5,707.77	9,616.56	58,165.65	67,002.70		8,311.0
Do.....	Renting.....	do.....	373.50	919.15	4,162.94	2,660.64		22.0
Harrison County total.....			6,081.27	10,535.71	62,328.59	70,263.34		8,333.0
Total.....		Clarence Le Bus estate, by administrators.....	7,390.65	12,511.03	75,422.40	83,708.93		9,941.0

State and county	Tenure	Payee	Tobacco base acreage ¹	Rented acreage ⁴	Tobacco base production ³	Allotted production ⁵	Tobacco marketed	Estimated production on rented acres ⁶	Payment per pound reduced ⁷
KENTUCKY									
Fayette	Owning	Mrs. Mildred P. Martin, trustee	157.0	78.5	Pounds 179,977	Pounds 89,988	Pounds 78,260	Pounds 101,717	Cents 10.44
Do	do	J. W. Stewart, trustee	149.5	74.8	200,419	100,209	100,208	100,211	12.81
Bourbon	do	A. B. Gay, agent for William E. Simms, executor of Lucy Alexander Simms estate, trustee.	123.0	41.0	106,827	71,254	71,254	35,573	11.53
Do	do	Catesby W. Spears, trustee							
Harrison	Owning	Charles H. Gentry, trustee	698.0	292.6	539,137	350,604	355,856	183,281	10.89
Do	do	Hal Price Hendley	16.5	8.3	19,470	9,735	9,736	9,736	14.98
Harrison County total	Renting	Frazer D. Le Bus and Clarence Le Bus, Jr., administrators.	714.5	240.9	558,607	369,339	365,590	193,017	11.11
Do	do	do							
Total	do	Clarence Le Bus estate, by administrators	837.5	281.9	665,434	440,593	436,844	228,590	11.17
Woodford	Owning	Mrs. Mildred P. Martin, trustee	220.5	73.4	280,895	187,357	179,838	101,057	10.96
Fayette	do	J. W. Stewart, trustee	152.5	76.3	172,147	86,073	86,072	86,075	13.79
Woodford	do	A. B. Gay, agent for William E. Simms, executor of Lucy Alexander Simms estate, trustee.	266.0	88.6	278,907	186,031	184,272	94,635	12.41
Do	do	do							
Bourbon	do	Catesby W. Spears, trustee	187.0	93.5	204,367	102,183	102,050	102,317	12.96
United States total	do	do	1,970.0	767.0	1,982,146	1,192,434	1,167,544	814,602	11.85

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payment under these contracts.

² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplement to table 30.

³ Base determined under optional methods provided in contract and administrative rulings.

⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contract.

⁵ Allotted production is the maximum number of pounds which could be marketed under provisions of 1934 contract.

⁶ Difference between the production marketed and the base production.

⁷ Computed by dividing the total payment by the estimated production on rented acres.

⁸ Information not available.

TABLE 34.—1934 cigar tobacco (type 51) production adjustment program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, as of May 25, 1936

State and county	Code	Serial	Producer payee	Tenure	Payments ²			Tobacco base acreage ³	Rented acreage ⁴ appraised in 1934	Amount marketed and/or appraised	Net sale value of 1934 crop	Estimated production on rented acres ⁵	Payment per pound reduced ⁶
					First	Second	Total						
CONNECTICUT	16												
Hartford.....	002	2050	Hartman Tobacco Co.	Owning.....	Dollars 5,517.80	Dollars 15,013.11	Dollars 20,530.91	234.8	117.4	Pounds 205,436	Dollars 45,529.09	Pounds 198,171	Cents 10.36
Do.....	002	2152	Jean Edward Shepard	Owning and renting.....	5,278.10	13,287.34	18,565.44	336.8	112.2	390,191	90,703.35	201,399	9.22
Do.....	002	133	Fred Benjamin Griffin	Owning.....	4,590.00	10,863.00	15,453.00	170.0	85.0	80,351	16,198.76	134,725	11.47
Total.....					15,385.90	39,163.45	54,549.35	741.6	314.6	684,978	152,431.20	534,295	9.99

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplement to table 30.

³ Base determined under optional methods provided in contract and administrative rulings.

⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contract.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the 1934 yield per acre.

⁶ Computed by dividing the total payment by the estimated production on rented acres.

TABLE 35.—1934 cigar tobacco (type 62) production adjustment program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State, as of May 25, 1936

State and county	Producer payee	Tenure	Payments ²			Tobacco base acreage ³	Rented acreage ⁴	Tobacco marketed in 1934	Yield per acre	Estimated production on rented acres ⁵	Payment per pound reduced ⁶
			First 1934	Second 1934	Total 1934						
Florida; Gadsden.....	American Sumatra Tobacco Co.	Owning.....	Dollars 20,727	Dollars 20,727	Dollars 41,454	29,159.0	319.9	Pounds 618,061	Pounds 894.5	Pounds 286,151	Cents 7.24

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator, or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties, amounted to \$10,000 or more for a single year.

² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplements (a) to (d) to table 30.

³ Base determined under optional methods provided in contract and administrative rulings.

⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contract.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the 1934 yield per acre.

⁶ Computed by dividing the rented acres by the 1934 yield per acre.

TABLE 36.—1934-35 Puerto Rican tobacco (type 46) production adjustment program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, as of May 29, 1936

District and municipality	Producer	Tenure	Num-ber of con-tracts	Payments ²				Acre-age in farm	Tobac-co base acre-age ³	Rented acre-age ⁴	Amount mar-keted 1934-35	Net sales value	Yield per acre	Estimated production on rented acreage ⁵	Pay-ment per pound re-duced ⁶
				Rental	Num-ber of tenants	Adjust-ment	Total								
Puerto Rico:															
Cayey.....	Ignacio Lopez Colon.....	Owning.....	2	Dollars 13,369	4	Dollars 8,742.72	Dollars 12,111.72	755.5	284.4	112.3	Pounds 160,374	Dollars 29,142.41	Pounds 931.9	Pounds 104,648.43	Cents 11.57
Do.....	Puerto Rican Leaf Tobacco Co.	do.....	2	10,384	-----	4,124.00	14,708.00	3,815.7	882.0	352.8	(⁷)	(⁷)	(⁷)	(⁷)	(⁷)
Total.....			4	13,953	4	12,866.72	26,819.72	4,571.2	1,166.4	465.1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Payments determined under provisions of contract and administrative rulings, as shown on supplement to table 30.

³ Base determined under optional methods provided in contract and administrative rulings.

⁴ Rented acres were the acres held out of production of tobacco and used only in certain specified ways in conformity with the tobacco production adjustment contract.

⁵ Computed by multiplying the rented acres by the 1934-35 yield per acre.

⁶ Computed by dividing the total payment by the estimated production on rented acres.

⁷ \$3,297 of this payment assigned to Banco de Ponce.

⁸ Producer received adjustment payment as trustee. Part of land covered by 1 of these contracts was transferred to 4 new contracts submitted by other producers effective with 1934-35 adjustment payment.

⁹ Information not available. Producer did not grow tobacco in 1934-35, therefore receiving minimum payment.

SUGAR

United States summary, 1934.—Production adjustment programs were required for sugar beets and sugarcane in order to adjust production of sugar in the continental United States and the insular areas to the level required by the sugar marketing quotas established under the Jones-Costigan Act. In 1934, 67,718 production adjustment contracts were in effect in the sugar-beet growing States (see table 37), and 39,393 contracts in the continental cane and insular cane area, including Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Philippine Islands (see table 38). The principal curtailment of surplus was in the Philippine Islands, where production adjustments were required under the quota provisions of the Jones-Costigan Act and as the result of the Philippine Independence Act, which established a duty free quota for imports into the United States of 956,000 short tons.

TABLE 37.—*United States summary: All contracts under the 1934 sugar-beet program and contracts with \$10,000 producers¹, under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Basesugar ²	Sugar planted ³	Payments made	
				Total 1934 ⁴	Refund, 1933 ⁵
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Acres</i>	<i>Acres</i>		
All contracts ⁶	67, 718	1, 083, 612	959, 992	\$18, 179, 480	\$2, 519, 080
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	67, 545	1, 035, 502	918, 459	17, 096, 344	2, 439, 603
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁷	173	48, 110	41, 533	1, 083, 136	79, 477
Contracts with \$10,000 producers owning and operating their farms.....	17	7, 922	6, 997	204, 356	13, 270
Contracts with \$10,000 producers renting their farms ⁸	156	40, 188	34, 536	878, 780	66, 207
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	2. 55	4. 44	4. 33	5. 96	3. 15

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Base sugar acres are the average acres planted to sugar-beet on the land covered by the contract during the base period (usually 1930, 1931, 1932, 1933, and 1934) adjusted to bring contract totals into line with county and State statistics.

³ Sugar planted acres is that number of acres actually planted to sugar beet for the 1934 program.

⁴ Computed by multiplying estimated production or actual production (short ton of 2,000 pounds) whichever is greater times \$1.75, minus the administrative expense.

⁵ Payment based on the 1933 tons delivered times the amount set forth in Memorandum Form Contract Record Sugar—93 (CRS-93) Part III, Supplement no. 1 (Revised).

⁶ Preliminary totals as of Jan. 6, 1936. Does not include new grower contracts.

⁷ As of June 8, 1936. This includes contracts with producers who received \$10,000 or more under this program, and all contracts with landlords who received as much as \$10,000 on an individual contract under this program.

⁸ Includes \$2,701 paid to landlords for the 1934 sugar-beet program, and \$1,464 paid to landlords for the 1933 refund payments.

TABLE 38.—*Preliminary summary of the 1934 and 1935 sugarcane production adjustment programs of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration, by areas*

Area	Contracts	Acreage in cane at inception of program ¹	Production at inception of program ¹		Production after adjustment ²		Benefit payments, total to December 1935 ³
			Sugar	Cane	Sugar	Cane ⁴	
			<i>Short tons, raw</i>	<i>Short tons</i>	<i>Short tons, raw</i>	<i>Short tons</i>	
Continental cane....	9,780	242,000	276,000	3,500,000	376,000	4,256,000	\$13,139,545
Hawaii.....	⁴ 3,779	⁵ 250,293	1,139,000	9,596,000	989,000	8,335,000	13,322,114
Puerto Rico.....	7,700	270,000	1,100,000	9,450,000	806,000	7,259,000	14,690,000
Philippine Islands..	18,134	667,170	1,579,000	13,987,000	881,000	7,659,000	15,456,615
Total.....	39,393	1,429,463	4,094,000	36,533,000	3,052,000	27,509,000	56,608,274

¹ Crop of 1933-34 for Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and Philippine Islands; 1934 for Louisiana.

² Cane tonnages in part are computed sugar equivalents. Crop of 1935 shown for continental cane area and for Hawaii. Average of crops of 1934-35 and 1935-36 (preliminary estimate) shown for the Philippine Islands and Puerto Rico. Of the 1934-35 crop of cane in Puerto Rico, 1,125,000 tons were ground for high test sirup and molasses.

³ Payments accrued up to 1935, although some payments not yet fully realized.

⁴ This includes 39 major contracts between the Secretary of Agriculture and plantation producers and 3,740 subsidiary agreements between adherent growers and the 39 plantation producers, providing for compliance with the terms of the major contracts.

⁵ On the average 54 percent of the acreage in cane is harvested each year.

Data are not confined to a single year inasmuch as the programs were based upon performance extending through consecutive years. Such a basis is required because the process of cane growing extends through 2 years or more in most areas.

The 1934 ⁶ crops of beets and cane had been planted in all sugar-producing areas when the Jones-Costigan Act was enacted. Payments made with respect to the 1934 crops were, therefore, mainly in connection with compliance on the 1935 crop, including maintenance of labor standards.⁷ In the insular cane areas payments were made on the 1935 crops ⁸ to compensate growers for inability to deliver nonquota cane to mills for milling and deferring the grinding of such cane to the following crop year, except in the Hawaiian Islands, where refund to producers was made of part of the processing taxes collected on their sugars during 1934 as well as 1935. There were no programs for 1934 in the insular areas, since the cane from the 1934 crop had been almost entirely harvested and made into sugar by the time the Jones-Costigan Act was enacted.

All 1934 payments and large payments are summarized in table 37 for beets, table 39 for Louisiana sugarcane, table 40 for Florida sugarcane, and table 41 for Hawaii, which was the only insular area where payments were made in respect to the 1934 crop.

⁶ The 1934 crops of beets and cane in the continental United States were harvested in the period July 1934 to January 1935.

⁷ Labor standards provisions in the sugar-beet and sugar-cane programs, both in the continental United States and in the insular possessions, included generally prohibition of the employment of children under definite minimum ages, payment of all bona-fide wage claims for workers, and compliance with any minimum-wage or maximum-hour determinations of the Secretary of Agriculture. Other contract provisions called for the payment of fair prices for cane and beets purchased from other producers, or equivalent protection to adherent planters.

⁸ The 1935 insular crops were harvested in the period December 1935 to July 1936, except in Hawaii, where harvesting of cane is carried on almost continuously throughout the year.

102 PAYMENTS UNDER AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

TABLE 39.—*Summary: All contracts under the 1934 Louisiana sugarcane program and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Base production ²	Benefit payments ³	
			Total	Per ton
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Short tons</i>	<i>Dollars</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁴	9,484	3,243,488	\$ 6,882,809	2.12
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	9,382	1,684,944	\$ 3,555,460	2.11
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁶	102	1,558,494	3,327,349	2.13
Contracts with \$10,000 producers, owning and operating their farms.....	70	1,043,654	2,173,842	2.08
Contracts with \$10,000 producers operating their farms as tenants.....	32	514,840	1,153,842	2.24
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	1.08	48.0	48.3	-----

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² No reduction in area or production took place under this program; base production on each farm assigned by State committee, based on 1929 to 1933 production records.

³ Paid at \$2.26 a short ton minus the administrative expense. The payments included a few small deficiency payments, where the actual yield fell below that allotted, as the result of freeze or flood.

⁴ Total as of Jan. 6, 1936.

⁵ Includes accounts authorized for payment on Jan. 6, 1936, but with some amounts not yet disbursed on that date.

⁶ Total as of May 20, 1936.

TABLE 40.—*Summary: All contracts under the 1934 Florida sugarcane program and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	Acreage in farms	Acreage cultivated	Actual production of sugarcane	Deficiency production ²	Total payments ³
	<i>Number</i>			<i>Tons</i>	<i>Tons</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁴	5	41,256	19,714	382,846	135,288	864,968
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	3	1,047	178	-----	5,209	5,885
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	2	40,209	19,536	382,846	130,079	859,083
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of all contracts.....	40.0	97.5	99.1	100.0	96.1	99.3

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Difference between production allotment and cane actually harvested.

³ Paid on a basis of \$1.88 a ton, minus the administrative expenses. As a result of a freeze, deficiency payments aggregating \$155,446.71 were paid, which are included in the total amount.

⁴ Total as of June 1, 1936.

TABLE 41.—Summary: All contracts under the 1934 Hawaiian sugarcane program and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program

Item	Contracts accepted	Base acres in sugarcane ²	Base production of sugar ³	Total payments made ⁴
	<i>Number</i>		<i>Short tons</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁵	39	124, 470	1, 134, 181	4, 681, 622
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁵	39	124, 470	1, 134, 181	4, 681, 622
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Average acreage in sugarcane during the past 5 years, adjusted to indicate the acreage for harvest in any one year, since the growing period of the crop is 18 to 22 months.

³ Short tons (2,000 pounds) of sugar raw value testing 96° by the polariscope.

⁴ The basis of the 1934 payments, as stated on the contract, reads as follows: "The first payment (total, 1934) shall be an amount equal to \$4,717,000, which amount is an amount approximately equal to the sums collected prior to Jan. 1, 1935, on account of taxes on the first domestic processing of sugarcane and sugar produced in the Territory of Hawaii multiplied by the production percentage specified for the plantation producer." (For production percentage, see table 50, column headed "Production percentage.")

⁵ As of June 1, 1936.

United States summary, 1935.—The final payments under the 1935 sugar programs, which are dependent upon the price of sugar during the crop season in the case of sugar beets and the continental sugarcane areas, were not completed when the production adjustment program was invalidated by the Supreme Court decision on January 6, 1936, in the *Hoosac Mills case*. Payment was resumed after Congress made special appropriation in the supplemental appropriation act. A supplementary report will be submitted when the 1935 program has been completed.

In the case of the Territory of Hawaii, payments to producers, as already explained, consisted of refunds of processing taxes collected on Hawaiian sugar processed either in Hawaii or in the United States. With the termination of the processing tax as of January 6, 1936, the Hawaiian payments were completed. The payments made for 1935 under the Hawaiian sugarcane program and the large payments made for that year are, therefore, shown in table 42.

 TABLE 42.—Summary: All contracts under the 1935 Hawaiian sugarcane program and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program

Item	Contracts accepted	Base acres in sugarcane ²	Acres permanently fallowed ³	Base production of sugar, raw value ⁴	Reduction in production of sugar, raw value ⁵	Total 1935 subsequent payments ⁶
	<i>Number</i>			<i>Short tons</i>	<i>Short tons</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts ⁶	39	124, 470	24, 414	1, 134, 181	144, 447	8, 642, 239
Contracts with \$10,000 producers ⁶	39	124, 470	24, 414	1, 134, 181	144, 447	8, 642, 239
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² Average acreage in sugarcane during the past 5 years, adjusted to indicate the acreage for harvest in any one year, since the growing period of the crop is 18 to 22 months.

³ Acres permanently fallowed are those acres laid aside so that the production of sugar, raw value, would be reduced to within the quota for the Territory of Hawaii.

⁴ Short tons (2,000 pounds) of sugar, raw value, testing 96° by the polariscope.

⁵ The basis of the 1935 subsequent payments as stated on the contract, reads as follows: "Subsequent payments shall be made to the plantation producer in a total amount not less than an amount equal to 90 percent of the sums collected on and after Jan. 1, 1935, and ending May 9, 1937, from the first domestic processing of sugarcane and sugar produced in the Territory of Hawaii multiplied by the plantation producer's production percentage" (for production percentage of individual producer see table 50, under the heading "Production percentage").

⁶ Totals as of June 1, 1936.

The Philippine sugar program involved compliance with respect to plantings for the crop harvested in the period December 1935 to July 1936, and payments to growers were made in three installments. Final payments had not been made when the production adjustment program was invalidated on January 6, 1936, and a supplementary report, including the payments made under the appropriation in the supplemental appropriation act, will be submitted when the program has been completed.

In the case of Puerto Rico, final payments made on the 1935 crop under the supplemental appropriation act have virtually completed the program for that year. Data on these payments, including the large payments, are given in table 43. The contract with Puerto Rican producers also covered the 1936 crop, which was entirely planted and ready for harvesting before the Supreme Court decision of January 6, 1936, invalidated the contracts. Payments to be made under the supplemental appropriation act to meet these obligations will be included in the supplementary report. The amount to be paid for the 1936 payments cannot be determined until the completion of the harvesting season.

TABLE 43.—*Summary: All contracts under the 1935 Puerto Rican sugar program, and contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ under that program*

Item	Contracts accepted	1935		Total payments made ⁴
		Adjusted sugarcane allotment ²	Estimated contracted sugarcane ³	
	<i>Number</i>	<i>Tons</i>	<i>Tons</i>	<i>Dollars</i>
All contracts.....	6, 976	4, 852, 222	2, 576, 843	11, 694, 337
Contracts other than with \$10,000 producers.....	6, 852	975, 573	593, 677	2, 965, 733
Contracts with \$10,000 producers.....	124	3, 876, 649	1, 983, 166	8, 728, 604
Total for contracts with \$10,000 producers as a percent of total for all contracts.....	1. 78	79. 89	76. 96	74. 64

¹ \$10,000 producers are those persons who were producers under a contract under which payments to the owner-operator or to landlord, tenant, and to other interested parties amounted to \$10,000 or more, for a single year.

² The 1935 adjusted sugarcane allotment is the number of tons (short tons) of sugarcane adjusted at the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture.

³ The 1935 estimated contracted sugarcane is the quantity of sugarcane of usual grinding quality growing on that farm for grinding in the 1935 crop year in excess of the adjusted 1935 sugarcane allotment. Includes the crop harvested between December 1934 and June 1935.

⁴ Paid on a basis of \$4 per ton of sugarcane of the 1935 contracted sugarcane.

By the end of the calendar year 1935, production in all sugar-producing areas had been reduced to the level required by the marketing quota provisions of the Jones-Costigan Act, allowing for local consumption in the insular areas and necessary reserves.

As a result, raw sugar prices and wholesale prices for refined sugar have recovered from the unprecedented low levels which prevailed in 1932, while, at the same time, the price which the consumers in the United States have had to pay for sugar at retail has been increased but slightly as compared with the price prevailing immediately prior to the act, and is lower than the price of the 5-year period prior to the act. Labor employed in the sugar-beet producing areas of the continental United States benefited by the labor protective provisions in the contracts and the number of children under 12 years of age working in the beet fields was reduced 68 percent and was entirely eliminated in some of the areas.

II. PAYMENTS BY STATES AND AREAS

Since the processing tax on sugar was imposed when part of the 1933 beet sugar was still unmarketed and growers were dependent upon the price of sugar during the balance of the marketing season under their contracts with processors for their net returns from the 1933 crop, it was provided in section 14 of the Jones-Costigan Act that in the event it was established to the satisfaction of the Secretary of Agriculture that returns to growers under their contracts with processors had been reduced by reason of the payment of the processing tax, payments could be made to cooperating producers representing the whole or in part the tax absorption. Under this provision of the act refund payments were made to 48,911 sugar-beet growers in the continental United States. The number of growers in each State receiving such refund payments and the total payments in each State are shown in table 44.

TABLE 44.—United States summary, by States, of refund payments on sugar beets sold in 1933, as of Jan. 6, 1936

Geographic division and State	Refund supple- ments accepted	Tons de- livered ¹	Payments made	
			Total ²	Per short ton
	Number 48,911	Short tons 10,110,394	Dollars 2,519,080	Cents 0.249
United States.....				
East North Central: Michigan ³	13,734	1,135,199	125,420	.110
West North Central.....	5,072	1,620,873	491,358	.303
Minnesota.....	1,380	342,833	129,540	.378
Iowa.....	701	168,005	58,191	.346
South Dakota.....	431	120,175	28,669	.239
Nebraska.....	2,560	989,860	274,958	.278
Mountain.....	28,297	5,785,209	1,678,797	.290
Montana.....	2,379	754,084	220,450	.292
Idaho.....	5,896	845,087	327,099	.387
Wyoming.....	2,026	661,240	116,735	.177
Colorado.....	9,609	2,652,288	703,156	.265
Utah.....	8,387	872,510	211,357	.357
Pacific.....	1,808	1,569,113	223,505	.142
Washington.....	451	30,661	7,727	.252
California.....	1,357	1,538,452	215,778	.140

¹ Number of short tons (short ton=2,000 pounds) of sugar beets delivered to the factory from the 1933 sugar-beet crop.

² Payment based on the 1933 tons delivered times the amount set forth, Memorandum Form Contract Record Sugar, 93 (CRS-93) part III, supplement no. 1 (revised).

³ Ohio and Indiana with approximately 7,000 contracts were included in Michigan totals.

TABLE 45.—*Summary, by States, of the 1934 sugar-beet program* ¹

Geographic division and State	Contracts accepted	Planted acres ²	Total payment ³
	Number	Acres	Dollars
United States ⁴	67,718	959,992	18,179,480
East North Central ⁵	26,849	228,541	3,359,425
Michigan ⁵	24,404	212,962	3,104,024
Wisconsin.....	2,445	15,579	255,401
West North Central.....	6,027	157,652	2,922,700
Minnesota.....	1,494	38,456	545,007
Iowa.....	846	16,823	290,661
South Dakota.....	504	13,309	216,284
Nebraska.....	2,803	74,958	1,680,231
Kansas.....	380	14,106	190,517
Mountain.....	32,320	468,225	9,368,332
Montana.....	2,696	64,783	1,458,788
Idaho.....	7,128	61,535	1,167,091
Wyoming.....	2,311	59,771	1,231,995
Colorado.....	11,002	221,927	4,203,890
Utah.....	9,183	60,209	1,306,568
Pacific.....	2,522	105,574	2,529,023
Washington.....	726	2,042	39,699
California.....	1,796	103,532	2,489,324

¹ Reduction in acreage did not take place.² Planted acres is that number of acres actually planted to sugar beet for the 1934 program.³ Computed by multiplying the estimated production or actual production (short ton=2,000 pounds) whichever is greater times \$1.75 minus the administrative expense.⁴ Preliminary totals as of Jan. 6, 1936.⁵ Ohio and Indiana with approximately 7,000 contracts were included in Michigan totals.

The number of beet-sugar contracts in effect in each State and the bases of payment under these contracts, and the total payments made in each State for 1934 are shown in table 45. Corresponding information for 1935 will be submitted in the supplementary report after the 1935 final payments will have been completed. The number of contracts covering cane sugar in the several insular areas and in the domestic areas, together with the amount of payment were given in table 38.

III. SUGAR PAYMENTS TO \$10,000 PRODUCERS

The list of individuals or corporations receiving \$10,000 or more in the sugar program in each area are shown in the following sections of this report, together with a brief summary of the nature of each program.

SUGAR BEETS

Approximately 70,000 producers cooperated in the sugar-beet production adjustment program which included practically all producers of sugar beets in the continental United States. The purpose of the program was to attain a production approximately equal to the continental beet-sugar marketing quota established under the Jones-Costigan Act of 1,550,000 short tons, raw value. Acreage allotments were made to growers in each factory district in accordance with the sugar marketing allotments of the processors, taking into account the growers' plantings in recent years. Each grower was given an equitable share of the total national acreage allotment as far as possible. The contract covered the 2 years 1934 and 1935. Since the 1934 crop had been planted when the Jones-Costigan Act was enacted, compliance as to plantings was in connection with the 1935 crop, and payments were to be in amounts required to bring producers' returns to parity. The payments were also conditional upon the maintenance of certain labor standards and on the limitation of child labor in accordance with the labor provisions of the Jones-Costigan Act.

Recent investigations by the Department of Labor show that the number of children under 12 years working in the sugar-beet field has been reduced 68 percent and has been entirely eliminated in some of the areas.

The individuals receiving \$10,000 payments or more under the sugar-beet program are given in table 46:

TABLE 46.—1934 beet-sugar program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State

State and county	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments made			Acreage	
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Planted ³
CALIFORNIA							
	Sutter.....	1	Dollars 3,095.90	Dollars 13,442.06	Dollars 16,537.96	Acres 10,000	Acres 852
	San Benito.....	1	16,371.40		16,371.40	4,445	612
	Ventura.....	1	28,954.65		28,954.65	5,486	1,788
	do.....	6	3,891.13			2,035	795
	As landlord.....			12,116.79	14,007.92		
	As owner-operator.....						
	County total.....	7	32,845.78	12,116.79	44,962.57	7,521	2,563
	Total, American Crystal Sugar Co.....	8	49,217.18	12,116.79	61,333.97	11,966	3,175
	American Trust Co.: As landlord.....	2	792.70			359	267
Glenn.....	2 tenants.....			3,170.81	3,963.51		
Sacramento.....	As landlord.....	1	4,946.70			5,000	645
	5 tenants.....			14,840.10	19,786.80		
	Total, American Trust Co.....	3	5,739.40	18,010.91	23,750.31	5,359	912
Yolo.....	Armstrong Ranch: As landlord.....	1	3,215.62			1,950	554
	2 tenants.....			9,646.83	12,862.45		
Solano.....	F. E. Booth Co., Inc.: As landlord.....	1	2,334.41			350	290
	1 tenant.....			6,992.15	9,326.56		
Colusa.....	J. L. Browning: As landlord.....	1	2,847.94			1,004	500
	2 tenants.....			11,391.80	14,239.74		
San Joaquin.....	California Delta Farms, Inc.: As landlord.....	1	6,350.17			6,600	1,100
	3 tenants.....			25,400.68	31,750.85		
San Joaquin.....	California Equities, Ltd.: As landlord.....	1	22,421.84			3,100	680
	1 tenant.....			1,405.84	23,827.68		

Contra Costa	California Lands, Inc.: As landlord	1	546.00	2,573.09	3,119.99	123	110
Los Angeles	As landlord	1	201.06	603.18	804.24	154	55
San Benito	As landlord	1	511.20	1,023.92	1,535.12	394	50
Santa Barbara	As landlord	1	619.11	1,557.35	2,176.46	627	114
Yolo	As landlord	1	3,214.75	8,998.21	12,212.96	4,216	1,169
	Total, California Lands, Inc.	5	5,092.12	15,056.55	20,148.77	5,514	1,498
Solano	California Packing Corporation: As owner-operator	2	67,869.34	67,869.34	67,869.34	12,651	2,115
Sacramento	California Trust & Savings Bank: As landlord	1	6,425.21	15,997.53	22,423.04	3,075	1,154
Monterey	As landlord						
	Other landlord						
	A deliada Callaghan:						
	As landlord	1	3,536.88	10,610.69	14,147.57	18,000	562
Do	Bruce Church: As tenant	2	18,501.07		18,501.07	1,104	495
Yolo	Norman P. Ellis: As landlord	1	2,728.95	26,118.34	28,847.29	1,250	769
San Joaquin	Empire Tract Co.: As landlord	1	5,744.31	23,016.83	28,761.14	3,735	843
	As landlord						
Contra Costa	H. P. Garin Co.: As owner-operator	4	12,082.56		12,082.56	507	467
Sacramento	do	1	9,276.53		9,276.53	551	202
San Benito	As tenant	1				190	
	As landlord						
Sacramento	Total, H. P. Garin Co.	6	21,359.09		21,359.09	1,248	669
Do	Goetjen and Metson: As landlord	1	3,375.99	10,127.95	13,503.94	1,077	400
	As landlord						
	Golden State Asparagus Co.: As landlord	1	3,174.13	9,522.40	12,696.53	1,440	400
	As landlord						
Alameda	Grand Junction Sugar Co.: As cash tenant	1	751.30		751.30	168	80
	As share tenant	1	683.26	198.36	881.62	100	45
	As landlord						
	County total	2	1,434.56	198.36	1,632.92	328	125

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE No. 46.—1934 beet-sugar program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State—Continued

State and county	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments made			Acreage	
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Planted ³
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres
CALIFORNIA—continued							
Yolo.....	Grand Junction Sugar Co.—Continued.	1	7,471.10			604	322
	As tenant.....			1,867.78	9,338.88		
Orange.....	1 landlord.....	1	32.66	130.64		970	71
	As tenant.....			489.90	653.20		
	2 other tenants.....						
	Total, Grand Junction Sugar Co.....	4	8,938.32	2,686.68	11,625.00	1,902	518
Yolo.....	Heringer Bros.: As owner-operator.....	1	17,747.58		17,747.58	1,400	435
Do.....	The Hershey Ranch:						
	As landlord.....	1	2,202.13		11,010.63	15,477	370
	3 tenants.....			8,808.50	20,530.81	1,335	510
Yolo.....	Holmes Bros.: As owner-operator.....	1	20,530.81				
Do.....	Holland Land Co.:						
	As owner-operator.....	1	163.47		163.47	76	6
	As landlord.....	1	5,111.65		20,446.62	2,880	530
	2 tenants.....			15,334.97			
Orange.....	Total Holland Land Co.....	2	5,275.12	15,334.97	20,610.09	2,956	536
	The Irvine Bros.:						
	As landlord.....	1	7,257.35		30,125.95	90,555	1,864
	10 tenants.....			22,868.60			
San Joaquin.....	Island Farm Co.:						
	As tenants.....	2	11,430.60		12,346.17	12,768	300
	2 landlords.....			915.57			
Monterey.....	1 other tenant (see Lacy Bros.).						
	L. M. Jacks & V. Jacks:						
	As landlord.....	1	6,386.58		25,546.32	10,000	1,128
	6 tenants.....			19,159.74			
San Joaquin.....	Lacy Bros.:						
	As landlord.....	1	26,031.70		38,225.69	2,146	805
	3 tenants.....			12,193.99			
	As tenant: 1 landlord; (see Island Farms).						
Sacramento.....	Dennis W. Leary: As owner-operator.....	1	11,074.56		11,074.56	578	325
Solano.....	Liberty Farms Co.:						
	As landlord.....	1	4,225.18		14,083.95	4,518	470
	6 tenants.....			9,858.77			

Yolo.....	J. E. Montgomery: As landlord.....	1	7,577.88	22,733.68	30,311.56	1,314	547
Sacramento.....	Natomas Co.: As landlord.....	1	2,541.47	7,624.38	10,165.85	5,000	374
Do.....	Pacific Fruit Exchange: As landlord.....	1	2,706.86	8,120.57	10,827.43	728	240
Orange.....	Rancho Santa Margarita: As landlord.....	1	2,519.48	9,963.00	12,472.48	200,000	654
Yolo.....	Mary A. Richardson: As landlord.....	1	4,384.31	10,037.76	14,422.07	976	412
Solano.....	Rio Farms: As owner-operator.....	1	19,184.95		19,184.95	1,000	500
	As landlord.....	1	2,434.27			375	365
	1 tenant.....			6,470.34	8,924.61		
Yolo.....	Total, Rio Farms.....	2	21,639.22	6,470.34	28,109.56	1,375	865
Sutter.....	River Farms Co.: As landlord.....	1	6,384.98	19,154.96	25,539.94	15,940	1,088
	George Van Ruiten: As landlord.....	1	2,620.32	7,860.95	10,481.27	430	334
Solano.....	Blanche F. Ryer and Doris R. Nixon: As landlord.....	1	5,813.57	17,440.73	23,254.30	4,695	698
Monterey.....	Speckles Sugar Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	50.30		50.30	3,009	2
	As landlord.....	5	9,371.09	26,605.90	35,976.99	10,242	1,774
	15 tenants.....			26,605.90	36,077.59	13,251	1,776
Sacramento.....	County total.....	6	9,471.69		5,906.76		
San Joaquin.....	As tenant.....	1	5,906.76			375	
Yolo.....	As owner-operator.....	1	22,506.86			3,308	1,484
	As tenant.....	4		6,534.53	29,041.39		
	5 landlords.....			33,140.43	71,025.74	16,934	3,290
	Total, Speckles Sugar Co.....	12	37,885.31				

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE No. 46.—1934 beet-sugar program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically in each State—Continued

State and county	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments made			Acreage	
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Planted ³
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres
CALIFORNIA—continued							
Sutter	Sutter Basin Corporation, Ltd., and Sutter Basin Implement Co.: As landlord.....	1	6,232.18	23,688.16	29,920.34	52,400	1,391
San Benito	M. E. Thomas: As landlord.....	1	2,701.09	8,103.21	10,804.30	2,500	464
San Joaquin	S. Ueda: As tenant.....	1	8,305.10	2,076.27	10,381.37	675	250
Yolo	Elizabeth G. Williams: As landlord.....	1	2,928.46	8,785.32	11,713.78	700	270
State total		81	468,369.71	485,874.33	954,244.04	537,415	35,106
COLORADO							
Bent	American Crystal Sugar Co.: As landlord.....	1	16.67	66.70	83.37	320	6
Rocky Ford	As owner-operator: As landlord.....	1	1,048.65		1,048.65	395	55
	33 tenants.....	31	3,694.18	14,325.62	17,979.80	4,416	949
	County total.....	32	4,702.83	14,325.62	19,028.45	4,811	1,004
Prowers	As landlord.....	34	3,885.45	15,211.08	19,097.13	6,252	1,286
	40 tenants.....						
	Total, American Crystal Sugar Co.....	67	8,604.95	29,604.00	38,208.95	11,383	2,266
Weld	W. H. Farr estate: As landlord.....	3	1,308.00	9,631.01	10,939.01	1,878	376
	15 tenants.....						

Mesa.....	Grand Junction Sugar Co.: As landlord..... 18 tenants..... 14 other landlords..... As tenant..... 1 landlord..... County total.....	15	905.17	3,620.62	1,374	255
		1	66.40	16.60	65	4
Montezuma.....	As landlord..... 2 tenants..... Total, Grand Junction Sugar Co.....	16	971.57	3,637.22	1,439	259
		2	212.34	849.36	200	60
		18	1,183.91	4,486.58	1,639	319
		88	11,096.86	43,721.59	14,900	2,991
State total.....		1	1,970.49	492.62	480	95
Richland.....	MONTANA Grand Junction Sugar Co.: As tenant..... 1 landlord.....					
Washakie.....	WYOMING Grand Junction Sugar Co.: As tenant..... 1 landlord..... 4 other tenants..... Total, Grand Junction Sugar Co.....	1	32,036.39	9,049.29 4,160.77	5,369	2,010
		1	32,036.39	13,210.06	5,369	2,010
Goshen.....	Levie Z. Leiter: As landlord..... 1 tenant.....	1	121.28	363.65	160	30
Sheridan.....	As landlord..... 38 tenants..... Total, Levie Z. Leiter.....	1	5,175.87	20,703.48	15,000	1,300
		2	5,297.15	21,067.33	15,160	1,330
State total.....		3	37,333.54	34,277.39	20,529	3,340

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.
² Paid on a basis of \$1.75 per short ton of the estimated or actual production, whichever was higher, less the administrative expense.
³ Acres planted to sugar but is the actual acreage planted in 1934.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 46a.—Contracts of the Grand Junction Sugar Co., by States, for the 1934 beet-sugar program ¹

State and county	Tenure	Number of contracts	Payments made—			Acreage	
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total	In farm	Planted
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres
CALIFORNIA							
Alameda	As tenant 1 landlord	2	1,434.56	198.36	1,632.92	328	125
Orange	As tenant 2 share tenants	1	32.66	489.90	522.56	970	71
Yolo	As tenant 1 landlord	1	7,471.10	130.64	7,601.74	604	322
	As tenant 1 landlord	1		1,867.78	1,867.78		
State total		4	8,938.32	2,686.68	11,625.00	1,902	518
COLORADO							
Mesa	As landlord 18 tenants	15	905.17	3,620.62	4,525.79	1,374	255
	As tenant 14 other landlords	1	66.40	16.00	82.40	65	4
Montezuma	County total	16	971.57	3,637.22	4,608.79	1,439	259
	As landlord 2 tenants	2	212.34	849.36	1,061.70	200	60
State total		18	1,183.91	4,486.58	5,670.49	1,639	319
MONTANA							
Richland	As tenant 1 landlord	1	1,970.49	492.62	2,463.11	480	96
	1 other tenant						
State total		1	1,970.49	492.62	2,463.11	480	96
WYOMING							
Washakie	As tenant 1 landlord	1	32,086.39	9,046.29	41,132.68	5,369	2,010
	4 other tenants			4,160.77	45,293.45		
State total		1	32,086.39	13,207.06	45,293.45	5,369	2,010
Grand total		24	44,129.11	20,875.94	65,005.05	9,390	2,943

Footnotes at end of supplemental table 46b.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 46b.—*Contracts of the American Crystal Sugar Co., by States, for the 1934 beet-sugar program*¹

State and county	Tenure	Number of contracts	Payments made—			Acreage	
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Planted ³
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres
CALIFORNIA							
San Bernardino.....	As owner-operator.....	1	16,371.40	-----	16,371.40	4,445	612
Ventura.....	As owner-operator.....	1	28,954.65	-----	28,954.65	5,486	1,768
	As landlord.....	6	3,891.13	-----	16,007.92	2,035	795
	6 tenants.....			12,116.79	-----		-----
	County total.....	7	32,845.78	12,116.79	44,962.57	7,521	2,563
State total.....		8	49,217.18	12,116.79	61,333.97	11,966	3,175
COLORADO							
Bent.....	As landlord (producer).....	1	16.67	66.70	83.37	320	6
	1 tenant.....			-----	-----		-----
Rocky Ford.....	As owner-operator.....	1	1,048.65	-----	1,048.65	395	55
	As landlord (producer).....	31	3,694.18	-----	17,979.80	4,416	949
	32 tenants.....			14,325.62	-----		-----
Prowers.....	County total.....	32	4,702.83	14,325.62	19,028.45	4,811	1,004
	As landlord (producer).....	34	3,855.45	15,211.68	19,097.13	6,232	1,256
	40 tenants.....			-----	-----		-----
State total.....		67	8,604.95	29,604.00	38,208.95	11,383	2,296
IOWA							
Cerro Gordo.....	As landlord (producer).....	1	55.13	-----	-----	180	8
	1 tenant.....			55.13	110.26		-----
Wright.....	As landlord.....	1	169.64	-----	339.28	284	20
	1 tenant (producer).....			169.64	-----		-----
State total.....		2	224.77	224.77	449.54	464	28
Grand total.....		77	58,046.90	41,945.56	99,992.46	23,813	5,499

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Paid on a basis of \$1.75 per short ton of the estimated or actual production, whichever was higher, less the administrative expense.

³ Acres planted to sugar but is the actual acreage planted in 1934.

CONTINENTAL CANE

A large part of the cane grown in Louisiana is produced by processors on their own plantations who supplement their own supply by purchases from independent growers. In order to protect the welfare of approximately 8,000 independent growers, the payments were conditioned upon the payment of fair prices for sugarcane to such growers.

Payments were also conditioned upon maintenance of labor standards, including nonemployment of children under 14 years of age, payment of all bona fide wage claims, and compliance with any minimum-wage determinations of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Practically all of the cane in Florida is produced by large companies who employ a large number of field laborers, and payments to producers were conditioned upon the maintenance of labor standards, including the nonemployment of children under 14 years of age in the production, cultivation, or harvesting of sugarcane, the nonemployment of children under 16 years of age in the processing of sugar, and compliance with any minimum wage or maximum hour determinations of the Secretary. The production allotments to continental cane producers were based on production of prior years, and in the aggregate were adjusted to the quota established under the Jones-Costigan Act.

The payments of \$10,000 or over in the continental cane area are shown in the following tables (table 47 for Louisiana and table 48 for Florida):

TABLE 47.—1934 Louisiana sugarcane program: contracts with \$10,000 producers, ¹ as of May 25, 1936

State and parish	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage		
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total	In farm	Cultivated	Planted ² to sugarcane
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres
LOUISIANA	Pointe Coupee.....	1	3,339.10	—	3,339.10	256	256	98
	As owner-operator.....	1	43,844.61	—	43,844.61	2,747	2,110	1,324
	As landlord.....	—	—	902.29	44,746.90	—	—	—
	4 tenants.....	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Assumption.....	2	47,183.71	902.29	48,086.00	3,003	2,366	1,422
	Do.....	1	20,048.98	—	20,048.98	2,600	1,100	700
	Do.....	1	14,070.04	—	14,070.04	940	640	402
	Pointe Coupee.....	1	12,387.96	2,106.68	16,176.72	770	500	278
	Assumption.....	1	14,512.09	—	14,512.09	1,225	875	550
	Do.....	2	26,806.66	—	26,806.66	2,722	1,520	874
St. Mary.....	John M. Callery: As owner-operator.....	3	17,940.48	3,536.22	30,342.88	3,250	2,200	848
St. James.....	Caire and Graugnard: As owner-operator.....	2	19,357.23	—	19,357.23	1,314	708	506
	As landlord.....	1	863.62	—	863.62	864	44	30
	2 tenants.....	—	—	287.84	1,151.36	—	—	—
	County total.....	3	20,220.75	287.84	20,508.59	2,178	812	536
St. John the Baptist.....	As owner-operator.....	2	23,950.88	—	23,950.88	4,900	1,575	1,095
	As landlord.....	2	689.29	—	689.29	6,400	255	173
	3 tenants.....	—	—	3,905.98	4,595.27	—	—	—
	County total.....	4	24,640.17	3,905.98	28,546.15	11,300	1,830	1,268
La Fourche.....	Total, Caire and Graugnard.....	7	44,860.92	4,103.82	49,054.74	13,478	2,642	1,804
West Baton Rouge.....	Caldwell Sugars, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	37,204.02	—	37,204.02	2,092	2,092	1,125
	Catherine Sugars, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	27,472.40	—	27,472.40	1,560	1,378	891

See last page of table for footnotes.

St. Mary	Will Prescott Foster: As owner-operator As landlord 10 tenants As tenant	4 10 1	68,086.92 2,321.71 1,010.61	68,086.92 9,286.85 1,010.61	13,436 1,180 210	6,190 895 200	2,935 470 60
Avoelles Iberville	Total, Will Prescott Foster Henry W. Frith: As owner-operator Edward J. Gay P. & M. Co., Ltd. As landlord 3 tenants As tenant	15 1 1 1	71,419.24 12,209.45 50,875.88	78,384.38 12,209.45 54,483.17	14,826 1,200 5,132	7,285 1,000 4,424	3,465 547 2,013
Assumption	Godchaux Sugar Co., Inc. As landlord 9 tenants	5	62,133.04	3,607.29	4,985	3,661	2,096
La Fourche	As owner-operator As landlord 7 tenants	1 2	67,574.57 1,950.68	67,574.57 9,753.41	4,203 1,438	2,705 795	1,870 279
St. Charles	County total As landlord 3 tenants	3 3	69,525.25 99.55	7,802.73 298.63	5,641 368.18	3,500 57	2,149 29
St. John the Baptist	As owner-operator As landlord 2 tenants	3 2	87,848.83 26.84	87,848.83 107.37	8,208 909	3,601 909	2,384 8
St. James	County total Total, Godchaux Sugar Co., Inc. Graugnard Bros.: As owner-operator	5 16 1	87,875.67 219,633.51 10,235.98	80.53 22,763.88	9,117 19,800 1,200	4,510 11,728 700	2,392 6,672 405
Avoelles Rapides St. Landry	Haas Investment Co., Inc. As owner-operator do do	1 1 1	22,538.39 8,153.58 6,137.55	22,538.39 8,153.58 6,137.55	8,585 600 869	3,500 400 550	1,155 200 257
Lafayette	Total, Haas Investment Co., Inc. Home Land Co.: As landlord 28 tenants	3 1	36,831.52 7,333.42	36,831.52	10,045 1,680	4,450 1,370	1,592 563
W. Baton Rouge St. James	M. J. Kahao: As owner-operator L. Keller & Co.: As owner-operator	1 1	17,664.22 10,229.47	17,664.22 10,229.47	926 2,138	800 1,200	600 525
Assumption	Kessler & Sternfels: As owner-operator As landlord 4 tenants	1 1	3,284.20 10,271.49	3,284.20 10,797.32	319 1,900	240 700	114 450
	Total, Kessler & Sternfels	2	13,555.69	525.83	2,219	940	560

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 47.—1934 Louisiana sugarcane program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ as of May 25, 1936—Continued

State and parish	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage		
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Cultivated	Planted ³ to sugar cane
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres
LOUISIANA—continued								
Iberia.....	Fannie R. Kling and P. C. Walet: As landlord..... 15 tenants.....	1	6,956.90	8,358.05	15,314.95	2,300	750	500
St. John the Baptist.....	T. Lanaux Son: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 1 tenant.....	1 1	21,065.44 46.56		21,065.44 310.43	3,800 20	1,500 20	897 10
Iberia.....	Total, T. Lanaux Son..... Ulysse Landry: As landlord..... 12 tenants.....	2 1	21,112.00 6,281.72	263.87	21,375.87	3,820	1,520	907
St. James.....	Laurel Ridge P. & M. Co.: As owner-operator.....	3	14,680.33	6,664.57	21,344.90	1,000	700	338
La Fourche.....	Laurel Valley Sugars, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	31,033.10		31,033.10	1,375	1,130	415
St. Mary.....	Harry L. Laws & Co., Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	14,863.10		14,863.10	4,000	1,500	770
Ascension.....	Percy A. Lemaun: As owner-operator.....	1	12,336.95		12,336.95	1,040	701	368
W. Baton Rouge.....	A. Levert Planting Co., Ltd.: As landlord..... 4 tenants.....	2	13,552.54	594.23	14,146.77	1,000	900	483
La Fourche.....	J. W. Levert Land Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	28,337.84		28,337.84	1,718	1,475	607
St. Martin.....	Levert St. John, Inc.: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 34 tenants.....	4 34	48,778.23 4,647.93		53,426.16 26,964.12 12,205.09	3,352 2,728	2,873 2,182	1,728 1,374
Assumption.....	Total, Levert St. John, Inc.....	38	53,426.16	26,338.71	79,764.87	6,080	5,055	3,102
Pointe Coupee.....	Little Texas, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	26,964.12		26,964.12	3,830	2,025	1,181
E. Baton Rouge.....	W. A. Lorio: As owner-operator.....	1	12,205.09		12,205.09	570	470	291
Iberville.....	Louisiana State Penitentiary: As owner-operator..... do.....	1 1	135,958.82 10,165.99		135,958.82 10,165.99	18,000 2,200	10,000 1,500	2,848 252
	Total, Louisiana State Penitentiary.....	2	146,124.81		146,124.81	20,200	11,500	3,100

Terrebonne	McCollam Bros.; As owner-operator	1	14,520.05			14,520.05	1,500	800	490
St. John the Baptist	Estate of C. F. Montegut; As owner-operator	1	10,132.87			10,132.87	985	425	255
Iberia	M. A. Patout & Son, Ltd.; As owner-operator	1	7,755.56			7,755.56	650	532	417
	As landlord	1	18,877.51				1,150	977	748
	2 tenants			1,171.82		20,049.33			
Pointe Coupee	Total, M. A. Patout & Son, Ltd.	2	26,633.07	1,171.82		27,804.89	1,800	1,509	1,165
	Pointe-Coupee Securities Co., Inc.;								
	As landlord	2	17,541.68	4,315.88		21,857.56	1,521	1,016	514
	6 tenants								
Iberville	Prudential Insurance Co. of America;								
	As landlord	4	23,267.69				7,950	4,117	2,653
	Lewis E. Murrell, tenant								
Lafayette	21 other tenants	1	132.00			59,521.55	100	70	20
Pointe Coupee	As landlord	1	1,233.66	264.41		396.41			
	1 tenant								
St. Mary	20 tenants	3	466.42	3,891.27		5,124.93	1,080	551	161
	As landlord	3					304	302	79
	3 tenants								
W. Baton Rouge	As landlord	3	451.72	1,865.67		2,332.09	1,576	806	110
	18 tenants			1,355.17		1,806.89			
	Total, Prudential Insurance Co. of America.	12	25,551.49	43,630.38		69,181.87	11,010	5,846	3,023
Ascension	Realty Operators, Inc.;	1	15,254.41			15,254.41	7,285	1,074	498
La Fourche	As owner-operator	1	96,060.25			96,060.25	9,375	4,594	2,871
	do								
St. James	As landlord	1	23,791.46			23,791.46	3,023	960	610
	Tenant C. J. Tucker;	8	6,911.82	(*)			1,159	1,159	763
	8 other tenants								
	County total	9	30,703.28	22,169.39		29,081.21			
Terrebonne	As owner-operator	1	148,795.77			148,795.77	22,259	5,771	3,986
	As landlord	17	7,763.45				2,170	1,900	1,333
	17 tenants			38,787.06		46,550.51			
	County total	18	156,559.22	38,787.06		195,346.28	24,429	7,671	5,319
St. James	Total, Realty Operators, Inc.	29	298,577.16	60,956.45		359,533.61	45,271	15,458	10,061
	F. Reynard Co., Inc.; As owner-operator	2	12,265.71			12,265.71	2,625	860	784

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 47.—1954 Louisiana sugarcane program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ as of May 25, 1956—Continued

State and parish	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage		
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total ²	In farm	Cultivated	Planted ³ to sugar cane
			Dollars	Dollars	Dollars	Acres	Acres	Acres
LOUISIANA—continued	Assumption	2	23,341.86		23,341.86	2,195	1,193	794
		1	8,067.50		8,067.50	500	450	218
	E. G. Robichaux Co., Ltd.: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 2 tenants.....			852.04	852.04			
Do.	Total, E. G. Robichaux Co., Ltd.....	3	33,409.36	852.04	34,261.40	2,695	1,643	1,012
	Estate of E. G. Robichaux: As owner-operator.....	1	40,059.65		40,059.65	3,784	2,554	1,743
Lafayette	J. A. Roy:							
	As owner-operator.....	1	14,513.84		14,513.84	840	650	398
	As landlord.....	6	2,346.58		2,346.58	489	477	222
	6 tenants.....			2,820.26	5,166.84			
St. Landry	County total.....	7	16,860.42	2,820.26	19,680.68	1,329	1,127	620
	As landlord.....	1	1,428.77		1,428.77	468	200	131
	2 tenants.....			2,860.35	4,289.12			
St. John the Baptist Iberia	Total, J. A. Roy.....	8	18,289.19	5,680.61	23,969.80	1,797	1,327	751
	St. Martin and Perret: As owner-operator.....	1	10,148.17		10,148.17	1,452	1,100	257
	St. Paul Bourgeois Co.: As landlord.....	1	18,934.47		18,934.47	2,575	1,550	712
	1 tenant.....			4,733.62	23,668.09			
St. John the Baptist Assumption	San Francisco Planting & Manufacturing Co., Ltd.: As owner-operator.....	2	28,141.92		28,141.92	1,900	1,425	825
	C. J. Sayole: As landlord.....	2	14,497.03		14,497.03	1,715	845	539
	2 tenants.....			1,865.34	16,362.37			
Assumption Iberville	Mrs. C. J. Sayole: As owner-operator.....	1	12,225.14		12,225.14	850	480	320
	Shack Bros., Inc.: As landlord.....	1	25,710.52		25,710.52	5,000	2,500	1,069
	20 tenants.....			5,897.87	31,608.39			
Iberia..... Pointe Coupee..... St. Mary	Julius Scharif and H. S. Sealy: As owner-operator.....	1	11,127.90		11,127.90	1,235	1,000	500
	A. J. Schexnayder: As owner-operator.....	1	12,917.82		12,917.82	800	550	245
	Shady Side Co., Ltd.: As landlord.....	1	25,618.39		25,618.39	3,400	1,320	866
	1 tenant.....			344.27	25,962.66			
West Baton Rouge	Smithfield Plantation, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	53,434.93		53,434.93	3,500	2,600	1,691

Iberville.....	Mrs. L. M. Soniat: As landlord..... 3 tenants.....	1	25,739.79	8,513.30	34,253.09	2,260	2,110	1,298
Iberia.....	Sterling Sugars, Inc.: As landlord..... 4 tenants.....	1	29,561.55	6,802.36	36,363.91	2,800	1,746	875
St. Mary.....	As landlord..... 3 tenants.....	2	68,759.09	1,581.46	70,340.55	8,917	4,558	2,062
	Total, Sterling Sugars, Inc.....	3	98,320.64	8,383.82	106,704.46	11,717	6,304	2,937
La Fourche.....	The South Coast Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	84,376.90		84,376.90	15,026	3,860	2,766
St. Mary.....	do.....	2	84,112.81		84,112.81	7,937	4,411	2,926
Terrebonne.....	do.....	1	204,157.22		204,157.22	23,671	9,061	6,094
Iberville.....	Total, the South Coast Co.....	4	372,646.93		372,646.93	46,634	17,332	11,786
	J. Supple's Sons Planting Co., Ltd.: As landlord..... 16 tenants.....	1	33,302.02	15,730.30	49,032.32	4,010	2,450	1,522
Iberia.....	Teche Sugar Co., Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	15,349.63		15,349.63	1,230	1,100	640
	As landlord..... 1 tenant.....	1	153.45	460.34	613.79	40	33	30
Assumption.....	Total, Teche Sugar Co., Inc.....	2	15,503.08	460.34	15,963.42	1,270	1,133	670
	B. and D. Thibaut: As owner-operator.....	2	45,011.40		45,011.40	4,499	2,500	1,337
Assension.....	Triangle Farms, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	11,018.29		11,018.29	3,150	1,400	518
Assumption.....	do.....	1	8,780.08		8,780.08	4,788	1,100	483
St. James.....	Total, Triangle Farms, Inc.....	2	19,798.37		19,798.37	7,938	2,500	1,001
La Fourche.....	As tenant..... Realty Operators, Inc., landlord.....	1	12,357.29	(6)	12,357.29	577	577	410
	Valentine Sugars, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	2	23,372.35		23,372.35	3,323	1,290	669
Assension.....	Waguespack Planting Co.: As owner-operator.....	1	7,002.42		7,002.42	1,593	975	286
St. James.....	do.....	1	25,756.01		25,756.01	1,380	1,200	925
St. Charles.....	Total, Waguespack Planting Co.....	2	32,758.43		32,758.43	2,973	2,175	1,211
St. Charles.....	Waterford Plantation, Inc.: As owner-operator.....	1	21,423.75		21,423.75	4,200	1,250	880
St. James.....	The Webre-Steib Co., Ltd.: As owner-operator.....	1	12,504.56		12,504.56	1,006	650	357

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 47.—1934 Louisiana sugarcane program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ as of May 26, 1936—Continued

State and parish	Name of \$10,000 producer and other interested parties	Number of contracts	Payments			Acreage		
			To principal	To other interested parties	Total	In farm	Cultivated	Planted to sugar cane
LOUISIANA—continued								
	West Baton Rouge							
	Westover Planting Co., Ltd.: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 2 tenants.....	2 2	Dollars 9,950.07 34,819.14	Dollars ----- 2,724.00	Dollars 9,950.07 37,543.20	Acres 738 2,308	Acres 435 1,750	Acres 292 1,076
	Total, Westover Planting Co., Ltd.	4	44,769.21	2,724.06	47,493.27	3,046	2,185	1,368
Iberville.	W. A. Wilberts Sons Land & Sugar Co.: As landlord..... 1 tenant.....	1	138,985.21	-----	-----	13,317	7,985	4,016
	H. Wilkenson, Sr.: As landlord..... 5 tenants.....	1	49,144.33	-----	-----	2,685	2,250	1,460
	Total, H. P. Williams:							
	H. P. Williams: As owner-operator..... As landlord..... 1 tenant.....	1 1	31,153.39 892.41	----- -----	31,153.39 3,554.64	5,360 601	1,700 225	1,025 130
St. Mary	Total, H. P. Williams.....	2	32,050.80	2,662.23	34,713.03	5,961	1,925	1,156
	State total.....	234	3,023,825.88	303,523.37	3,327,349.25	380,109	193,116	106,781

¹ \$10,000 producers are those who were parties to a contract under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.² Paid on a basis of \$2.26 a ton actual production in 1934, minus the administrative expense.³ Acres of sugarcane harvested or to be harvested in 1934 and pertains to the 1934 crop only; cane planted includes all sugarcane grown, whether for delivering to sugar factories, delivering to sirup factories, and sugarcane for seed.⁴ Prorated. Cora Planting Co. and L. N. Folse, Inc., are shown on contract 72-024, serial 279, as share tenants, receiving 13.7 and 43.3 percent, respectively, of the total payment. The Federal Land Bank of New Orleans is the landlord, with no interest in the payment.⁵ C. J. Tucker appears as principal receiving \$10,000 or more.⁶ For this payment see C. J. Tucker.⁷ Realty Operators, Inc., appear as principal receiving \$10,000 or more.⁸ For this payment see Realty Operators, Inc.

TABLE 48.—1934 Florida sugarcane program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by State, by size of payment

State and county	Tenure	Name of \$10,000 producer ¹	Total pay- ment ²	Acreage		Sugarcane production ³	
				In farm	Cultivated	Actual	Deficiency ⁴
FLORIDA	Owing— do.....	Fellsmere Sugar Co. United States Sugar Corporation.....	\$74,044.40 785,038.54	<i>Acres</i> 3,238.65	<i>Acres</i> 1,746.14	<i>Short tons</i> 32,103.70	<i>Short tons</i> 12,682.76
				36,970.00	17,790.00	350,742.18	117,396.53
Total.....			859,082.94	40,208.65	19,536.14	382,845.88	130,079.29

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those who were parties to a 1934 sugarcane contract under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.

² Paid on a basis of \$1.88 a ton minus the administrative expenses. As a result of a freeze, deficiency payments were made on the deficiency, and included in the total payment.

³ Short tons of 2,000 pounds.

⁴ Difference between production allotment and cane actually harvested.

INSULAR AREAS

The Jones-Costigan Act authorized the President, by Executive decree, to establish separate funds for Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and the Philippines out of processing tax collections on sugar processed in those areas or sugar processed in the United States coming from these areas. These funds were to be used either for the general benefit of agriculture in the respective areas or for rental or benefit payments to producers. It was found necessary to enter into production adjustment programs in these areas in order to adjust production to the level of the sugar-marketing quotas established by the Jones-Costigan Act. In the Philippine Islands, for example, the 1934-35 crop was reduced from an estimated 1,571,000 short tons to 694,000 short tons in order to absorb in 1935 the 400,000 tons carried over from the 1933-34 Philippine crop. In order to prevent serious economic hardship in the transition, benefit payments were made to about 19,000 producers. Through cooperation in the adjustment program Philippine planters were also enabled to receive payments to aid them in making the transition to the lower level of production required by the Philippine Independence Act, which limits the admission of duty-free imports of sugar into the United States from the Philippines to 956,000 short tons annually. Approximately \$2 per ton of cane was paid to producers for the amount of cane which could not be milled.

In Puerto Rico contracts were entered into with about 8,000 producers. In the aggregate, production of sugar has been reduced during the crops 1934-35 and 1935-36 by approximately 560,000 tons as compared with the sugar production available from the 1934-35 cane supply. The curtailment was brought about without destruction of cane by utilizing a considerable part of the excess cane for conversion into molasses for distillation and feedstuffs purposes, and by reducing replacement plantings to about one-third of normal and carrying over surplus cane into the new crop year in replacement of such deficiencies in planting. Producers received \$4 per ton of cane which could not be milled for sugar.

Payments were conditioned upon the nonemployment of children under 14 years of age in agricultural operations, or under 16 years of age in the case of processing; compliance with any minimum wage or maximum hour determinations by the Secretary; and payment of all bona fide wage claims for workers. The 1936 payments have not been made. A supplementary report will be submitted covering the 1936 crop in Puerto Rico.

By the terms of the Hawaiian sugar-production-adjustment contract, the plantation-producers agreed that they would make the necessary reduction on plantation land and not on that of the 3,002 small adherent planters who were paid a share of the benefit payments by the plantation-producers. A total area of 24,238 acres of plantation sugarcane land, or 10.8 percent of the 225,077 acres of land on which cane was grown under plantation administration, was fallowed, and thereby taken out of production, in the course of reduction of the quantity of sugar produced. No reduction for the purpose of restriction of production was made in the area of 32,909 acres on which the 3,002 adherent planters grow sugarcane. During the 2 years of 1934 and 1935, the total areas of adherent planter lands which were with-

drawn from sugarcane production due to various natural causes, amounted to only 88 acres, or one-quarter of 1 percent.

The 39 plantation-producers also agreed that they would bring about "reduction in production required by the contract in such a manner as to cause the least labor, economic, and social disturbance", and pursuant to this agreement they did not discharge or lay off any of the workers employed on the several plantations by reason of such reduction of production, or because of any provision of the production-adjustment contract. In addition, the contract included labor provisions which prohibited the employment of children under 14 years of age, limited labor of children between 14 and 16 years of age to 8 hours a day, and called for compliance with any minimum wage or maximum hour determinations by the Secretary of Agriculture. It also provided that the Secretary might adjudicate labor and contract disputes.

The plantation-producers, on November 1, 1935, inaugurated a new bonus system by which the employees receive a larger share of the returns from higher prices of sugar, including for the purposes of this bonus benefit payments as part of the price of sugar. The total payments under the bonus plan for the period of 6 months, November 1935 to April 1936, is estimated at \$1,101,326. It is also estimated that such bonus payments would have amounted to between \$2,500,000 and \$3,000,000 per annum, had benefit payments been continued.

Under the 2-year crop cycle on which sugarcane is grown in the Territory of Hawaii, the reduction in production already effectuated pursuant to the contract affects the 1935, 1936, and 1937 crops to the extent of a total estimated reduction of 522,625 tons of sugar.

The payments of \$10,000 or over in the insular areas are given in the following tables (table 49 for Puerto Rico and table 50 for Hawaii). A supplementary report on the payments of \$10,000 or over in the Philippine Islands for 1935 and Puerto Rico for 1936 will be made as soon as payments are completed in that area.

TABLE 49.—1935 Puerto Rican sugar program: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, as of May 25, 1936

Mill area	Ten- ure :	Payee	Num- ber of con- tracts	Payments		Cuerdas (acres)		Estimated contracted sugarcane	Contracted production :
				To specified payee	Total	In farm	Culti- vated		
				Dollars	Dollars	Cuerdas	Cuerdas	Tons	Tons
Coloso.....	1	A. Esteves.....	1	27,336.80	27,336.80	1,161	642	5,975.7	6,834.2
Cambalache.....	1	Aldea Agrícola Corporation (Andrés Oliver, agent).....	1	17,034.80	17,034.80	1,270	687	5,474.7	4,268.7
San Vicente.....	1	do.....	1	9,926.00	9,926.00	208	135	594.5	2,481.5
Juanita.....	1	Total, Aldea Agrícola Corporation (A. Oliver, agent).....	2	26,960.80	26,960.80	1,478	722	6,069.2	6,740.2
Do.....	2	Alejandro Morales.....	1	12,061.47	12,841.60	984	224	3,209.4	3,210.4
Do.....	3	Tenant ?.....	1	125.17					
				654.96					
Iguadad.....	1	Alfredo Ramirez Rosell.....	1	84,068.00	84,068.00	2,871	2,700	21,057.2	21,017.0
Guanica.....	1	do.....	1	10,241.60	10,241.60	431		1,642.9	2,560.4
		Total, Alfredo Ramirez Rosell.....	2	94,309.60	94,309.60	3,302	3,068	22,700.1	23,577.4
Iguadad.....	1	Ana Maria Sugar Co., Inc. (Alfonso Valdez, agent).....	1	24,068.40	24,068.40	605	363	4,634.3	6,017.1
Sambalache.....	1	Andrés Oliver Rosés.....	1	17,122.40	17,122.40	1,700	235	3,540.3	4,280.6
Soller.....	1	Antonio Marquez Arborea.....	1	40,175.60	40,175.60	621	370	3,594.0	10,043.9
Fajardo.....	1	Antonio Mendez.....	1	3,204.82	19,636.20	665	437	4,448.2	4,973.3
Do.....	4	Fajardo Sugar Co.....	1	16,488.38					
Vannina.....	1	do.....	1	11,642.80	11,642.80	600	240	2,078.3	2,910.7
San Francisco.....	1	Arturo Mongil.....	1	11,246.40	11,246.40	428	171	2,501.2	2,811.6
Do.....	1	Arturo Lluberas.....	1	51,221.20	51,221.20	2,395	606	9,142.5	12,805.3
Cambalache.....	1	Arturo R. del Valle.....	1	20,790.40	20,790.40	686	401	3,670.6	5,197.6
Fajardo.....	1	Belin Bros. Association (by F. L. Gonzalez, agent).....	1	27,230.00	27,230.00	1,201	500	7,449.1	6,807.5
Roig.....	1	Berrios Bros. (by Juan Berrios).....	1	10,701.60	10,701.60	292	222	2,068.9	2,675.4
Canovanas.....	1	Buena Vista Agriculture Dairy Co. (Alfonso P. Calderon, agent).....	1	21,538.00	21,538.00	1,014	533	5,384.2	5,384.5
Guanica.....	1	Carbonell & Cells (by Salvador Carbonell, managing partner).....	1	12,202.80	12,202.80	658	504	4,237.7	3,050.7
Rufina.....	1	Carlos Padovani Georgetti.....	1	11,580.00	11,580.00	443	430	4,904.3	2,895.0
Carmen.....	1	Carmen Central, Inc. (Rafael Martínez Domínguez, agent).....	1	147,836.40	147,836.40	13,931	3,236	34,007.5	36,959.1
Constancia (Ponce).....	1	Carmen Sauri Y. Tristán.....	1	12,748.00	12,748.00	900	560	6,795.3	3,187.0
Victoria.....	1	Cecilio Isaac Santos.....	1	11,087.20	11,087.20	201	171	1,673.1	2,771.8
Cambalache.....	1	Central Alianza Corporation (Andrés Oliver, agent).....	1	54,914.80	54,914.80	2,295	1,295	11,002.0	11,728.1
Do.....	1	Central Cambalache (Jose Matienzo, agent).....	1	410,393.60	410,393.60	14,667	7,195	75,889.0	102,589.4
Coloso.....	1	Central Coloso, Inc. (G. Cabrera, president).....	1	211,945.60	286,945.60	7,974	3,730	39,586.4	71,736.4
Do.....	1	The Bank of Nova Scotia, San Juan, P. R.....	1	75,000.00					
Eureka.....	4	Central Eureka, Inc. (J. A. Fajardo, agent).....	1	13,555.38	13,555.38	4,535	2,253	22,592.3	(¹)
Juanita.....	1	Central Juanita, Inc. (Rafael Arrieta, agent).....	1	122,074.00	122,074.00	3,473	1,390	30,507.7	30,518.5
Vannina.....	1	Central Vannina (Secundino Lozano, agent).....	1	171,952.80	171,952.80	7,219	4,323	35,599.1	42,988.2

Victoria.....	1	Central Victoria, Inc. (Jose Rubert, agent).....	1	107,480.80	107,480.80	5,029	2,486	22,075.5	26,870.2
Cambalache.....	1	Cesar Garcia Nieto.....	1	14,562.00	14,562.00	788	305	2,221.9	3,640.5
San Vicente.....	1	Clara E. Livingston.....	1	5,741.66	13,457.20	1,600	502	4,097.3	3,364.3
Do.....	4	Central San Vicente.....	1	7,715.54					
Coloso.....	1	C. M. Cabrera.....	1	1,886.94	18,536.40	438	376	3,144.9	4,634.1
Do.....	4	Central Coloso, Inc.	1	16,649.46					
Do.....	1	Colonos De Corsica, Inc. (Alfredo Rafucel, agent).....	1	14,559.60	14,559.60	500	315	2,982.2	3,639.9
Constancia (Toa).....	1	Compania Azucarera Del Toa (Manuel A. del Valle, agent).....	1	218,007.20	218,007.20	6,367	3,426	53,440.4	64,501.8
Piazueta.....	1	Compania Giorgetti Sen C. (E. Fernandez, agent).....	1	41,030.04	297,022.00	14,155	4,004	68,383.4	74,255.5
Do.....	4	The Bank of Nova Scotia, San Juan, P. R.	1	255,991.96					
Los Canos.....	1	Compania Giorgetti Sen C. (E. Fernandez, agent).....	1	30,432.60	253,366.40	9,946	3,175	50,721.0	63,741.6
Do.....	4	The Bank of Nova Scotia, San Juan, P. R.	1	272,933.80					
Constancia (Ponce).....	1	Total, Compania Giorgetti Sen C.	2	71,462.64	550,388.40	24,101	7,779	119,104.4	137,597.1
Guanica.....	1	Total, The Bank of Nova Scotia.....	1	478,925.76					
Coloso.....	1	Corporacion Azucarera Sauri Y. Subira (Jose Sauri, agent).....	1	14,536.40	14,536.40	729	382	3,634.1	3,634.1
Do.....	4	Dalim M. R., Clemente J. R., Jose I. Rodriguez, and Rosa M. R. Carlo.....	1	10,425.20	10,425.20	766	496	3,884.5	2,606.3
Roig.....	1	Diego Gonzalez.....	1	1,788.84	22,093.60	623	335	2,931.4	5,623.9
Do.....	4	Central Coloso, Inc.	1	20,336.76					
Do.....	1	Domingo Quintana Colon.....	1	1,502.10	13,028.00	779	360	2,503.5	3,407.0
Defensa.....	1	Central Roig.....	1	12,125.90					
Do.....	4	Eastern Sugar Associates (by H. A. Hadler, attorney).....	1	278,810.54	984,299.20	54,691	20,287	172,779.9	246,074.8
Plata.....	1	National City Bank of New York.....	1	705,488.66					
Coloso.....	1	Eduardo Mendez.....	1	23,623.20	23,623.20	480	277	3,717.1	5,905.8
Juanita.....	1	do.....	1	11,514.40	11,514.40	325	235	1,798.1	2,878.6
Juncos.....	1	Total, Eduardo Mendez.....	2	35,137.60	35,137.60	805	512	5,515.2	8,784.4
Juanita.....	1	Faustino Fernandez.....	1	19,654.80	19,654.80	310	225	2,286.1	4,913.7
Rufina.....	1	Faustino Fernandez Rodriguez.....	1	26,342.40	26,342.40	708	635	5,236.6	6,385.6
Juanita.....	1	Felix Gonzalez Perez.....	1	31,922.40	31,922.40	978	445	7,983.3	7,980.6
Rufina.....	1	Felix Rodriguez Garcia.....	1	19,006.40	19,006.40	455	229	2,500.0	4,751.6
Juncos.....	1	Franceschi heirs, Ana A. Dezeno (A. Z. Antonigiorgi, trans-fer agent).....	1	18,803.60	18,803.60	720	300	4,400.3	4,700.9
Guanani.....	1	Francisco Cardona.....	1	23,405.20	23,405.20	824	310	5,989.0	5,851.3
Juanita.....	1	G. Cautino.....	1	13,398.40	13,398.40	901	296	2,949.0	3,349.6
Carmen.....	1	Heirs of Jaime Fonalledas, deceased.....	1	42,406.40	42,406.40	1,314	649	11,725.2	10,801.6
Caribe.....	1	Total, heirs of Jaime Fonalledas, deceased.....	2	37,588.00	37,588.00	928	626	11,140.2	9,397.0
Piazueta.....	1	Godreau & Co., Sen. C. (by Guillermo Godreau, agent).....	1	7,528.00	7,528.00	1,362	265	2,529.1	1,882.0
El Ejemplo.....	1	G. Valedillo.....	1	45,116.00	45,116.00	2,290	891	13,669.3	11,279.0
Do.....	4	Heirs, Ant. Roig, deceased (J. B. Carrion, agent).....	1	22,940.80	22,940.80	552	412	5,515.5	5,735.2
San Vicente.....	1	The National City Bank of New York, San Juan, P. R.	1	28,444.40	28,444.40	743	404	8,378.1	7,111.1
Guanica.....	1	Hernandez Bros. (by Victor R. Hernandez, agent).....	1	61,370.72	106,098.40	8,548	3,410	24,951.0	26,524.6
		Jacobo L. Cabassa.....	1	10,727.68					
			1	10,121.60	10,121.60	160	133	1,547.9	2,530.4
			1	50,815.60	50,815.60	5,946	1,511	12,006.8	12,703.9

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 49.—1935 Puerto Rican sugar program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, as of May 25, 1936—Continued

Mill area	Ten- ure ²	Payee	Num- ber of con- tracts	Payments		Cuerdas (acres)		Estimated contracted sugarcane	Contracted production ³ Tons
				To specified payee	Total	In farm	Culti- vated		
Monserrate.	1	Jaime Calaf	1	Dollars 30,916.00	30,916.00	Cuerdas 502	Cuerdas 269	Tons 7,229.1	7,229.0
Do.	1	Juan Calaf and Federico Calaf	1	191,110.65	223,216.00	5,791	2,337	44,477.8	55,804.0
Do.	4	The National City Bank of New York, San Juan, P. R.		32,105.35					
Defensa.	1	J. E. Aponle & Son (by F. Aponle, partner)	1	17,556.80	11,556.80	345	277	2,154.2	2,889.2
Guanica.	1	J. O. Milan.	1	10,798.96	15,007.60	509	446	3,042.8	3,751.9
Do.	4	South Porto Rico Sugar Co.		4,208.64					
Monserrate.	1	Jose Alicea Castro.	1	5,466.10	10,236.40	176	125	1,584.6	2,556.1
Do.	4	Jaime and Federico Calaf Collazo.		4,770.30					
Herminia.	1	Jose and Santos Semidey (by J. Semidey, agent).	1	24,804.80	24,804.80	1,879	882	7,848.0	6,201.2
Monserrate.	1	Jose Del Rio De Leon.	1	2,155.56	23,134.80	997	252	3,592.6	5,753.7
Do.	4	Jaime and Federico Calaf Collazo.		20,979.24					
San Vicente.	1	Jose Mendez Perez.	1	6,828.92	16,656.00	379	295	3,642.1	4,164.0
Do.	4	Central San Vicente.		9,827.08					
Constancia (Tos).	1	Jose Nevarez.	1	11,999.20	11,999.20	872	200	2,244.3	2,999.8
Fajardo.	1	Jose Vaamonde Vere.	1	11,743.60	11,743.60	1,414	333	2,659.3	2,935.9
Guanica.	1	Juan Angel Tio.	1	5,612.60	11,225.20	1,470	850	2,945.3	2,806.3
Do.	3	Santiago Sambolin.		5,612.60					
Do.	1	Juan Angel Tio and Antonio R. Mataos (Juan Angel Tio, agent).	1	14,438.80	14,438.80	879	510	4,237.7	3,603.7
Do.	1	Juan Angel Tio Malaret.	1	25,018.40	25,018.40	2,066	1,250	10,594.2	6,254.6
Juncos.	1	Juan Avalo Garcia.	1	5,334.30	23,163.60	876	466	3,582.9	5,790.9
Do.	4	Puerto Rican Products Credit Corporation.		17,829.30					
Rufina.	1	Juan Lugo Ramirez.	1	16,347.48	24,917.20	1,210	914	8,438.9	6,229.3
Do.	4	Mario Mercadote Hijos.		8,569.72					
Monserrate.	1	Juan Ramon Ramos.	1	12,818.40	12,818.40	263	166	2,511.8	3,204.6
Los Canos.	1	Leonardo Portela.	1	11,973.60	11,973.60	504	168	2,964.4	2,993.4
Defense.	1	Leoncio V. Rodriguez.	1	35,111.20	35,111.20	1,481	974	7,147.1	8,777.8
Iguadad.	1	Luis A. Fajardo.	1	37,610.00	37,610.00	1,655	1,200	9,362.0	8,402.0
Victoria.	1	Luis Corra.	1	9,504.74	11,827.60	275	204	2,978.0	2,906.9
Do.	4	Loiza Sugar Co.		2,122.86					
Canvanas.	1	Luis Corra Becerri.	1	8,047.21	11,162.00	300	205	2,679.3	2,790.5
Do.	4	Loiza Sugar Co.		3,114.79					
Rio.	1	L. Vilis Santana.	1	11,406.40	11,406.40	243	193	2,098.5	2,851.6
Rufina.	1	Mario Mercados Hijos (by Mario Mercado, partner)	1	230,990.80	230,990.80	12,576	2,710	55,871.0	57,747.7
Do.	1	Maria N. P. Acosta, Juan Moenel Lucchetti, and Tristan L. Lucchetti (Fernando L. Acosta, agent).	1	2,811.06	17,945.20	596	310	4,685.1	4,486.3
Do.	4	Mario Mercado E. Hijos.		15,194.14					

San Vicente.....	1	Manuel Acevedo Rosario.....	1	7,716.37	16,200.00	503	388	4,590.8	4,050.0
Do.....	4	Central San Vicente, Vega Boja, P. R.....	1	8,483.63	20,832.40	285	150	1,768.9	3,208.1
Soller.....	1	Manuel Puertes Crame.....	1	20,832.40	133,416.80	6,928	3,049	35,394.9	33,394.2
Aguirre.....	1	Manuel Gonzales Martinez.....	1	138,416.80	10,168.40	234	160	2,053.4	2,532.1
Constancia (Toa).....	4	Manuel Vellilla.....	1	8,839.18					
Do.....	1	Cla Azcunera del Toa.....	1	1,929.22	20,171.60	446	321	3,369.9	5,042.9
Rufina.....	1	Masini & Gonzalez (by Felix Gonzalez, partner).....	1	20,171.60	30,992.80	1,157	855	8,494.6	7,748.2
Ruano.....	1	Nateo Fajardo Davila, Jr.....	1	30,992.80	83,460.80	4,318	2,824	18,214.0	20,780.2
Rochelense.....	1	Nayaguez Sugar Co. (Jose Oscar Bravo, agent).....	1	83,460.80	16,932.80	318	228	4,089.0	4,233.2
Juanita.....	1	Mercedes Olea.....	1	16,932.80	13,333.60	462	389	3,447.0	3,353.4
Defense.....	1	Miguel Figueroa L. Figueroa.....	1	13,333.60	10,946.00	600	305	2,438.4	2,736.5
Cambalache.....	1	Monserate M. Marquez, and Antonio Marquez Arborea.....	1	10,946.00	12,318.80	738	267	1,916.3	3,079.7
Soller.....	1	M. Rodriguez Cabrero.....	1	12,318.80	19,194.40	1,349	604	8,154.3	4,798.6
Constancia (Ponce).....	1	Mrs. P. S. E. de Perello, Concepcion Subira, Echevarria de Frau & Maria Echevarria.....	1	19,194.40	34,096.40	1,369	576	8,971.8	8,524.1
Constancia (Toa).....	1	Nevarez Hermanos (by Oscar Nevarez, agent).....	1	34,096.40	12,301.20	197	176	1,860.3	3,075.3
San Vicente.....	1	Pedro Hernandez Almeyda.....	1	12,301.20	94,189.60	2,760	1,376	19,411.5	23,547.4
Plata.....	1	Plata Sugar Co. Inc. (Celso A. Garcia, agent).....	1	94,189.60	17,743.60	816	424	2,385.6	4,436.4
Vannina.....	1	Quintana Racing Park (by Deogracia Viera, president).....	1	1,431.36					
Do.....	4	Banco Popular de Puerto Rico.....	1	16,314.24	10,531.20	301	115	2,514.4	2,632.8
Juanita.....	1	Quintero and Co. (by Arturo Quintero, agent).....	1	10,531.20	91,162.40	2,266	1,013	17,661.9	22,790.6
Plazuela.....	1	Quintero Y. Davila, Ltd. (Juan Davila Diaz, agent).....	1	50,466.15					
Do.....	4	Banco Popular de Puerto Rico, San Juan, P. R.....	1	40,696.25	34,232.40	1,622	1,106	8,476.1	8,558.1
Defense.....	1	Rafael Calderon.....	1	34,232.40	23,008.40	418	245	2,264.4	5,752.1
Los Canos.....	1	Rafael Capo.....	1	23,008.40					
San Vicente.....	1	Rafael H. Lopez.....	1	19,570.40	19,570.40	521	316	3,923.0	4,892.6
Coloso.....	1	do.....	1	6,132.00	6,132.00	569	220	1,914.1	1,533.0
Cambalache.....	1	Total, Rafael H. Lopez.....	2	25,702.40	25,702.40	1,090	536	5,837.1	6,425.6
Juncos.....	1	Rafael Igarua del Valle.....	1	10,404.00	10,404.00	454	145	1,400.3	2,601.0
Juanita.....	1	Rafael Ma. Gonzales, Jr.....	1	17,634.00	17,634.00	743	473	3,858.5	4,408.5
Do.....	1	Rafael Torrech.....	1	17,776.89	22,024.00	491	226	6,286.1	5,506.0
Pasto Viejo.....	4	Central Juanita, Inc.....	1	4,247.11					
Do.....	1	Rosario Fernandez and Matilde G. Fernandez (by Angel Fernandez Ortiz, agent).....	1	19,104.00	19,104.00	975	350	2,480.5	4,776.0
San Vicente.....	1	Rubert Hermanos, Inc. (by R. M. Dominguez, agent).....	1	256,492.00	256,492.00	10,432	4,128	57,818.0	64,123.0
Carmen.....	1	do.....	1	32,591.60	32,591.60	2,132	864	9,085.0	8,147.9
Guanica.....	1	Total, Rubert Hermanos, Inc.....	2	289,083.60	289,083.60	12,564	4,992	66,903.0	72,270.9
Fajardo.....	1	Russell & Co., successors Sen C. (by F. T. Maxwell, agent).....	1	428,696.40	428,696.40	29,317	11,088	107,174.1	107,174.1
Soller.....	1	Soledad, Josefina, Elvira, and Virginia Fuentesfria (by Marino Arroyo, agent).....	1	15,053.20	15,053.20	641	399	3,019.2	3,763.3
Do.....	1	Soller Sugar Co. (by Antonio Marquez, agent).....	1	44,373.60	44,373.60	1,621	995	9,672.4	11,093.4

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 49.—1935 Puerto Rican sugar program; contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ alphabetically, as of May 25, 1936—Continued

Mill area	Ten- ture ²	Payee	Num- ber of con- tracts	Payments		Cuerdas (acres)		Estimated contracted sugarcane	Contracted production ³ Tons
				To specified payee	Total	In farm	Culti- vated		
				Dollars	Dollars	Cuerdas	Cuerdas	Ton	Tons
San Vicente.....	1	Sucesores de Sobrino & Co. (by R. Sobrino, agent).....	1	10,916.40	10,916.40	515	175	2,043.6	2,729.1
La Fayette.....	1	Sucesores De C. Y. J. Fantauzzi (by G. Mananton).....	1	328,258.80	328,258.80	17,043	6,523	54,293.8	82,064.7
Caribe.....	1	Sucesores De Elias Godreau & Co. (by Mig. C. Godreau, managing partner).....	1	23,067.60	23,067.60	1,125	744	5,951.1	5,766.9
Guamaní.....	1	Sucesor de Jose Gonzalez & Co. (G. Cautino, agent).....	1	141,943.20	141,943.20	6,470	2,107	40,706.3	35,485.8
Mercedita.....	1	Sucesor J. Serralles (by J. M. Giles).....	1	262,899.60	262,899.60	8,912	4,449	47,492.5	65,724.9
Fajardo.....	1	The Fajardo Sugar Growers Association (by F. P. Hastings, agent).....	1	602,935.60	602,935.60	32,798	14,720	171,693.0	150,733.9
Canovanas.....	1	do.....	1	343,502.40	343,502.40	14,804	5,619	88,372.0	85,875.6
Victoria.....	1	do.....	1	14,626.00	14,626.00	568	283	3,230.2	3,656.5
Roig.....	1	Total, Fajardo Sugar Growers Association.....	3	961,064.00	961,064.00	48,170	20,622	263,295.2	240,266.0
Constancia (Toa).....	1	The Yabucoa Sugar Co. (J. Adalberto Roig, agent).....	1	228,727.60	228,727.60	9,662	4,668	50,185.0	57,181.9
Boca Chica.....	1	Valiente & Co. (by Agustin Valiente, agent).....	1	10,068.80	10,068.80	431	156	2,343.2	2,517.2
		Wirthing & Co. Sen. C. (J. E. Serralles, president).....	1	178,208.00	178,208.00	6,029	2,557	32,511.7	44,552.0
Total.....			124	-----	8,728,603.78	405,462	172,549	1,983,166.5	2,175,162.1

¹ "\$10,000 producers" are those who were parties to a 1935 sugarcane contract under which payments amounted to \$10,000 or more.² The figures shown in this column indicate the tenure of the person whose name is entered on the same line according to the following system: 1. Producer (person actually operating the farm). 2. Landlord (person owning the farm). 3. Share-tenant or subcolono (operating a portion of the farm on shares). 4. Lienholder (receiving a benefit payment through ownership of a lien on the crop).³ Paid at the rate of \$4 per short ton.⁴ Final payment has not been made.

TABLE 50.—1934-35 sugarcane program in the Territory of Hawaii: contracts with \$10,000 producers,¹ by size of payment, as of May 25, 1936

Territory and plantation producer	Payments made		Estimated total payments due to adherent planters ²	Approximate number of adherent planters	Approximate number of employees ³	Acreage harvested in 1935	Base production ⁴	Production percentage ⁵
	First ¹	Subsequent ²						
HAWAII								
Hawaiian Commercial & Sugar Co., Ltd. (by R. D. Mead)	\$359,114.77	\$682,923.10	-----	-----	3,340	5,884	87,000	7.670733
Oahu Sugar Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	317,837.23	586,725.06	\$10,206	2	2,453	5,385	77,000	6.789040
The Blue Plantation Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	286,511.60	528,898.38	22,219	47	3,420	9,174	69,411	6.110923
Kawa Plantation Co. (by Frank C. Atherton)	264,176.37	487,667.54	22,933	11	1,840	4,791	64,000	5.642838
Waialua Agricultural Co., Ltd. (by Frank C. Atherton)	260,048.64	480,047.77	16,235	6	1,730	4,739	63,000	5.554689
Pioneer Mill Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	227,026.57	419,089.30	-----	-----	2,298	5,144	55,000	4.848314
Maui Agricultural Co., Ltd. (by R. D. Mead)	222,898.84	411,469.53	-----	-----	2,573	4,671	54,000	4.761145
Kaia Sugar Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	220,834.94	407,659.61	145,716	515	2,522	6,570	53,500	4.717080
Kekaha Sugar Co. (by R. D. Mead)	189,876.77	350,511.06	-----	-----	1,558	3,523	46,000	4.055790
Hawaiian Sugar Co. (by R. D. Mead)	153,044.81	274,313.05	16,525	23	1,930	3,074	37,077	3.280055
Hawaiian Agricultural Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	138,599.24	257,549.44	56,566	1	1,420	3,453	36,000	3.174097
Honolulu Plantation Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	136,215.97	231,453.64	59,975	459	1,322	3,073	33,800	2.980124
Honokaa Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	131,262.63	242,309.80	1,471	1	1,668	3,467	33,800	2.900580
Hilo Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	120,117.70	221,736.34	80,051	22	1,531	4,848	31,800	2.803735
Kohala Sugar Co. (by Frank C. Atherton)	119,704.92	220,974.35	13,986	461	1,238	5,882	29,100	2.565781
Waiuku Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	105,257.76	194,305.02	5,146	26	1,453	2,921	25,500	2.589011
Waiuku Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	105,257.76	194,305.02	6	1	1,956	3,057	25,500	2.583118
Kahuku Plantation Co. (by R. D. Mead)	97,415.06	170,827.47	12,382	35	1,166	2,985	23,600	2.080707
Kahuku Plantation Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	91,636.20	169,150.72	55,112	268	1,763	2,682	22,200	1.957360
Laupahoehoe Sugar Co. (by John E. Russell)	89,572.31	163,340.79	33,439	161	894	2,486	21,700	1.919275
Waialea Mill Co. (by John E. Russell)	79,252.89	144,306.23	108,517	331	776	2,304	19,200	1.802851
Hutchinson Sugar Plantation Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	77,601.83	143,232.38	2,243	5	956	2,193	18,300	1.87584
The Koloa Sugar Co. (by H. A. Walker)	75,537.93	139,412.44	-----	-----	780	2,651	18,300	1.931669
Hamakua Mill Co. (by H. A. Walker)	66,044.12	121,916.93	1,865	71	981	3,014	16,000	1.910710
Papeete Sugar Co. (by John E. Russell)	61,916.63	114,297.06	14,646	147	645	1,695	13,000	1.322540
Paahua Sugar Plantation Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	59,852.48	110,457.23	22,281	67	633	2,188	14,500	1.276456
Waimanalo Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	54,073.58	99,819.43	5,004	1	594	2,186	13,100	1.150018

See last page of table for footnotes.

TABLE 50.—1934-35 sugarcane program in the Territory of Hawaii: contracts with \$10,000 producers, by size of payment, as of May 25, 1936—
Continued

Territory and plantation producer	Payments made		Estimated total payments due to adherent planters ¹	Approximate number of adherent planters	Approximate number of employees ⁴	Acreage harvested in 1935	Base production ⁵	Production percentage ⁵
	First ²	Subsequent ³						
HAWAII—continued								
Kilauea Sugar Plantation Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	52,009.74	96,009.58	1,000	14	514	2,389	12,600	1.110934
Kaewiki Sugar Co., Ltd. (by John E. Russell)	51,596.96	95,247.59	16,373	127	667	1,990	12,500	1.102117
Honouliuli Sugar Co. (by R. A. Cooke)	50,771.40	93,723.62	5,732	57	516	1,599	12,300	1.084483
Union Mill and Plantation Ltd. (by John E. Russell)	49,533.06	91,437.65	144		747	3,075	12,000	1.058032
Kaeleku Sugar Co., Ltd. (by R. A. Cooke)	44,018.40	81,257.63			633	1,895	10,664	.940238
Grove Farm Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	42,796.58	79,002.17			571	2,388	10,368	.914140
Waianae Co. (by H. A. Walker)	40,039.25	73,912.13			415	583	9,700	.855243
Gay & Robinson Co. Partnership (by Herman Phleger)	33,117.01	61,133.74			250	1,014	8,023	.707383
Wailea Milling Co. (by R. A. Cooke (cooperative))	26,900.60	49,658.31	34,774	66	225	930	6,517	.574600
Waimea Sugar Mill Co., Ltd. (by H. A. Walker)	16,923.73	31,241.08	1,253	12	121	357	4,100	.361493
Kipuu Plantation (Wm. Hyde Rice, Ltd.) (by H. A. Walker)	13,708.26	25,305.33			190	904	3,321	.292810
Total	4,681,622.49	8,642,239.09	702,800	3,002	47,320	124,470	1,134,181	-----

¹ Names of producers having contracts under which payments to owner-operator alone, or to landowner and tenants combined, totaled \$10,000 or over for 1 year and all other contracts to which such producers were parties; and payments under these contracts.

² Total payments made were equal to 90 percent of the processing taxes collected from June 8, 1934 to December 31, 1935 on the processing of sugar produced in Hawaii.

³ Included in first and subsequent payments.

⁴ Data taken from unofficial sources.

⁵ "Base production and production (base) percentages" allotted and agreed to by all plantation producers are based upon production of sugar for all plantation producers during a common representative period.



